Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Report on Staff's Second

Prudence Review of Cycle 3 Costs Related to the Missour Energy Efficiency Investment Act

Witness: Amanda C. Conner Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff

onsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: DirectTestimony
Case No.: EO-2023-0407 and

EO-2023-0408

Date Testimony Prepared: February 27, 2023

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION INDUSTRY ANALYSISDIVISION ENERGY RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

AMANDA C. CONNER

EVERGY MISSOURI WEST, INC., d/b/a EVERGY MISSOURI WEST CASE NO. EO-2023-0408

and

EVERGY METRO, INC., d/b/a EVERGY MISSOURI METRO CASE NO. EO-2023-0407

> Jefferson City, Missouri February 2024

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY
3	OF
4	AMANDA C. CONNER
5 6 7	EVERGY MISSOURI WEST, INC., d/b/a EVERGY MISSOURI WEST CASE NO. EO-2023-0408
8	and
9 10 11	EVERGY METRO, INC., d/b/a EVERGY MISSOURI METRO CASE NO. EO-2023-0407
12	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY2
13	PRUDENCE REVIEW PERIOD2
14	OVERVIEW OF STAFF'S PROPOSED DISALLOWANCES FOR ADMINISTRATIVE
15	EXPENSES3
16	OVERVIEW OF STAFF'S PROPOSED DISALLOWANCES FOR IMPLEMENTATION
17	CONTRACTORS EXPENSES

1			DIRECT TESTIMONY		
2	OF				
3			AMANDA C. CONNER		
4 5 6			EVERGY MISSOURI WEST, INC., d/b/a EVERGY MISSOURI WEST CASE NO. EO-2023-0408		
7			and		
8 9 10			EVERGY METRO, INC., d/b/a EVERGY MISSOURI METRO CASE NO. EO-2023-0407		
11		Q.	Please state your name and business address.		
12		A.	Amanda C. Conner, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, MO 65102.		
13		Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?		
14		A.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission" or		
15	"PSC") as a S	enior Utility Regulatory Auditor.		
16		Q.	Please describe your educational background and work experience.		
17		A.	Please refer to Schedule ACC-d1 attached hereto.		
18		Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?		
19		A.	Yes. Please refer to Schedule ACC-d1 for a list of cases in which I have		
20	previou	usly led	or participated in.		
21		Q.	Have you participated in the Commission Staff's audit of Evergy Metro, Inc.,		
22	d/b/a	Everg	y Missouri Metro ("Evergy Missouri Metro" or "EMM") and		
23	Evergy	Misso	uri West, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri West ("Evergy Missouri West" or "EMW")		
24	(collec	tively "	Evergy"), concerning the Staff's prudence review in this proceeding?		
25		A.	Yes, I have, with the assistance of other members of the Staff.		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Q, Please summarize your direct testimony in this proceeding.

I am sponsoring certain recommended disallowances in both EMM and EMW's A. Staff's Recommendations ("Staff Reports"), which were originally filed October 27, 2023, in Case Nos. EO-2023-0407 and EO-2023-0408, copies of which (both Public and Confidential) are attached to Staff Witness Brooke Mastrogiannis' direct testimony. Staff has conducted a review of all of the Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism ("DSIM") components (program costs, gross annual energy and demand savings, interest, earnings opportunity, throughput disincentive, evaluation measurement and verification) during the review periods ¹ for Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West's Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act ("MEEIA") programs. My testimony provides an overview of Staff's recommended disallowances the administrative on program costs.

PRUDENCE REVIEW AND STAFF REPORT

Q. Please describe Staff's prudence review.

A. Staff conducted a review of all of the DSIM components during the review period of the energy efficiency and demand response programs for Evergy. As noted in the Staff Reports, Staff provides a description of the components it reviewed, a discussion of its review, a summary of any cost implications and Staff's conclusions based on its review of the components. During its review, and as more fully explained below and in the Staff Reports, Staff identifies certain expenses where it recommends disallowances.

Staff identifies certain expenses where it recommends disanowances.

 $^{^{1}\} EO\text{-}2023\text{-}0407\ and}\ EO\text{-}2023\text{-}0408\ both\ covered\ the\ Review\ Period\ of\ April\ 1,\ 2021\ through\ March\ 31,\ 2023.$

3

4

OVERVIEW OF STAFF'S PROPOSED DISALLOWANCES FOR ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

- Q. In its review of EMM MEEIA programs for this Review Period, what administrative program costs is Staff recommending a disallowance?
- 5 A. The amount of disallowance Staff recommends for EMM is \$70,680.48² 6 plus interest.

Brian File, Yardhouse	\$ 162.17
MES Sponsorship	\$ 3,000.00
Elizabeth Ruder - IPROMOTEU.COM INC	\$ 25,558.18
Christopher DeLaTorre - KC Business Journal	\$ 140.00
Elizabeth Ruder - Grapevine Designs, KC	\$ 133.33
Elizabeth Ruder - IPROMOTEU.COM INC	\$ 486.77
Elizabeth Ruder - IPROMOTEU.COM INC	\$ 299.50
Elizabeth Ruder - IPROMOTEU.COM INC	\$ 343.21
Brian File - Flowers By Emily	\$ 97.87
Elizabeth Ruder - IPROMOTEU.COM INC	\$ 465.32
Elizabeth Ruder - IPROMOTEU.COM INC	\$ 323.36
Natalie Gray - Bridging the Gap	\$ 17,548.00
Kevin Brannan - BURNS & MCDONNELL ENGINEERING CO INC	\$ 126.27
Natalie Gray - Bridging the Gap	\$ 21,996.50
Total	\$ 70,680.48

- 7 8
- Q. Please explain why you are recommending these disallowances.
- 9
- A. The recommended disallowances are as follows:
- 10

promotional products distributor, dated April 13, 2021, in the amount of

12

\$26,133.71 for gift boxes sent to potential businesses to participate in

1. Invoice submitted by Elizabeth Ruder from IPROMOTEU.COM INC, a

13

Business Demand Response program (BDR). Included in the boxes were

14

an Evergy branded 20oz. Wolverine Tumbler, a letter with the exact amount of expected incentive, a branded silver Grenada pen, and a

² These disallowances are shown in Table 5 of the Staff Report for EO-2023-0407.

1	branded 6oz. bag of world-famous gourmet coffee. Staff's recommended
2	disallowance for this total invoice is \$25,558.18, because a small portion
3	of the invoice was for letters and postcards EMM sent to all but a select
4	group of businesses regardingBDR incentives. The recommended
5	disallowance was for the gifts, as they were unnecessary and are not
6	appropriate expenses under the MEEIA program.
7	2. Invoice dated May 8, 2021, in the amount of \$140.00 for a subscription
8	to the KC Business Journal. Staff recommends this disallowance
9	because this charge was a general expense and not MEEIA specific.
10	3. There were several invoices Staff recommends a disallowance because
11	they were for Evergy branded shirts, but the logo on the shirts were too
12	general and not specific to MEEIA. They are as follows:
13	a. Grapevine Designs, KC dated July 6, 2021 in the amount
14	of \$133.33.
15	b. IPROMOTEU.COM INC. dated September 22, 2021 in the
16	amount of \$486.77.
17	c. IPROMOTEU.COM INC. dated October 25, 2021 in the amount
18	of \$299.50.
19	d. IPROMOTEU.COM INC. dated January 17, 2022 in the amount
20	of \$343.21.
21	e. IPROMOTEU.COM INC. dated March 24, 2022 in the amount
22	of \$465.32.

1	f. IPROMOTEU.COM INC. dated April 1, 2022 in the amount
2	of \$323.36.
3	4. Invoice from Flowers by Emily dated January 24, 2022 in the amount of
4	\$97.87 for sympathy flowers. This is a recommended disallowance
5	because customers should not be charged for non-utility related items
6	not specific to any MEEIA program.
7	5. There were two invoices from Bridging the Gap that are recommended
8	disallowances, due to the fact that the invoices are not itemized; yet there
9	is mention of travel, but no receipts were provided to analyze. After
10	reaching out to Evergy, Staff was informed that Bridging the Gap does
11	not provide this information to EMM. Since Staff was unable to verify
12	because the invoices were not itemized and there is no receipts for the
13	travel, the entirety of both invoiced amounts were disallowed.
14	a. Invoice dated September 22, 2022 in the amount of \$17,548.00.
15	b. Invoice dated November 23, 2022 in the amount of \$21,996.50.
16	6. Invoice dated October 13, 2022 submitted by Kevin Brannan from Burns
17	& McDonnell Engineering Co. Inc. in the amount of \$126.27
18	This expense Staff recommends a disallowance due to no documentation
19	provided and the inability to verify.
20	7. Invoice dated May 21, 2022 submitted by Brian File from Yardhouse in
21	the amount of \$162.17. Staff recommends a disallowance for this
22	expense because this was for an employee recognition which is no
23	related to MEEIA, therefore should not be charged to customers; and

- 8. Invoice dated July 1, 2022 from Midwest Energy Efficiency Alliance (MEEA) for Midwest Energy Solutions (MES) Sponsorship in the amount of \$3,000.00. Staff recommends a disallowance for this sponsorship because it is unnecessary for EMM to charge both a membership fee and a sponsorship fee to customers.
- Q. In its review of EMW MEEIA programs for this Review Period, what Administrative Costs disallowances are you recommending?
- A. The amount of disallowance Staff is recommending for EMW is \$4,265.30³ plus interest.

Costs	Disallowed Cost		
St. Joseph Construction Assn.	\$	428.50	
MES Sponsorship	\$	3,000.00	
Natalie Gray-YARDHOUSE	\$	68.05	
Davids on Promotioal	\$	768.75	
Total	\$	4,265.30	

13

14

15

16

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Please explain why you are recommending these disallowances.
- 12 A. The disallowances are as follows:
 - 1. In February 2021 and November 2021, there were invoices from St. Joseph Construction Association. The total amount of \$428.50 is a recommended disallowance since EMW did not provide justification for how this expense relates specifically to MEEIA, as required per the Stipulation and Agreement in Case No. EO-2021-0416.

³ These disallowances are shown in Table 5 of the Staff Report for EO-2023-0408.

Direct Testimony of Amanda C. Conner

plus interest.

1	2.	Invoice dated July 1, 2022 from MEEA for MES Sponsorship in the
2		amount of \$3,000.00. Staff recommends a disallowance for this
3		sponsorship because it is unnecessary for EMW to charge both a
4		membership fee and a sponsorship fee to customers.
5	3.	Receipt for Yardhouse dated December 10, 2021 in the amount of
6		\$68.05. This charge was for an offsite meeting at a local restaurant with
7		no justification given as to why this meeting could not have been held in
8		the EMW offices.
9	4.	Invoice for Davidson Promotional dated December 10, 2021 in the
10		amount of \$768.75 for Evergy branded shirts. This is a recommended
11		disallowance because the logo on the shirts was too general and not
12		specific to MEEIA.
13	OVEDVIEW OF ST	CARESC DECORATE ON A NORCE FOR IMPLEMENTATION
14	CONTRACTORS E	AFF'S PROPOSED DISALLOWANCES FOR IMPLEMENTATION XPENSES
15	Q. In its	review of EMM MEEIA programs for this Review Period, what
16	implementation contr	actor costs disallowances is Staff recommending?
17	A. The a	mount of disallowance Staff is recommending for EMM is \$6,549.15 ⁴

⁴ These recommended disallowances are shown in Table 7 of the Staff Report for EO-2023-0407.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- - 1. Invoice No. 1727936 in the amount of \$669.96 from 4Imprint for promotional items. This is a recommended disallowance because there was no detail given in regards to what these items were in order to verify if these charges were prudent to MEEIA.
 - 2. There were several invoices Staff recommends a disallowance because they were for Evergy branded shirts, but the logo on the shirts were too general and not specific to MEEIA. They are as follows:
 - a. Invoice No. 1742563 in the amount of \$178.31 from Vectra Visual.
 - b. Invoice No. 1743766 in the amount of \$203.42 from Vectra Visual.

1	c. Invoice No. 1748669 in the amount of \$149.13 from
2	Taylor Visual.
3	d. Invoice No. 1764316 in the amount of \$128.37 from
4	Taylor Visual.
5	3. Invoice No. 1784716 in the amount of \$74.30 from Zazzle for a paper
6	weight. This is a recommended to be disallowed because Staff did not
7	receive any image of this promotional item, to support it to be necessary
8	to be included in MEEIA.
9	4. There were three charges Staff recommends a disallowance for special
10	events. Alcohol was provided at these events. Staff believes that if
11	EMM chooses to pay for these events and alcohol, that the shareholders
12	should bear the costs, not customers. These charges are as follows:
13	a. Invoice No. 1796289 in the amount of \$1,265.34 for a deposit to
14	Monogram Lounge.
15	b. Invoice No. 1796289 in the amount of \$1,666.81 for the balance
16	of the event to the Monogram Lounge.
17	c. Invoice No. 1796289 in the amount of \$721.58 for
18	J. Rieger & Co.
19	5. Invoice No. 1800584 in the amount of \$11.75 to Visa Way to Go. Staff
20	recommends a disallowance for this because this was a gift card given to
21	a customer for the trade ally's mistake. Staff does not believe that
22	customers should have to bear the burden of mistakes made by EMM's
23	trade ally.

	Amanda C. Conner
1	6. Invoice No. 1800481 in the amount of \$1,480.19 for CST Sweets
2	Staff recommends a disallowance for this because they were for thank
3	you gifts given by the contractor. Customers should not have to pay fo
4	thank you gifts as they are not appropriate expenses under the
5	MEEIA program.
6	Q. Why was General and Administrative Expense (G&A)included in the total
7	amount of each recommended disallowance?
8	A. G&A is a 17.72% surcharge added by ICF Resources, L.L.C. (ICF) on their
9	invoices named Non Subcontract General and Administrative. In order to make the prope
10	recommended disallowance, Staff included this to the total amount of each recommended
11	disallowance since this surcharge was added by ICF to EMM.
12	Q. In its review of EMW MEEIA programs for this Review Period
13	what implementation contractor costs disallowances is Staff recommending?
14	A. The amount of disallowance Staff is recommending for EMW is \$13,121.19
15	plus interest.

⁵ These recommended disallowances are shown in Table 7 of the Staff Report for EO-2023-0408.

- 3
- 45
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 11
- 12

- Q. Please explain why Staff is recommending these disallowances.
- A. The recommended disallowances are as follows:
 - 1. Invoice No. 1727936 in the amount of \$755.48 from 4Imprint for promotional items. Staff recommends this disallowance because there was no detail given in regards to what these items were in order to verify if these charges were prudent to MEEIA.
 - 2. There were several invoices Staff recommends a disallowance for because they were for Evergy branded shirts, but the logo on the shirts were too general and not specific to MEEIA. They are as follows:
 - a. Invoice No. 1742563 in the amount of \$193.18 from Vectra Visual.

1	b. Invoice No. 1743766 in the amount of \$229.40 from
2	Vectra Visual.
3	c. Invoice No. 1748669 in the amount of \$161.56 from
4	Taylor Visual.
5	d. Invoice No. 1764316 in the amount of \$139.07 from
6	Taylor Visual.
7	3. Invoice No. 1784716 in the amount of \$83.78 from Zazzle for a paper
8	weight. Staff recommends this disallowance because Staff did not
9	receive any documentation to show that this promotional item should be
10	properly included in MEEIA costs.
11	4. There were three charges Staff recommended a disallowance for special
12	events. These events were to celebrate and alcohol was provided.
13	Staff believes that if EMW chooses to pay for these events and alcohol,
14	that the shareholders should bear the costs, not customers. These charges
15	are as follows:
16	a. Invoice No. 1796289 in the amount of \$1,898.01 for a deposit to
17	Monogram Lounge.
18	b. Invoice No. 1796289 in the amount of \$2,500.21 for the balance
19	of the event to the Monogram Lounge.
20	c. Invoice No. 1796289 in the amount of \$1,082.38 for
21	J. Rieger & Co.
22	5. Invoice No. 1800584 in the amount of \$17.61 to Visa Way to Go.
23	Staff recommends this disallowance because this was a gift card given

1		to a customer for the trade ally's mistake. Staff does not believe that
2		customers should have to bear the burden of mistakes made by EMW's
3		trade ally.
4		6. Invoice No. 1800481 in the amount of \$2,220.28 for CST Sweets.
5		Staff recommends this disallowance because they were for thank you
6		gifts given by the contractor. Customers should not have to pay for thank
7		you gifts as they are not appropriate expenses under the
8		MEEIA program.
9		7. Invoice No. 1796289 in the amount of 3,840.23 from 4imprint for
10		promotional items. Staff recommends this disallowance because there
11		was no detail given in regards to what these items were in order to verify
12		if these charges were prudent to MEEIA.
13	Q.	Why was General and Administrative Expense (G&A) included in the total
14	amount of each	recommended disallowance?
15	A.	G&A is a 17.72% surcharge added by ICF Resources, L.L.C. (ICF) on their
16	invoices name	d Non Subcontract General and Administrative. In order to make the proper
17	recommended	disallowance. Staff included this to the total amount of each recommended
18	disallowance si	nce this surcharge was added by ICF to EMW.
19	Q.	Does this conclude your direct testimony in this proceeding?
20	A.	Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Second Prudence)	
Review of the Missouri Energy Efficiency)	<u>File No. EO-2023-0407</u>
Investment Act (MEEIA) Cycle 3 Energy)	
Efficiency Programs of Evergy Metro, Inc.)	
d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro)	

AFFIDAVIT OF AMANDA C. CONNER

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS
COUNTY OF COLE)	

COMES NOW AMANDA C. CONNER and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing *Direct Testimony of Amanda C. Conner*; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

AMANDA C. CONNER

monola C. Cannon

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this _______ day of February 2024.

DIANNA L. VAUGHT Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: July 18, 2027 Commission Number: 15207377 Dianne L. Vaunt-Notary Public

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Second Prudence)	
Review of the Missouri Energy Efficiency)	File No. EO-2023-0408
Investment Act (MEEIA) Cycle 3 Energy)	
Efficiency Programs of Evergy Missouri)	
West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West)	

AFFIDAVIT OF AMANDA C. CONNER

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS
COUNTY OF COLE)	

COMES NOW AMANDA C. CONNER and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing *Direct Testimony of Amanda C. Conner*; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

AMANDA C. CONNER

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 26% day of February 2024.

Notary Public

DIANNA L. VAUGHT Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: July 18, 2027 Commission Number: 15207377

Amanda C. Conner

Educational Background and Work Experience of Amanda C. Conner

My educational background includes a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting/Finance from Columbia College in Columbia, Missouri where I graduated in May of 2012.

In February 2016, I started a new career as a Utility Regulatory Auditor I with the Missouri Office of Public Counsel, where I worked for five years providing support and testimony for several rate cases before accepting my position as Regulatory Auditor with the Energy Resources Department of the Missouri Public Service Commission. Since that point, my experience includes Fuel Adjustment Clause Prudence Reviews, Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act Prudence Reviews, and Fuel Adjustment Rate filings.

My prior work experience includes eight years with the Missouri Department of Revenue first in the Taxation Division, and then in the General Counsel's Office where I handled taxation issues regarding Bankruptcy.

Case Participation

The following is a listing of cases before the Commission in which I provided testimony,

Staff recommendation or significant analysis:

Date Filed in EFIS	Case Number	Company Name – Type of Case	Contribution
01-10-23			COS Direct
01-24-23		Union Electric Company, d/b/a Ameren	CCOS Direct
02-15-23	ER-2022-0337	Missouri	Rebuttal
03-13-23		- General Rate Case	Surrebuttal/True-Up Direct
03-24-23			True-Up Rebuttal
06-22-22		Evergy Missouri West, Inc., d/b/a Evergy	COS Direct
07-13-22	EO-2022-0130	Missouri West (prior GMO)	Rebuttal
08-16-22	EU-2022-0130	- General Rate Case	Surrebuttal/True-Up Direct
08-25-22		- General Rate Case	True-Up Rebuttal
06-08-22			COS Direct
06-22-22		Evergy Metro, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri	CCOS Direct
07-13-22	EO-2022-0129	Metro (prior KCPL)	Rebuttal
08-16-22		- General Rate Case	Surrebuttal/True-Up Direct
08-25-22			True-Up Rebuttal

Date Filed in EFIS	Case Number	Company Name – Type of Case	Contribution

Reports Participation

The following is a listing of cases before the Commission in which I provided testimony, Staff recommendation or significant analysis:

Date Filed in EFIS	Case Number	Company Name – Type of Case	Contribution
2-28-24	EO-2024-0053	Union Electric Company, d/b/a Ameren Missouri	Staff Report (Recommendation)
		- FAC Prudence Review (10TH)	
2-27-24	EO-2023-0408	Evergy Missouri West, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri West (prior GMO)	Direct Testimony
		- MEEIA Cycle 3 Prudence Review (2nd)	
10-27-23	EO-2023-0407	Evergy Metro, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro (prior KCPL)	Staff Report (Recommendation)
2-27-24		- MEEIA Cycle 3 Prudence Review (2nd)	Direct Testimony
04-28-23	EO-2023-0180	Union Electric Company, d/b/a Ameren Missouri	Staff Report (Recommendation)
		- MEEIA Cycle 3 Prudence Review (2nd)	
04-28-23	ER-2023-0338	Union Electric Company, d/b/a Ameren Missouri	Staff Report (Recommendation)
		- FAR Filing – 3rd Revised (41st AP)	
04-28-23	EO-2023-0340	Union Electric Company, d/b/a Ameren Missouri	Staff Report (Recommendation)
		- FAC True-Up Filing – (39th AP)	
2-28-23	EO-2023-0087	The Empire District Electric Company d/b/a Liberty	Staff Report (Recommendation)
		- FAC Prudence Review (10 th)	
12-31-22	EO-2023-0276	Evergy Metro, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro (prior KCPL)	Staff Report (Recommendation)
		- FAC Prudence Review (5 th)	

Date Filed in EFIS	Case Number	Company Name – Type of Case	Contribution
12-31-22	EO-2023-0277	Evergy Missouri West, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri West (prior GMO) - FAC Prudence Review (11th)	Staff Report (Recommendation)
8-31-22	EO-2022-0236	Union Electric Company, d/b/a Ameren Missouri - FAC Prudence Review (9th)	Staff Report (Recommendation)
08-22-22	ER-2023-0031	Union Electric Company, d/b/a Ameren Missouri - FAR Filing – 1st Revised (40th AP)	Staff Report (Recommendation)
08-22-22	EO-2023-0032	Union Electric Company, d/b/a Ameren Missouri - FAC True-Up Filing – (37th AP)	Staff Report (Recommendation)
		, ,	
02-28-22	EO-2022-0064	Evergy Metro, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro (prior KCPL) - FAC Prudence Review (4th)	Staff Report (Recommendation)
02-28-22	EO-2022-0065	Evergy Missouri West, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri West (prior GMO) - FAC Prudence Review (10th)	Staff Report (Recommendation)
10-28-21	EO-2021-0417	Evergy Metro, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro (prior KCPL) - MEEIA Prudence Review (3rd)	Staff Report (Recommendation)
?	ER-2021-0097	Empire - FAR Filing - 9th Revised (24th AP)	Staff Report

WHILE EMPLOYED WITH THE OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

Date Filed in EFIS	Case Number	Company Name – Type of Case	Contribution
05-12-21	GR-2021-0108	Spire	Direct
06-17-21		- General Rate Case	Rebuttal

Date Filed in EFIS	Case Number	Company Name – Type of Case	Contribution
01-15-20 03-03-20 03-27-20 05-06-20	ER-2019-0374	Empire - General Rate Case	Direct Rebuttal Surrebuttal/True-Up Direct Testified to Commission Questions
06-19-18 07-27-18 09-04-18	ER-2018-0145	Kansas City Power & Light Company - General Rate Case	Direct Rebuttal Surrebuttal/True-Up Direct
06-19-18 07-27-18 09-04-18	ER-2018-0146	GMO - General Rate Case	Direct Rebuttal Surrebuttal/True-Up Direct
03-02-18 04-13-18 05-09-18	GR-2018-0013	LIBERTY - General Rate Case	Direct Rebuttal Surrebuttal
11-30-17 01-17-18 02-09-18	WR-2017-0285	Missouri-American Water Company - General Rate Case	Direct Rebuttal Surrebuttal
09-08-17 10-17-17 11-21-17 12-8-17 12-13-17	GR-2017-0216	Missouri Gas Energy - General Rate Case	Direct Rebuttal Surrebuttal Testified at Hearing Testified at Hearing
09-08-17 10-17-17 11-21-17 12-8-17 12-13-17	GR-2017-0215	Laclede Gas Company - General Rate Case	Direct Rebuttal Surrebuttal Testified at Hearing Testified at Hearing
11-30-16 01-27-17	ER-2016-0285	Kansas City Power & Light Company - General Rate Case	Direct Surrebuttal
04-01-16 05-16-16	ER-2016-0023	Empire - General Rate Case	Direct Surrebuttal