

EC-2024-0217

Brett Felber
VS
Ameren Missouri

Response & Objection

While I do hope that everything is alright with Mrs. Hernandez, I object. I wish to introduce two different exhibits to be added, which will show no implication that undersigned counsel was having a procedure done, lead to the pretense that she was going to be in her office two different dates known as March 14, 2024 and Friday March 15, 2024 and that if needed assistance to reach out to other counsel Mrs. Tatro for assistance.

While counsel isn't required to tell me her medical history, the emails sent to the Complainant will show no implication that undersigned counsel was having a medical procedure done and that she would be back in the office on those two dates.

Wouldn't it have been much easier for her to implicate that she would have been out of the office in one email by stating she would be out until the 18th, instead of stating she would be out of the office from March 13-18th in one email, instead of stating she would be back on March 14th and then March 15th?

At this point Ameren Missouri cannot be trusted. Knowing that the Motion to Amend was filed last week, Mrs. Hernandez could have easily had Mrs. Tatro file a response much sooner, however they didn't.

Ameren is a manipulator of tactics and further I think Ameren Missouri knows the truth that this is a counterfeit document. That's why Ameren Missouri wants 30 days.

This document clearly shows counterfeit email addresses and PAG terms, more importantly it was a document that was never sent and Ameren knows that, however, giving them 30 days will allow Ameren Missouri and I have no doubt in my mind that they will then submit another counterfeit document.

What's interesting also about this document is that they label it as if a "senior software engineer" told them this. However, we have no name of that "senior software engineer" even listed on that exhibit. Who is the "senior software engineer" and what is the "senior software engineers" name?

Your honor, Ameren Missouri knows the truth about the document and all I want is honesty. That is it. Instead of Ameren Missouri failing to tell the truth, they would rather file a frivolous objection in which Mrs. Hernandez stated in two separate emails that

- 1) She would respond back on the dates of March 14th and March 15th.
- 2) There was no implication in the emails sent to the Complainant that she would be out of the office until March 18th, for a medical procedure.
- 3) Undersigned counsel could have stated in one email that she would have been out until March 18, 2024
- 4) In her email it implicates Mrs. Tatro's email address if assistance is needed.
- 5) While they had until the 18th to file a response, undersigned counsel could have filed a response sooner and indicated to the Commission and the Regulatory Judge that she would be out from the dates of March 13-18.

This is exactly what Ameren Missouri does to further stall, but also when they've been caught in a lie.

Allowing Ameren Missouri to have 30 days to respond to a document that is already a counterfeit, allows Ameren Missouri to further produce more counterfeit documents.

Furthermore, the Commission and the Regulatory Judge should grant the Complainants Motion and Ameren Missouri should be forced to file an answer by no later than March 19, 2024.

In addition, I respectfully ask that the Regulatory Judge setup a hearing as I wish for to file a Motion to Sanction Ameren and their legal counsel for absurdly waiting until the last day to file a response if she knew that she was going to be out from March 13-18th for a medical procedure and not implicating that in email correspondence to the Complainant and deceiving the Complainant and misrepresenting the actual days she would be in her office.

More importantly I just want Ameren Missouri to tell the truth, but instead they would rather be selfish because they know they crafted a counterfeit document.

I believe giving them more time to respond just fuels them to continue prepetrating without consequence of the counterfeit document.

Therefore, I request a hearing be setup to discuss this document further and maybe Mrs. Hernandez and greatly explain the emails sent to me.

As an FYI, I believe Ameren has severe mental problems. I'm sorry your honor, I'm frustrated. This is the grossest amount of abuse by a Corporation that I have ever seen. Further I believe they have mental problems if they think that www2.ameren.com in an external email address.

All I want it the truth and Ameren is afraid to admit that.. That is sad.

Attached are the email correspondence.

Brett Felber
March 18, 2024

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Brett Felber', written in a cursive style. The signature is located below the typed name and date.