EC-2024-0217

VS Ameren Missouri

I respectfully ask the Commission and the Regulatory Judge to please reduce the time frame that Ameren Missouri has to file a response to this exhibit presented. I as the Commission and Regulatory Judge to reduce the timeframe to 10 days instead of 30 days, as giving Ameren Missouri 30 days to file a response to this allows them to act in the capicity, have the means, act in the order to produce and distribute and pass off more counterfeit documents.

Thanks to the original staff of the Commission, Mrs. King, Mrs. Fontaine and Mr. Keevil, their failed obligations and to do their due diligence on this, this countefeit so called "payment agreement" was able to be used to defraud I the consumer out of more money, but more importantly utility services that when I hounded for the Regulatory Judge in EC-2023-0395, more importantly the Commissioners, when I kept hounding and filing motions to have services restored last year that services should have been ordered to be restored immediately and Ameren should have face heavy fines and punishments as a result of this document.

I have also given Ameren's legal counsel the opportunity to come clean about the document prior to seeking outside help from governmental agencies.

While I understand that the Commission doesn't handle criminal matters. This document possibly implicates that Ameren did in fact commit a criminal crime and it was used to criminally extort me out of \$ 1000 miles and it was used to criminally extort me out of \$ 1000 miles and it was used to criminally extort me out of \$ 1000 miles and it was used to criminally extort me out of \$ 1000 miles and it was used to criminally extort me out of \$ 1000 miles and it was used to criminally extort me out of \$ 1000 miles and it was used to criminal matters.

The original Staff investigators along with Regulatory Judge protected the prepetrator, instead of protecting the victim in this matter.

Thanks to not only the Regulatory Judge, but also the Staff who failed to clearly investigated and a spineless Regulatory Judge (I know that is bold to say about Judge Clark, but it is the truth) their protection of the prepetrator and not protecting the vicitm, further allowed Ameren Missouri to further continue their prepetrating that costed, I the victim more money than I should have lost.

Therefore, I respectfully ask the Commission and the Regulatory Judge to reduce the timeframe from the standard 30 day period to 10 days to reduce the outcome of Ameren preparing possibily even more fraudulent, counterfeit, altered documents in giving I the Complainant and submitting in their answer.

It's time from the Staff of the PSC and the Commissioners to stop protecting the prepetrator and protecting I the victim.

Yes, I do plan on presenting this document to the District Attorney's Office as it was used to extort, defraud and deceive me out of money. In addition, I do plan on amending my original Charge of Discrimination Complaint I filed as well. I plan on having Judge Clark implicated in my amended Charge Of Discrimination Complaint.

There is also no Statute Of Limitations on counterfeit documents and using them to defraud and extort. In fact, it actually reopens the investigation. Fraudulent documents, do not carry a Statute of Limitations.

Brett Felber March 13, 2024