

EC-2024-0217

Brett Felber
VS
Ameren Missouri

Your Honor and the Commission, ahead of any filings between the Staff of the Commission or Ameren Missouri due for April 4, 2024, I the Complainant respectfully ask that before any Motion to Dismiss is filed on behalf of Ameren Missouri by their undersigned counsel or Staff Counsel that they be ordered to answer to the validation of the authenticity of www2.ameren.com

Ameren Missouri most likely is going to state that I failed to cite a statute, however, there is no statute to cite in verification of authentication of an email address. It is a simple yes or no response.

If you look back through EC-2023-0395, there validation and authentication of www2.ameren.com was never ruled or arrised and the PAG terms never were brought to anyones attention, therefore there has been no ruling on the authentication of this document.

In addition, there is no statute that comes into play until Ameren Missouri and the Staff file an answer to yes or no about the authentication of the document. Then after that based on the response would follow a sequence of Statutes, rules, regulations and tariffs that the Respondent Ameren Missouri has.

In addition there is a clear violation that was errored and mentioned in this matter that then reflects back to Ameren Missouri lying which is the violation in reference to Staff about denial of service letters.

In EC-2023-0395, Ameren's Missouri told the Honorable Judge Clark in numerous filings that they followed all rules, regulations and tariffs. However, upon Staff's finding they openly admitted that they failed to follow rules, regulations and tariffs and stated they were working on a better definition of them.

That document wasn't addressed in the evidentiary hearing, however, Ameren Missouri's admittance to Staff and further finding in Staff of the violation, opens up the Complaint process in which Ameren Missouri can and should be ordered to answer to why they lied under oath to the Honorable Judge Clark about the denial of service letter, but then admitted in a different complaint admitted to a violation.

There is no specific statute to cite to answering yes or no questions and a Statute wouldn't come into the Complainants position until Ameren Missouri and Staff of the Commission answers the validation of the bogus email address of www2.ameren.com

I respectfully ask the Commission and Honorable Judge Fewell, to deny an Motion to Dismiss filings from Ameren Missouri or the Staff of EC-2024-0217, until they satisfactorily answer to the authentication and validation of www2.ameren.com and also answer as to why they lied about a denial of service letter.

The Denial of Service letter allows the Commission in good cause to re-open the investigation of EC-2023-0395 about the issue of that document and allows for further explanation of that document as well.

However, there were no conclusions of law in regards to the email address or denial of service letter violation and nothing in the report and order overviewed the authentication or validation of the bogus email address www2.ameren.com

Again, I respectfully ask that the Honorable Judge Fewell and the Commission further deny any Motion to Dismiss filings from Ameren Missouri until they answer to the validation and authentication of the bogus email address of www2.ameren.com

Brett Felber
March 29, 2024