

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Joint Application of Great Plains)
Energy Incorporated, Kansas City Power & Light)
Company, and Aquila, Inc. for Approval of the Merger) **Case No. EM-2007-0374**
of Aquila, Inc. with a Subsidiary of Great Plains)
Energy Incorporated and for Other Related Relief.)

NOTICE REGARDING EXTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS

Issue Date: May 13, 2008


On May 12, 2008, we received the attached correspondence by US Mail.


Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 13th day of May, 2008.

Davis, Chairman 

Murray, Commissioner 

Clayton, Commissioner 

Jarrett, Commissioner 

Gunn, Commissioner 

Enclosed is a letter sent to the Chairmen of the Audit Committee and Corporate Governance Committee at Great Plains Energy requesting investigations into the proposed merger with Aquila.

received
May 12 2008

KANSAS CITY 641-661

06 MAY 2008 PM 9 T

"LET US DARE TO R
THINK, SPEAK AND
John Adams, 1765
powerofthelett



Governor Office Building
90 Commissioners: KEVIN GUNN,
JEFF DAVIS, CONNIE MURRAY,
ROBERT H. CLAYTON III, TERRY JARRETT
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson, City, MO 65102-0360

65102+0360



EM-2007-0374

May 6, 2008

Great Plains Energy
Corporate Secretary
Attention: Mark A. Ernst, David L. Bodde
Kansas City, MO 64106

Dear Sirs:

After viewing the hearings over the last two weeks and reading through testimony it is clear that the Missouri Public Service Commission is no longer in a position to make an unbiased fact based decision nor is its staff, through no fault of its own, able to effectively represent the interest of the Missouri ratepayers regarding the merger between GPE/KCPL and Aquila. This decision should be based on creditable and truthful information not politics or a public relations campaign to gain support from community leaders and elected officials that have no real knowledge or understanding regarding the merits of the merger.

Through the course of this case it has become abundantly clear that our management team is no longer acting in the shareholder's interest or that of their customers and employees. They are instead acting for their own self-interest. It is time that the boards from both companies step in and fulfill their responsibilities to all the stakeholders involved.

It has become painfully obvious that our management team is manipulating much of the information or using old, out dated information or information from consultants who are being paid ten's of millions of dollars to support the positions of the very people who are paying them. To date there has not been a truly independent evaluation of much of the information being used to support this transaction. This management team has shown that it is willing to do whatever it takes to push this transaction through, no matter what. Just to line their own pockets or to satisfy their egos, even if that means knowingly providing or allowing false or inaccurate information to be presented to the various parties involved.

There have been numerous allegations brought forward and ignored either through testimony or anonymous letters. Commissioners have had to remove themselves from participating in the hearings because of conflicts of interest. There has been improper or at the very least questionable communications between executives of both companies and Commissioners. And through it all the boards of both companies have remained silent.

You only have to look at Mr. Schallenberg's testimony from the last day to understand why this transaction is no longer in the best interest of anyone except a handful of executives. He presented a compelling story that is going to be lost in the politics. Why wait until it's too late? Why sit by and let both of these companies be put at extreme risk?

As a shareholder and employee, I am requesting that an independent investigation, under the direction of both boards, be conducted to determine the validity of all allegations. As some of the allegations may turn out to be criminal in nature I ask that they be coordinated with the Attorney General. In addition, I am requesting that independent evaluations be conducted, under the direction of each board separately, to determine if this transaction is still in fact in the best interest of their shareholders. Specific areas that need to be addressed include:

- 1) Information provided to the credit rating agencies.
- 2) Validity of the Synergies.
- 3) The re-forecast of costs for Iatan II & I.
- 4) Adequacy of the regulatory plan. What's the impact of not getting what was asked for in the original plan?