FILED
May 04, 2023
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

# Exhibit No. 16

MAWC – Exhibit 16 Derek Linam Rebuttal Testimony File No. WR-2022-0303 Exhibit No.:

Issues: Cost allocation
Witness: Derek Linam
Exhibit Type: Rebuttal

Sponsoring Party: Missouri-American Water Company

Case No.: WR-2022-0303
Date: January 18, 2023

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

**CASE NO. WR-2022-0303** 

#### REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

**OF** 

**DEREK LINAM** 

ON BEHALF OF

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

I, Derek Linam, under penalty of perjury, and pursuant to Section 509.030, RSMo, state that I am Deputy Director of Engineering for Missouri-American Water Company, that the accompanying testimony has been prepared by me or under my direction and supervision; that if inquiries were made as to the facts in said testimony, I would respond as therein set forth; and that the aforesaid testimony is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Derek Linam

January 18, 2023

Dated

# REBUTTAL TESTIMONY DEREK LINAM MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY CASE NO.: WR-2022-0303

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION	2
II.	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY COST ALLOCATION	4

#### REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

#### **DEREK LINAM**

## I. INTRODUCTION

- 2 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 3 A. My name is Derek Linam. My business address is 727 Craig Road, Creve Coeur, MO
- 4 63141.

1

- 5 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 6 A. I am employed by Missouri-American Water Company (MAWC or the Company) as
- 7 Deputy Director of Engineering.
- 8 Q. Please summarize your educational background and business experience.
- 9 I received a Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering in 1991 from the University of A. 10 Arkansas. I am registered as a professional engineer in Missouri and a licensed Missouri 11 Department of Natural Resources level A water treatment and level DSIII distribution 12 operator. I have more than 31 years of experience in the water and wastewater utility 13 industry. I worked as an engineer for Missouri American Water Company (formerly St 14 Louis County Water Company) in the engineering and production departments managing 15 capital projects in the transmission & distribution system as well as production facilities 16 from 1991 to 1999. My work included hydraulic analysis, new installation or replacement of transmission and distribution water mains, and water treatment plant capital projects. In 17 18 1999, I was promoted to Operations Superintendent in the St. Louis District Production 19 department at MAWC, where I was responsible for the operations and maintenance of the 20 south area water treatment plants. In 2000, I was promoted to Engineering Manager for 21 MAWC, where I was responsible for capital delivery in the St Louis County District. In

- 1 2003, I was the Operations Manager for the transmission and distribution system in the St
- 2 Louis operation of the Company, where I was responsible for the construction and
- maintenance departments. In 2008, I moved back to the engineering department as
- 4 Engineering Manager responsible for capital asset planning and/or capital project delivery
- for the Company in Missouri. In 2022, I was promoted to Deputy Director of Engineering
- 6 for MAWC.

#### 7 Q. What are your current employment responsibilities?

- 8 A. As Deputy Director of Engineering, I oversee and manage the planning, design and
- 9 construction of water, wastewater, and other general facilities for Missouri American
- Water. My responsibilities include administering the capital program for the Company.
- 11 Q. Are you generally familiar with the operations, books and records of MAWC?
- 12 A. As a Deputy Director of Engineering, I am familiar with the facilities and operations of the
- 13 Company in each of its operating areas.
- 14 Q. Have you previously testified before the Missouri Public Service Commission
- 15 (Commission)?
- 16 A. Yes. I previously testified in Commission Case No. WC-2009-0277.
- 17 Q. Did you provide Direct Testimony in this case?
- 18 A. No, I did not.
- 19 Q. Are you adopting any Direct Testimony in this case?
- 20 A. Yes. I am adopting portions of the Direct Testimony of Rebecca Losli, specifically Section
- 21 III "Description of Plant Additions".

1 Q. If you were asked the same questions as those asked in Ms. Losli's Direct Testimony, 2 would your responses be the same? Yes. However, I would update the Direct Testimony in regard to the significant capital 3 A. 4 projects in service during the period January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2022 discussed in the Direct Testimony.<sup>1</sup> 5 6 Q. What updates would you make? 7 A. There was a delay in three of those projects that the company anticipates will be in service 8 by May 31, 2023, in addition to those Ms. Losi listed in her Direct Testimony for that time period. Those three projects are: Affton Tank #3 Roof Replacement (I17020186), Joplin 9 10 Water Plant Replace High Service Pump Station (I1710023), and Incline Village Wastewater Plant #1 Expansion (HRAM3-4) (I17150002).<sup>2</sup> 11 Would you make any other changes or updates to Ms. Losi's Direct Testimony, 12 Q. 13 **Section III. Description of Plant Additions?** 14 No. A. What is the purpose of your Rebuttal Testimony? 15 Q. The purpose of my Rebuttal Testimony is to respond to Office of the Public Counsel (OPC) 16 A. 17 witness Angela Schaben regarding Enterprise Solutions new technology and/or software enhancements. 18 19 II. INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY COST ALLOCATION

In Ms. Schaben's Direct Testimony, she poses the question, "If information and

<sup>1</sup> Losli DT, pp. 11-25.

20

Q.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Id.* at 12,14, and.23, respectively.

technology and support services are functions of the Service Company, why is MAWC

requesting \$20 million in new technology and/or software enhancements?" How

would you respond to this question?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

4 A. MAWC uses Enterprise Solutions technology and software to perform business operations

such as customer service, meter reading and customer billing, asset management, and

others. Enterprise Solutions is utilized across all of American Water's operating entities

in order to assure software and technology solutions integrate system wide. The Service

Company coordinates the purchase and implementation with MAWC, and Missouri's share

of the capital cost of equipment and software is allocated to Missouri's utility plant.

Q. Please explain why the \$20 million dollar capital investment should be included in

MAWC's cost of service?

A. These are not service company capital projects. The enterprise technology and software

assets are procured and implemented by Service Company for the use of each state to

manage state business functions. Without Enterprise Solutions, each state would need to

implement an independent solution and procure the equipment and employ the staff to

maintain these solutions to run their respective business. Since MAWC uses a portion of

these Enterprise Solutions, MAWC's share of the capital cost should be included in the

cost of service.

Q. Does this conclude your Rebuttal Testimony?

20 A. Yes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Schaben DT, p. 26.