

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a)	
Evergy Missouri Metro's and Evergy)	<u>File No. ET-2024-0182</u>
Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri)	Tracking No. JE-2024-0081 &
West's Solar Subscription Rider Tariff)	JE-2024-0082
Filings)	

**LIST OF ISSUES, ORDER OF WITNESSES, ORDER OF CROSS EXAMINATION,
ORDER OF OPENING STATEMENTS**

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff), and, after consultation with the parties,¹ tenders the following List of Issues, Order of Witnesses, Order of Cross Examination, Order of Opening Statements. The Commission has ordered the parties to agree upon and file a list of the issues to be heard although they may not agree on how each issue should be described or on whether a listed issue is in fact a proper issue in the case.² Staff advises the Commission that the parties have not agreed that all of the issues listed below are in fact proper issues, or on how each is described.

LIST OF ISSUES

1. What are appropriate billing provisions at this time for SSP³ participants served on schedule RPKA⁴, and when should those provisions take effect?
2. Should SSP participants be allowed to take service on schedule RTOU2?⁵ If so, what are appropriate billing provisions and when should those provisions take effect?
3. Should SSP participants be allowed to take service on schedule RTOU3?⁶ If so, what are appropriate billing provisions and when should those provisions take effect?

¹ Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West will be referred to as "Evergy."

² Order Setting Procedural Schedule and Further Suspending Tariff Sheets

³ Subscriber Solar rider

⁴ Residential Peak Adjustment

⁵ Residential Time of Use Two Period

⁶ Residential High Differential Time of Use

4. Should SSP participants be allowed to take service on schedule RTOU? If so, what are appropriate billing provisions and when should those provisions take effect?
5. Should SSP participants be allowed to take service on schedule RTOU-EV?⁷ If so, what are appropriate billing provisions and when should those provisions take effect?
6. Should provisions to clarify the non-bypassability of any SUTC⁸ in the application of SSP billing provisions be incorporated into the SSP tariff?
7. Should the SSP Solar Block Cost pricing be changed and if so when should that change take effect?
8. Should the SSP Non- Residential subscription level terms be changed?
9. Should the SSP program expansion terms regarding the addition of resources and the removal of the three month waiting period for Non-Residential customers be changed?
10. Should Evergy pay subscribers for any excess generation of the solar resource at the parallel generation rate?

ORDER OF WITNESSES

Evergy Kevin Brannan
 Brad Lutz

Staff Sarah L. K. Lange
 Cedric Cunigan, P.E.

ORDER OF CROSS EXAMINATION

The parties identified below are parties that have pre-filed testimony. A different order of cross-examination may be more appropriate for specific issues; however, the following orders of cross-examination are generally based on adversity:

Evergy Staff
 Staff Evergy

ORDER OF OPENING STATEMENTS

Evergy
 Staff

WHEREFORE, Staff respectfully submits the foregoing in compliance with the Commission's orders.

⁷ Separately Metered Electric Vehicle Time of Use

⁸ Securitized Utility Tariff Charge

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Paul T. Graham #30416

Senior Staff Counsel
Missouri Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, Mo 65102-0360
(573) 522-8459
Paul.graham@psc.mo.gov

Attorney for the Staff of the
Missouri Public Service Commission

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned by his signature below certifies that the foregoing pleading was served upon all counsel of record on this 26th March 26, 2024, by electronic filing in EFIS, electronic mail, hand-delivery, or U.S. postage prepaid.

/s/ Paul T. Graham