Exhibit No. 130

Exhibit No.:

Customer Deposits, Issue(s):

Materials & Supplies, Outside Services, Insurance Expense,

EEI Expenses

Courtney Horton Witness: MoPSC Staff Sponsoring Party:

Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony

Case No.: ER-2021-0312

Date Testimony Prepared: January 20, 2022

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FINANCIAL & BUSINESS ANALYSIS DIVISION **AUDITING DEPARTMENT**

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY **OF COURTNEY HORTON**

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Liberty

CASE NO. ER-2021-0312

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2022

1	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2	OF		
3	COURTNEY HORTON		
4 5	THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Liberty		
6	CASE NO. ER-2021-0312		
7	CUSTOMER DEPOSITS1		
8	MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES2		
9	OUTSIDE SERVICES3		
10	INSURANCE EXPENSE3		
11	EDISON ELECTRIC INSTITUTE ("EEI")4		

1		SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY	
2		OF	
3		COURTNEY HORTON	
4 5		THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Liberty	
6		CASE NO. ER-2021-0312	
7	Q.	Please state your name, employment position, and business address.	
8	A.	Courtney Horton, Utility Regulatory Auditor with the Missouri Public Service	
9	Commission ("Commission" or "PSC"), 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.		
10	Q.	Are you the same Courtney Horton who previously provided testimony in	
11	this case?		
12	A.	Yes. I contributed to Staff's Cost of Service Report ("COS Report") filed on	
13	October 29, 2021, and I filed rebuttal testimony on December 20, 2021, in the Empire Distric		
14	Electric Company ("Empire" or "Company") rate case designated as Case No. ER-2021-0312		
15	Q.	Please summarize your surrebuttal testimony.	
16	A.	The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to make corrections to	
17	customer deposits, materials and supplies, and outside services expense. I also respond to		
18	Company witness Charlotte Emery in regards to insurance expense, materials and supplies, and		
19	Edison Elec	tric Institute ("EEI") expenses.	
20	CUSTOME	ER DEPOSITS	
21	Q.	Please explain Staff's correction to customer deposits.	
22	A.	Company witness Charlotte Emery in rebuttal testimony, starting on pages 7,	
23	line 16 thro	ugh page 8, line 2, stated that "Staff's adjustment incorrectly reduces the total	

Missouri Customer Deposit balance by \$67,028. The \$67,028 represents non-electric customer deposits for water customers. However, the Customers Deposits related to water was actually removed at the Total Company level. Therefore, no additional adjustment is needed to reflect the proper balance for the direct assigned Missouri electric Customer Deposits." Staff agrees with Ms. Emery's recommended changes and removed all the water customer deposits data from its calculations. Staff included a 13-month average of direct assigned Missouri electric customer deposits, ending June 30, 2021, in the amount of \$14,120,742 as an offset to rate base.

MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES

- Q. Please explain Staff's correction to materials and supplies.
- A. Company witness Charlotte Emery in rebuttal testimony on starting on pages 8, line 3 through page 9, line 14, stated that "Staff should not be removing any amounts related to water inventory from materials and supplies balances because Empire sold its water assets to Liberty Utilities (Missouri Water), LLC in June 2020." Staff agrees with Ms. Emery's recommended changes and removed all the water inventory data from its calculations. Staff included a 13-month average ending June 30, 2021 to determine materials and supplies that resulted in a \$43,901,180 offset to rate base.
- Q. Company witness Charlotte Emery in rebuttal testimony on pages 8, lines 3-22, and page 9, lines 1-14, stated that "Staff should not have excluded clearing accounts from its materials and supplies because these costs have been prudently incurred." Does Staff agree?
- A. No. Staff believes that clearing accounts are not materials or supplies because the amounts in these accounts are temporary. The amounts in these accounts will be transferred to another account for miscellaneous expenses that need to be allocated to several

accounts, such as vehicle maintenance and cell phone expenses, which are not classified as materials and supplies.

OUTSIDE SERVICES

- Q. Please explain Staff's correction to outside services expense.
- A. Company witness Tisha Sanderson in rebuttal testimony starting on page 9, line 12 through page 10, line 3, stated that "Staff should not have applied its Missouri allocation percentage to the Missouri only AMI outside services expense amount because the EMS run also applies the Missouri jurisdictional allocation percentage to this amount which reduces it even further." Staff agrees with Ms. Sanderson's recommended changes and corrected this by applying the Missouri allocation percentage to the outside services expense total company amount and then added the Missouri only AMI outside services expense to that amount, resulting in a \$319,864 Missouri jurisdictional adjustment.

INSURANCE EXPENSE

- Q. Company witness Tisha Sanderson in rebuttal testimony on page 3, lines 3-5, stated that "the Company has purchased insurance coverage for the wind projects. Therefore, the Company believes that it is appropriate for Staff to include the associated costs in their revenue requirement." Does Staff agree?
- A. Yes, in response to Staff Data Request No. 131 Empire provided copies of the wind insurance policies and invoices. Staff updated its insurance expense to include the wind insurance in its adjustment. Staff's total Company adjustment for insurance expense is \$2,975,002.

EDISON ELECTRIC INSTITUTE ("EEI")

- Q. Company witness Charlotte Emery in rebuttal testimony, starting on page 14, line 14 through page 15, line 23, states that "the Company does not agree with the removal of the EEI dues that are unrelated to lobbying." Does Staff agree?
- A. No. As discussed in the COS Report, prior Commission orders have stated that Empire and other electric utilities must quantify the benefit of membership to EEI to both the Company's ratepayers and shareholders. Company witness Ms. Emery in rebuttal testimony on pages 14-15, lines 22-24, and lines 1-11, discusses how EEI benefits Empire and EEI members. However, Ms. Emery failed to discuss how EEI directly benefits rate payers and shareholders as two distinct groups. Also, Empire recorded the bulk of EEI dues in an account number 930210, which is an above the line account, and Empire has failed to demonstrate that none of that amount was in fact directly or indirectly incurred in support of EEI's lobbying activities. The total test year amount for EEI dues is \$192,260 and Staff made an adjustment to disallow that entire amount based upon past Commission precedent.
 - Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Request of The Empire District Electric Company d/b/a Liberty for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in its Missouri Service Area) Case No. ER-2021-0312)			
AFFIDAVIT OF COURTNEY HORTON				
STATE OF MISSOURI) SS. COUNTY OF COLE)				
COMES NOW COURTNEY HORTON, a and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoned and that the same is true and correct according to	-			
Further the Affiant sayeth not.	urtne Houtoz URTNEY HORTON			
JUR	RAT			
Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly con the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my off of January, 2022.	stituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for ice in Jefferson City, on this 12th day			
DIANNA L. VAUGHT Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: July 18, 2023 Commission Number: 15207377	Dianne L. Vaust Notary Public ()			