BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI

)

In the Matter of the Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated for Approval of its Acquisition of Westar Energy, Inc.

Case No. EM-2017-0226

Staff's Statement of Positions on the Issues

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, by and through counsel, and for its *Statement of Positions on the Issues*, states as follows:

I. Should the Commission find that GPE's acquisition of Westar is not detrimental to the public interest, and approve the transaction?

It is Staff's position that that the acquisition could be detrimental without appropriate conditions. *See Staff's Investigation Report,* Case No. EM-2016-0324, attached to the Surrebuttal Testimony of Natelle Dietrich.

II. Should the Commission condition its approval of GPE's acquisition of Westar and, if so, how?

Yes, the Commission should approve the *Stipulation and Agreement* between Staff, KCPL, GMO, and GPE filed in Case No. EE-2017-0113 on October 12, 2016; the *Stipulation and Agreement* between OPC, KCPL, GMO, and GPE filed in Case No. EE-2017-0113 on October 26, 2016; and impose the conditions stated therein as well as the additional conditions described by Natelle Dietrich in her Surrebuttal Testimony and further explained in Exhibit A of Staff's Report dated January 18, 2017, filed herein as Exhibit B to the Surrebuttal Testimony of Natelle Dietrich.

III. Should the Commission address matters such as transmission and power supply services and, if so, how?

To the extent they are applicable, these matters are addressed by the additional conditions described by Natelle Dietrich in her Surrebuttal Testimony and further explained in Exhibit A of Staff's Report dated January 18, 2017, filed herein as Exhibit B to the Surrebuttal Testimony of Natelle Dietrich.

IV. Should the Commission grant the limited request for variance of the affiliate transaction rule requested by GPE, KCP&L and GMO?

Yes, the Commission should grant the requested variances subject to the conditions described above.

V. Should the Commission condition its approval of GPE's limited request for variance of the affiliate transaction rule requested by GPE, KCP&L and GMO and if so, how?

Yes, the Commission should grant the requested variances subject to the conditions described above.

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Kevin A. Thompson</u>

Kevin A. Thompson Missouri Bar Number 36288 Chief Staff Counsel

Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 573-751-6514 (Voice) 573-526-6969 (Fax) kevin.thompson@psc.mo.gov

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served, either electronically or by hand delivery or by First Class United States Mail, postage prepaid, on this 30th day of March, 2017, on the parties and their representatives as identified on the Service List maintained for this docket by the Commission's Data Center.

<u>/s/ Kevin A. Thompson</u>