

EC-2024-0244

Brett Felber  
VS  
Ameren Missouri

### Change Of Supplier

I respectfully ask the Commission to designate a different Regulatory Judge to hear this matter for my change of supplier application. Judge Clark is part of the reason why I want a change of supplier. He allowed Ameren to extort me out of \$[REDACTED]. He denied my all my Motions to compel Discovery from Ameren Missouri. He has denied my re-hearing in EC-2023-0395.

He is the exact reason why Ameren was able to get away with screwing me out of money. I will attach the same exhibits as I have attached them in my newest matter EC-2024-0217 in which he allowed Ameren Missouri to produce and use a counterfeit document that Ameren's Regulatory Department used to not only extort me out of \$[REDACTED] but also allowed them to commit property damage to my parents premises and have lost even more money, because he didn't allow me. I have lost over \$[REDACTED] plus in expenditures from this Judge as a result of his unfair practices and deceptive practices.

Until he admits that he allowed Ameren to use a bogus, fraudulent, counterfeit produced document to deceive me out of that money I worked hard for. Don't believe me.? I will show it to you.

I encourage Evergy Missouri to look at EC-2023-0395 and EC-2024-0217. You will see the denied motions, you will also see the counterfeit document that I'm talking about. I will also publish it in here.

The Honorable Judge Clark is a protector of Ameren Missouri and looks out for the best interest of Ameren Missouri over the Consumer.

Otherwise, I don't like being forced to do business with Con Artist Corporations and I don't believe that I should be subjected to a Judge who routinely practices con artist methods.

While I respect Judges, I hardly call Judge Clark a Judge, especially to the introduction of counterfeit documents and knowing Ameren Missouri's Regulatory Department passed a counterfeit agreement.

He didn't like my Jurat Affidavit and while I will agree at times and I did argue with him during EC-2023-0395, I was trying to get my point through. He also allowed Ameren's co counsel make a lewd comment to my daughter that didn't have anything to do with the evidentiary hearing in EC-2023-0395.

In addition, he allowed the master manipulator who admitted to altering documents spend an hour talking about her career in the Army. I wasn't there to listen about the parties career in the Army, I was there for and evidentiary hearing.

Then after she admitted to altering documents and on top of the counterfeit document that has an invalid email address embedded in the document and numerous coding errors, he said that the Ameren's Regulatory Liasion who altered documents was a "credible " witness.

He also canceled my original evidentiary hearing which would have resulted in a decision being handed down sooner to two months later because Ameren Missouri didn't like the payment agreements.

Also he thinks preserving a document with a watermark is "altering." I'm scared to think what he thinks about the Notarized Document that a Notary out of Travis County, Texas did for me.

Until he apologizes for his actions, it would be in the best interest of I and him if he recused himself from this matter and another Regulatory Judge decides this matter.

Attached are the exhibits of the counterfeit agreement. I encourage Evergy Missouri to feel free to plug [www2.ameren.com](http://www2.ameren.com) and try to send or receive an email from Ameren Missouri, as it is an invalid link. Even if they meant to say [www.ameren.com](http://www.ameren.com), that still wouldn't be an email address because that is used for their website.

Oh and the payment agreement is the main thing here, in which is a main reason why I want to have a change of supplier. Here's the missing things.

Line 2-failed to insert the O for to.

Line 4, my payment agreement confirmation was emailed from [DONOTReply@ameren.com](mailto:DONOTReply@ameren.com) and the payment date was for May 22, 2023, not May 18, 2023. Even if there was a supposed payment agreement for May 18, 2023 as Ameren and Judge Clark allowed, I would have never received it because of the last line number 10 in which states [www2.ameren.com](http://www2.ameren.com).

Oh and the PAG terms are hilarious at best. Instead of encoding the actual payment terms which would have been 12 additional installments, at \$[REDACTED] additional per month on top of monthly payment, but according to Ameren Missouri my PAG Terms were table border, cellpadding, cellspacing, color, wutable. I'm still trying to figure out how that relates to a payment with numbers, because it doesn't. It's absolute fraud and counterfeit.

I also won't forget to add they forgot the E for failure.

Personally, I would like to know what type of software engineer would make that many mistakes? No reputable software engineer would make that many mistakes at all.

I encourage Judge Clark to send an email to [www2.ameren.com](http://www2.ameren.com), I encourage Evergy to send an email to [www2.ameren.com](http://www2.ameren.com), I encourage Ameren to send an email to [www2.ameren.com](http://www2.ameren.com) and whoever the Staff puts to investigate this to try to send an email to [www2.ameren.com](http://www2.ameren.com) and see if they get an email. Because they won't.

Therefore, it is a counterfeit agreement, but I'm sure Judge Clark will allow another excuse. In fact if anything, Judge Clark is just as responsible as Ameren and I should probably file suit to include him.

I have half the nerve to present this document to the County Prosecutor to show it was used to defraud me and deceive me out of money. In fact, I think Judge Clark should be prosecuted along with Ameren as a result of this.

I apologize for the long rant, but I lost 7 months of valuable time, holidays, money and have PTSD as a result of his and Ameren's actions. I'm pretty sure everyone would understand if they went through the stuff he put me through in EC-2023-0395.

I'm all for fairness, however when he turned it into a one-sided game and didn't allow me to get the documents I asked for and when I raised awareness about the documents he brushed it off.

At this point I deserve an hand written apology from him and I deserve an answer, instead of excuses as to why he allowed Ameren Missouri to use a reproduced counterfeit document. When Judge Clark does that and orders an investigation into that document, then I will allow him to overhear this, otherwise I respectfully ask that he recuses himself.

I apologize to Evergy and this is primarily part of the reason and a foundation basis as to why I want a change of supplier. I shouldn't be forced or subjected to dealing with a utility provider that has admitted to altering documents and that has altered and more importantly produced a counterfeit document. My hard earned money that I work and worked hard for shouldn't be applied to repeat offenders who are con artists.

I will refrain from talking about the Letter of Denial violation, in which I addressed that many times which he overlooked, essentially in EC-2024-0217 the Staff of that report openly admitted Ameren admitted they failed to send out the correct or the information for a denial letter. I could write three more pages of that, but it's there for viewing.

Brett Felber March 11, 2024