EO-2024-0244

Brett Felber VS Ameren Missouri

Staff's of Commissions Motion shoulde be denied, as it fails to state an exact reason of why it should be denied.

There's no Statute to cite for a direct answer if yes or no to a simple question of if www2.ameren.com is an actual email address.

That was never address in any other matter. Ultimately though if the respose to the answer by Ameren Missouri or Staff is tyo admit responsibility that it is a counterfeit document, then it shows that the uiltiyt provider (Ameren Missouri) engaged in the practice of misrepresentations, unjust enrichment, decptive and deceiving business practices. Forgery, etc.

What are the Statutes for those?

By Staff's definition, it was okay for Ameren Missouri to harass me for over 7 months and extort I the Complainant out of more money than I should've expend. This document clearly admits that.

So it was okay for Ameren Missouri to "harass" I out of money, but it isn't fair for I the Complainant to rightfully seek re-course for the possession they stole from me? Brilliant.

Pretty bold aassertion put out by the Staff of the Commission. Especially when this document or exhibit clearly shows it was a counterfeit.

My claim was correct. The Staff is protecting the perpetrator and not the victim, once again. Where's the protection for the victim here? Nowhere.

I've wasted more time than the Staff has, plus money expenditures to have the documentr analyzed.

My point is taken that the Commission is tired of me, Ameren Missouri is tired of me, I am tired of myself asking the same question over and over, expecting the correct answer.

Upon admittance of truth in a Joint filing by both Staff of the Commission, Public Service Commission and Ameren Missouri stating that exhibit D NO MPSC 0027 is a bogus and counterfeit document, I will gladly file a motion to dismiss my change of supplier matter. (Stated that numerous times), however, if Staff of the Commission and Ameren Missouri want to continue to lie about it, the matter should stay.

I don't understand why the Staff of the Commission or Ameren should feel that they should be able to get away with lies .

If Ameren Missouri and the Staff of the Commission file answers to the subjected questions below by 5 pm today, I will gladly submit my Motion first thing in the morning to dismiss this matter. In addition, the PSC doesn't have to take any further action of a violation against Ameren Missouri.

Q) Pleae admit that document exhibit D NO MPSC 0027 is a counterfeit and forged document?

Please admit that www2.ameren.com is not an email address?

Please admit that www2.ameren.com is a catching link to their web domain address?

Please admit that the PAG terms fail to embedd the numerical values of the agreement such as 12 installments and \$ \_\_\_\_\_?

Please admit that this document would have never been received to bfelber14@gmail.com?

Please admit document exhibit D NO MPSC 0027was used to extort, deceive and misrepresent Brett Felber out of \$ 2000.

Please admit that the actual payment date was May 22, 2023, not May 18, 2023, as the document in question would have never been received by Complainant?

If Staff and Ameren file a response and admit to those seven questions, admitting their errors, I will gladly submit my Motion to Dismiss and move on from the Change of Supplier.

FYI, It isn't harassment either when trying to compel an asnwer to a question or submitted a Motion denying a dismissal, when the Respondent or Staff fail to answer the ethical business practices of the utility company they regulate.

Ameren has been allowed to harass me continously before, during and after all my Complaints. That is the exact reason brought them up to the Commission.

It's okay for Ameren to harass me, but it isn't okay for me to get a truthful answer that we all know the answer to? I'll take it by Mr. Grahams filing and the end of the Statement it is a direct admittance that Ameren Missouri is in the wrong?

Brett Felber April 1, 2024