

*Exhibit No.:*  
*Issue(s):* Policy  
*Witness:* Natelle Dietrich  
*Sponsoring Party:* MoPSC Staff  
*Type of Exhibit:* Rebuttal Testimony  
*Case No.:* EM-2019-0150  
*Date Testimony Prepared:* March 27, 2019

**MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**  
**COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION**

**REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**  
**OF**

**NATELLE DIETRICH**

**GRAIN BELT EXPRESS CLEAN LINE, LLC**  
**INVENERGY TRANSMISSION LLC**  
**INVENERGY INVESTMENT COMPANY, LLC**

**CASE NO. EM-2019-0150**

*Jefferson City, Missouri*  
*March, 2019*

*Staff*  
Exhibit No. 5  
Date 4-23-19 Reporter TT  
File No. EM-2019-0150

1 REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

2 OF

3 NATELLE DIETRICH

4 GRAIN BELT EXPRESS CLEAN LINE, LLC  
5 INVENERGY TRANSMISSION LLC  
6 INVENERGY INVESTMENT COMPANY, LLC

7 CASE NO. EM-2019-0150

8 Q. Please state your name and business address.

9 A. My name is Natelle Dietrich. My business address is 200 Madison Street,  
10 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.

11 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

12 A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as  
13 Commission Staff Director.

14 Q. Please describe your education and relevant work experience.

15 A. I received a Bachelor's of Arts Degree in English from the University of  
16 Missouri, St. Louis, and a Master's of Business Administration from William Woods  
17 University. During my tenure with the Commission, I have worked in many areas of  
18 telecommunications regulation. In October 2007, I became the Director of Utility Operations.  
19 The division was renamed the Tariff, Safety, Economic and Engineering Analysis Department  
20 in August 2011. In October 2015, I assumed my current position as Commission Staff Director.  
21 In this position, I oversee all aspects of the Commission Staff.

22 My responsibilities include involvement in several activities related to implementing  
23 sound energy policy in Missouri. I was the lead Director for the Commission's rulemakings on  
24 such things as the implementation of the Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act, the

Rebuttal Testimony of  
Natelle Dietrich

1 Chapter 22 rewrite, and the Commission's renewable energy standard regulations. Relevant  
2 activities relate to energy efficiency, demand side management, demand response and smart  
3 grid. I was a member of the Missouri Delegation to the Missouri/Moldova Partnership through  
4 NARUC and the US Agency for International Development.

5 I am a member of the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners'  
6 Subcommittee on Rate Design and the Staff Subcommittee on Telecommunications. I serve on  
7 the Staff of the Federal/State Joint Board on Universal Service, serve as lead Staff for the  
8 Missouri Universal Service Board, and was a member of the Governor's MoBroadbandNow  
9 taskforce.

10 Q. Have you previously testified before the Commission?

11 A. Yes. My Case Summary is attached as Schedule ND-r1.

12 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

13 A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor the Staff's Rebuttal Report  
14 ("Report") that is being filed concurrently with this testimony and provide an overview of  
15 Staff's position in this proceeding.

16 Q. Please briefly describe the request of Grain Belt Express Clean Line, LLC  
17 ("Grain Belt").

18 A. On February 1, 2019, Invenergy Transmission LLC ("Invenergy Transmission")  
19 on behalf of itself and its parent company Invenergy Investment Company, LLC ("Invenergy  
20 Investment") and Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC ("Grain Belt") on behalf of itself and its  
21 parent company Grain Belt Express Holding LLC ("GBE Holding") (collectively, "Joint  
22 Applicants"), filed a Joint Application for Transaction Approval and Motion for Expedited

Rebuttal Testimony of  
Natelle Dietrich

1 Treatment ("Application") requesting that the Commission approve a transaction involving the  
2 change in ownership of Grain Belt.

3 Q. What is Staff's recommendation on the Application?

4 A. As more fully explained in the Report, Staff recommends the Commission  
5 approve the Application, with a finding that it is not detrimental to the public interest, subject  
6 to the conditions ordered in its March 20, 2019 Report and Order on Remand in Case No.  
7 EA-2016-0358.

8 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

9 A. Yes.

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Joint Application of )  
Invenergy Transmission LLC, Invenergy ) Case No. EM-2019-0150  
Investment Company LLC, Grain Belt )  
Express Clean Line LLC and Grain Belt )  
Express Holding LLC for an Order Approving )  
the Acquisition by Invenergy Transmission )  
LLC of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC )

**AFFIDAVIT OF NATELLE DIETRICH**

STATE OF MISSOURI )  
 ) ss.  
COUNTY OF COLE )


**COMES NOW NATELLE DIETRICH** and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing *Rebuttal Testimony*; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.


Further the Affiant sayeth not.

  
NATELLE DIETRICH

**JURAT**

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 26<sup>th</sup> day of March 2019.



  
Notary Public

**Natelle Dietrich  
Case Summary**

Presented testimony or analysis through affidavits on the following cases and proceedings:

- Case No. TA-99-405, an analysis of the appropriateness of a “payday loan” company providing prepaid telecommunications service.
- Case No. TX-2001-73, In the Matter of Proposed New Rules on Prepaid Calling Cards.
- Case No. TO-2001-455, the AT&T/Southwestern Bell Telephone Company arbitration, which included issues associated with unbundled network elements.
- Case No. TX-2001-512, In the Matter of Proposed Amendments to Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-33.010, 33.020, 33.030, 33.040, 33.060, 33.070, 33.080, 33.110, and 33.150 (telecommunications billing practices).
- Case No. TO-2002-222, the MCI/SWBT arbitration.
- Case No. TR-2002-251, In the Matter of the Tariffs Filed by Sprint Missouri, Inc. d/b/a Sprint to Reduce the Basic Rates by the Change in the CPI-TS as Required by 392.245(4), Updating its Maximum Allowable Prices for Non-Basic Services and Adjusting Certain Rates as Allowed by 392.245(11) and Reducing Certain Switched Access Rates and Rebalancing to Local Rates as Allowed by 392.245(9).
- Case No. TX-2002-1026, In the Matter of a Proposed Rulemaking to Implement the Missouri Universal Service Fund End-User Surcharge.
- Case No. TX-2003-0379, In the Matter of Proposed Amendments to Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-3.545, formerly 4 CSR 240-30.010 (tariff filing requirements).
- Case No. TX-2003-0380, In the Matter of Proposed Amendments to Commission Rules 4 CSR 240-2.060, 4 CSR 240-3.020, 4 CSR 240-3.510, 4 CSR 240-3.520, and 4 CSR 240-3.525 (competitive local exchange carrier filing requirements and merger-type transactions).
- Case No. TX-2003-0389, In the Matter of Proposed Amendment to Commission Rules 4 CSR 240-3.530 and 4 CSR 240-3.535, and New Rules 4 CSR 240-3.560 and 4 CSR 240-3.565 (telecommunications bankruptcies and cessation of operation).
- Case No. TX-2003-0445, In the Matter of a Proposed New Rule 4 CSR 240-33.160 Regarding Customer Proprietary Network Information.
- Case No. TX-2003-0487, In the Matter of Proposed Commission Rules 4 CSR 240-36.010, 36.020, 36.030, 36.040, 36.050, 36.060, 36.070, and 36.080 (arbitration and mediation rules).
- Case No. TX-2003-0565, In the Matter of a Proposed Rulemaking to Codify Procedures for Telecommunications Carriers to Seek Approval, Amendment and Adoption of Interconnection and Resale Agreements.
- Case Nos. TX-2004-0153 and 0154, in the Matter of Proposed Rule for 211 Service (emergency and permanent rules).

- Case Nos. TO-2004-0370, IO-2004-0467, TO-2004-0505 et al, In the Matter of the Petition of various small LECs for Suspension of the Federal Communications Commission Requirement to Implement Number Portability.
- Case No. TX-2005-0258, In the Matter of a New Proposed Rule 4 CSR 240-33.045 (placement and identification of charges on customer bills).
- Case No. TX-2005-0460, In the Matter of the Proposed Amendments to the Missouri Universal Service Fund Rules.
- Case No. TO-2006-0093, In the Matter of the Request of Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a SBC Missouri, for Competitive Classification Pursuant to Section 392.245.6, RSMo (2205) – 30-day Petition.
- Case Nos. TC-2005-0357, IR-2006-0374, TM-2006-0306, the complaint case, earnings investigation and transfer of assets case to resolve issues related to Cass County Telephone Company, LP, LEC Long Distance, FairPoint Communications, Inc., FairPoint Communications Missouri Inc. d/b/a FairPoint Communications and ST Long Distance Inc. db/a FairPoint Communications Long Distance.
- Case No. TC-2006-0068, FullTel, Inc., v. CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC.
- Case No. TX-2006-0169, In the Matter of Proposed New Rule 4 CSR 240-3.570 Regarding Eligible Telecommunications Carrier Designations for Receipt of Federal Universal Service Fund Support.
- Case No. TX-2006-0429, In the Matter of a Proposed Amendment to 4 CSR 240-3.545 (one day tariff filings).
- Case No. TX-2007-0086, In the Matter of a Proposed Rulemaking to Create Chapter 37 – Number Pooling and Number Conservation Efforts
- Case No. TA-2009-0327, In the Matter of the Petition of TracFone Wireless, Inc. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Missouri for the Limited Purpose of Offering Lifeline and Link Up Service to Qualified Households.
- Case No. RA-2009-0375, In the Matter of the application of Nexus Communications, Inc. dba TSI for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier in the State of Missouri for the Limited Purpose of Offering Wireless Lifeline and Link Up Service to Qualifying Households.
- Case No. AX-2010-0061, Office of Public Counsel’s Petition for Promulgation of Rules Relating to Billing and Payment Standards for Residential Customers.
- Case No. GT-2009-0056, In the Matter of Laclede Gas Company’s Tariff Revision Designed to Clarify its Liability for Damages Occurring on Customer Piping and Equipment Beyond the Company’s Meter.
- Case No. ER-2012-0166, In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri’s Tariffs to Increase Its Revenues for Electric Service. Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA).
- Case No. ER-2012-0174, In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company’s Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service. Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA).

- Case No. ER-2012-0175, In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service. Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA).
- Case No. ER-2012-0345, In the Matter of Empire District Electric Company of Joplin, Missouri Tariff's Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Missouri Service Area of the Company. Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA).
- File Nos. EO-2013-0396 and EO-2013-0431, In the Matter of the Joint Application of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Mid South TransCo, LLC, Transmission Company Arkansas, LLC and ITC Midsouth LLC for Approval of Transfer of Assets and Certificate of Convenience and Necessity, and Merger and, in connection therewith, Certain Other Related Transactions; and In the Matter of Entergy Arkansas, Inc.'s Notification of Intent to Change Functional Control of Its Missouri Electric Transmission Facilities to the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator Inc. Regional Transmission System Organization or Alternative Request to Change Functional Control and Motions for Waiver and Expedited Treatment, respectively.
- Case No. MX-2013-0432, In the Matter of a Proposed Rulemaking to Revise Manufactured Housing Rules Regarding Installation and Monthly Reporting Requirements.
- Case No. TX-2013-0324, In the Matter of a Proposed Rulemaking to the Missouri Universal Service Fund.
- Case No. EO-2014-0095, In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Filing for Approval of Demand-Side Programs and for Authority to Establish Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism.
- Case No. EA-2014-0207, In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing It to Construct, Own, Operate, Control, Manage, and Maintain a High Voltage, Direct Current Transmission Line and an Associated Converter Station Providing an Interconnection on the Maywood - Montgomery 345 kV Transmission Line.
- Case No. ER-2014-0370, In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service.
- Case No. WR-2015-0301, In the Matter of Missouri-American Water Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Water and Sewer Service Provided in Missouri Service Areas.
- Case No. ER-2016-0156, In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service.
- Case No. ET-2016-0246, In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri for Approval of a Tariff Setting a Rate for Electric Vehicle Charging Stations.
- Case No. ER-2016-0285, In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service.



- Case No. ER-2016-0179, In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Increase its Revenues for Electric Service.
- Case No. EE-2017-0113, In the Matter of the Joint Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated, Kansas City Power & Light Company and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company for a Variance from the Commission's Affiliate Transactions Rule, 4 CSR 240-20.015
- Case No. EA-2016-0358, In the Matter of the Application of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct, Own, Operate, Control, Manage and Maintain a High Voltage, Direct Current Transmission Line and an Associated Converter Station Providing an Interconnection on the Maywood-Montgomery 345kV Transmission Line
- Case No. EM-2017-0226, In the Matter of the Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated for Approval of its Acquisition of Westar Energy, Inc.
- Case No. GR-2017-0215, In the Matter of Laclede Gas Company's Request to Increase its Revenues for Gas Service.
- Case No. GR-2017-0216, In the Matter of Laclede Gas Company d/b/a Missouri Gas Energy's Request to increase its Revenues for Gas Service.
- Case No. WR-2017-0259, In the Matter of the Rate Increase Request of Indian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc.
- Case No. WR-2017-0285, In the Matter of Missouri-American Water Company's Request for Authority to Implement General Rate Increase for Water and Sewer Service Provided in Missouri Service Areas.
- Case No. EM-2018-0012, In the Matter of the Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated for Approval of its Merger with Westar Energy, Inc.
- Case No. EO-2018-0092, In the Matter of the Application of The Empire District Electric Company for Approval of Its Customer Savings Plan.
- Case No. GR-2018-0013, In the Matter of Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities' Tariff Revisions Designed to Implement a General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service in the Missouri Service Areas of the Company.
- Case No. ER-2018-0145, In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service.
- Case No. ER-2018-0146, In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service.
- Case No. EO-2018-0211, In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's 3rd Filing to Implement Regulatory Changes in Furtherance of Energy Efficiency as Allowed by MEEIA.
- Case Nos. WM-2018-0116 and SM-2018-0117, In the Matter of the Application of Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Inc. to Acquire Certain Water and Sewer Assets, For a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity, and, in Connection Therewith, To Issue Indebtedness and Encumber Assets.

- Case No. EA-2019-0010, In the Matter of the Application of The Empire District Electric Company for Certificates of Convenience and Necessity Related to Wind Generation Facilities.
- Actively participated in or prepared comments on numerous issues on behalf of the Commission to be filed at the Federal Communications Commission.
- Prepared congressional testimony on behalf of the Commission on number conservation efforts in Missouri.
- A principal author on Missouri Public Service Commission Comments on the Reduction of Carbon Emissions in Missouri under Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act.
- A principal author on Missouri Public Service Commission Comments on the Environmental Protection Agency's "Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Generating Unity".

Commission Arbitration Advisory Lead Staff for the following cases:

- Case No. TO-2005-0336, Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a SBC Missouri's Petition for Compulsory Arbitration of Unresolved Issues For a Successor Interconnection Agreement to the Missouri 271 Agreement ("M2A").
- Case No. IO-2005-0468, In the Matter of the Petition of Alma Telephone Company for Arbitration of Unresolved Issues Pertaining to a Section 251(b)(5) Agreement with T-Mobile USA, Inc.
- Case No. TO-2006-0147 et al, In the Matter of the Petition for Arbitration of Unresolved Issues in a Section 251(b)(5) Agreement with T-Mobile USA, Inc and Cingular Wireless.
- Case No. TO-2006-0299, Petition of Socket Telecom, LLC for Compulsory Arbitration of Interconnection Agreements with CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC and Spectra Communications, LLC, pursuant to Section 251(b)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- Case No. TO-2006-0463, In the Matter of the Petition for Arbitration of Unresolved Issues in a Section 251(b)(5) Agreement with ALLTEL Wireless and Western Wireless.
- Case No. TO-2009-0037, In the Matter of the Petition of Charter Fiberlink-Missouri, LLC for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement Between CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC and Charter Fiberlink-Missouri, LLC.