

EC-2024-0217

Brett Felber
VS
Ameren Missouri

Your honor, I respectfully ask that we setup a hearing to distinguish a couple things in this matter that I would like further clarification on. After I submitted my Motion to reduce the timeframe to 10 days, instead of 30 days, I got an email from Ameren's legal team in which under the impression of the email they were going to respond to me by the end of business today March 14, 2024, this was to discuss the document. Or atleast that is what undersigned counsel made the email to believe.

As usual, Ameren Missouri through their representatives and undersigned counsel ghosted me again. Ameren Missouri runs familiar with ghosting tactics when they get caught in a lie and this is their pattern of behavior.

I request that if Ameren Missouri doesn't agree to reducing the timeframe that we engage in a hearing to discuss the surrounding details of the paper in which I why I would like the timeframe reduced.

I will respectfully wait until Ameren Missouri files either agreeing to the reduced timeframe or declining. Then I will move to quash, as Ameren knows a 30 day timeframe benefits them and gives them the ability to further themselves and engage in continued aspects of passing and proudcing counterfeit documents. In which they should be denied a 30 day period, due to the seriousness of the document at question.

Brett Felber
March 14, 2024

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be the initials 'BF' followed by a long, sweeping horizontal stroke that curves downwards at the end.