FILED September 29, 2022 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No. 204

MoPSC Staff – Exhibit 204 Kimberly K. Bolin Direct Testimony File Nos. ER-2022-0129 & ER-2022-0130

Exhibit No.: Issue(s): COVID AAO Witness: Kimberly K. Bolin Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Case Nos.: ER-2022-0129 and ER-2022-0130 Date Testimony Prepared: June 8, 2022

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FINANCIAL AND BUSINESS ANALYSIS DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

KIMBERLY K. BOLIN

Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro Case No. ER-2022-0129

Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West Case No. ER-2022-0130

> Jefferson City, Missouri June2022

| 1 | TABLE OF CONTENTS OF |
|--------|--|
| 2 | DIRECT TESTIMONY OF |
| 3 | KIMBERLY K. BOLIN |
| 4 5 | Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro Case No. ER-2022-0129 |
| 6 7 | Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West Case No. ER-2022-0130 |
| 8 | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY2 |
| 9 | COVID AAO |
| 10 | WINTER STORM URI – EVERGY METRO ONLY6 |

| 1 | | DIRECT TESTIMONY |
|--------|----------------|--|
| 2 | | OF |
| 3 | | KIMBERLY K. BOLIN |
| 4 5 | | Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro Case No. ER-2022-0129 |
| 6 7 | | Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West Case No. ER-2022-0130 |
| 8 | Q. | Please state your name and business address. |
| 9 | А. | My name is Kimberly K. Bolin. My business address is P. O. Box 360, |
| 10 | Suite 440, Jef | fferson City, MO 65102. |
| 11 | Q. | By whom are you employed and in what capacity? |
| 12 | А. | I am the Financial and Business Analysis Director for the Missouri Public |
| 13 | Service Com | mission ("Commission"). |
| 14 | Q. | Please describe your educational background and work experience. |
| 15 | А. | I graduated from Central Missouri State University (now University of Central |
| 16 | Missouri) in | Warrensburg, Missouri, with a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration, |
| 17 | major emphas | sis in Accounting, in May 1993. Before coming to work at the Commission, I was |
| 18 | employed by | the Missouri Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC") as a Public Utility Accountant |
| 19 | from Septem | ber 1994 to April 2005. I commenced employment with the Commission in |
| 20 | April 2005. | |
| 21 | Q. | What was the nature of your job duties when you were employed by OPC? |
| 22 | А. | I was responsible for performing audits and examinations of the books and |
| 23 | records of pu | blic utilities operating within the state of Missouri. |
| 24 | Q. | Have you previously filed testimony before the Commission? |
| | | |

A. Yes, numerous times. Please refer to Schedule KKB-d1, attached to this
 Direct Testimony, for a list of the major audits in which I have assisted and filed testimony with
 OPC and with the Commission.

4 Q. What knowledge, skills, experience, training and education do you have in the
5 areas of which you are testifying as an expert witness?

A. I have received continuous training at in-house and outside seminars on
technical ratemaking matters both when employed by OPC and since I began my employment
at the Commission. I have been employed by this Commission or by OPC as a
Regulatory Auditor for over 25 years, and have submitted testimony on ratemaking matters
numerous times before the Commission. I have also been responsible for the supervision of
other Commission employees in rate cases and other regulatory proceedings.

12

13

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A.

Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?

A. The purpose of my direct testimony is to provide Staff's recommendation for
the recovery of the COVID-19 AAO that was granted in Case No. EU-2020-0350. I also
discuss the allocation issue that was originally proposed by Evergy in Case No. EU-2021-0283,
but is now being addressed as part of this case.

Q. Through this testimony, do you provide any recommendations for
recommended expense levels to be reflected in the revenue requirement ordered in this case?

20

Yes. I provide the amortization expense level for the COVID-19 AAO.

| 1 | COVID AAC | <u>D</u> |
|----|------------------|---|
| 2 | Q. | Was Evergy Missouri Metro ("Evergy Metro") and Evergy Missouri West |
| 3 | ("Evergy We | st") granted an AAO for COVID-19 costs? |
| 4 | А. | Yes. In Case No. EU-2020-0350, the Commission granted an AAO to allow |
| 5 | Evergy Metro | and Evergy West to defer, in a regulatory asset, specific costs associated with the |
| 6 | COVID-19 pa | andemic netted against specific savings also associated with the pandemic. |
| 7 | Q. | What costs were Evergy Metro and Evergy West allowed to track? |
| 8 | А. | Evergy Metro and Evergy West was allowed to track and defer into a regulatory |
| 9 | asset for the f | following costs beginning March 1, 2020 until March 31, 2021 as follows: |
| 10 | 1. | New or incremental operating and maintenance expense related to protecting |
| 11 | emplo | oyees and customers – eligible costs, as follows: |
| 12 | | i. Additional cleaning of facilities and vehicles; |
| 13 | | ii. Personal protective equipment (i.e., masks, gloves, sanitizing sprays, |
| 14 | tempe | erature testing, plexiglass shields, etc.); |
| 15 | | iii. Technology upgrades which include equipment directly related to enabling |
| 16 | | employees to work from home and associated contract labor. Such costs shall |
| 17 | | not extend to costs normally incurred by the employee including internet |
| 18 | | connectivity at the home; |
| 19 | | iv. Employee sequestration preparation costs (and employee sequestration costs |
| 20 | | if that becomes necessary). |
| 21 | 2. | Increased bad debt expense due to COVID-19 to the extent total bad debt |
| 22 | expense exce | eds levels \$5,552,581 for Evergy Metro and \$2,894,841 for Evergy West; |
| | | |
| | | |

| 1 | 3. | Costs related to any assistance programs implemented to aid customers with |
|----|---------------|--|
| 2 | payment of e | electric bills during the pandemic, except for the contributions by the Company |
| 3 | addressed in | paragraph 17 of the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement and the program |
| 4 | designated as | s confidential in the Company's filing in Case No. EO-2020- 0383; |
| 5 | 4. | Waived late payment fees up to \$1,909,451 for Evergy Metro and \$725,422 for |
| 6 | Evergy West | and waived reconnection fees up to \$362,605 for Evergy Metro and \$271,385 for |
| 7 | Evergy West | |
| 8 | Q. | What cost savings were Evergy Metro and Evergy West required to track? |
| 9 | А. | Evergy Metro and Evergy West also agreed to track and net against the deferred |
| 10 | costs recorde | ed as a regulatory asset the following operating costs; |
| 11 | 1. | Travel expense (hotels, airfare, meals, entertainment); |
| 12 | 2. | Training expense; |
| 13 | 3. | Office supplies; |
| 14 | 4. | Utility service provided to facilities leased or owned by the Company; |
| 15 | 5. | Staffing reductions due to the COVID-19 pandemic, but excluding staffing |
| 16 | reductions in | stituted in furtherance of merger savings and integration plans or in furtherance of |
| 17 | the Sustainab | pility Transformation Plan; |
| 18 | 6. | Reduced employee compensation and benefits due to the COVID-19 pandemic, |
| 19 | but excludin | g reductions in furtherance of merger savings and integration plans or in |
| 20 | furtherance o | of the Sustainability Transformation Plan; |
| 21 | 7. | Any income tax benefits from taxable net operating losses that are carried back |
| 22 | to previous | tax years per the 2020 Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Security |
| 23 | ("CARES") | Act; |
| | | |

- 8. Any direct federal or state assistance the Company receives or any federal or
 state assistance received by Evergy, Inc., properly allocable to Evergy Metro and/or
 Evergy West, related to COVID-19 relief.
- 4

5

Q. What amounts does Staff recommend for inclusion in the AAO?

A. Staff recommends the following waived revenues, expenses, and savings for the

6 period of March 1, 2020 through March 31, 2021 be included in the AAO:

| Description | Evergy Metro | Evergy West |
|--|---------------|-------------|
| Bad Debt Expense | \$1,925,199 | \$2,847,408 |
| Waived Late Fees | \$1,909,451 | \$725,422 |
| Waived Reconnect Revenues | \$310,442 | \$241,600 |
| Customer Assistance Programs | \$45,911 | \$40,812 |
| Communications Expense for Customer Assistance | \$13,725 | \$26,802 |
| Programs | | |
| Additional Cleaning Costs | \$124,374 | \$135,322 |
| PPE | \$84,924 | \$60,651 |
| IT costs | \$139,524 | \$78,559 |
| Sequestration Preparation Costs | \$98,973 | \$71 |
| Reduced Travel & Training Expense | \$(1,171,186) | \$(576,460) |
| Reduced Utility Costs | \$(15,713) | \$(679,065) |
| Total | \$3,465,625 | \$2,901,121 |

7

8

Q. How did Staff determine the appropriate amounts to include in the AAO?

| 1 | А. | Staff reviewed Evergy Metro's and Evergy West's workpapers and the report |
|----|-------------------|---|
| 2 | filed by Everg | y in Case No. EU-2020-0350 on May 13, 2021. |
| 3 | Q. | What amortization period does Staff recommend for the COVID-19 AAO? |
| 4 | А. | Staff recommends amortization period of 4 years. The annual amortization |
| 5 | would accordi | ngly be \$866,406 for Evergy Metro and \$725,280 for Evergy West. |
| 6 | WINTER ST | <u>ORM URI – EVERGY METRO ONLY</u> |
| 7 | Q. | During Winter Storm Uri was Evergy Metro able to sell more energy than it |
| 8 | spent on fuel? | |
| 9 | А. | Yes, during Winter Storm Uri, Evergy Metro was able to sell more energy than |
| 10 | it spent on fue | I. This resulted in a net revenue situation, which was refunded to the customers |
| 11 | in Case NO. E | R-2022-0025 through the fuel adjustment clause rate adjustment tariff. |
| 12 | Q. | Did Evergy Metro file for an AAO to defer the net revenue to a regulatory |
| 13 | liability to late | er be returned to the customers? |
| 14 | А. | Yes. In Case No. EU-2021-0283, Evergy Metro requested the net revenue not |
| 15 | be included in | the Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") but that Evergy Metro be granted an AAO |
| 16 | permitting it t | to identify, track, document, accumulate, and defer in a regulatory liability its |
| 17 | extraordinarily | y incurred revenues and costs related to Winter Storm Uri. Evergy Metro also |
| 18 | requested that | the deferral be allocated differently to the Missouri jurisdiction than how costs |
| 19 | and revenues of | of this nature are normally allocated to Missouri. |
| 20 | Q. | In Case No. ER-2022-0025, did the Commission deny Evergy Metro's request |
| 21 | to defer the n | et revenue and order the net revenue pass through Evergy Metro's FAC rate |
| 22 | adjustment tar | iff? |
| | | |

Q.

A. Yes. The Commission found that the plain language of its rule did not permit
 Evergy Metro to defer extraordinary revenues from its FAC adjustment tariff. Evergy Metro
 was ordered to file an FAC adjustment tariff inclusive of off-system sales revenues from
 Winter Storm Uri, with any applicable interest. However, the issue of allocations is still a
 remaining issue in Case No. EU-2021-0283. The parties to Case No. EU-2021-0283 agree that
 the jurisdictional allocation issue should be addressed in this rate case.

7

13

Please describe the allocation issue.

A. In Case No. EU-2021-0283, Evergy Metro claims that the difference in the
jurisdictional allocations between Missouri and Kansas results in Evergy refunding 107% of its
actual off-system sales across Missouri and Kansas. The allocation approach proposed by
Evergy Metro would purportedly result in 100% of the net benefit flowing to its customers if
both the Commission and the Kansas Corporation Commission ("KCC") approve this request.

Q. What are the differences in the allocation methodologies?

A. Missouri uses the Energy Allocator for fuel, purchased power and off-system
sales, while Kansas uses the Unused Energy Allocator. Staff continues to recommend that use
of the Energy Allocator is appropriate to allocate costs and revenues of this nature and should
be used for the net revenues that were a result of Winter Storm Uri. Please see the direct
testimony of Staff witness Alan Bax for further discussion on the calculation of the energy
allocator.

20

21

Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

A. Yes it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy) Missouri Metro's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric) Service)

Case No. ER-2022-0129

In the Matter of Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service

Case No. ER-2022-0130

AFFIDAVIT OF KIMBERLY K. BOLIN

SS.

| STATE OF MISSOURI |) |
|-------------------|---|
| |) |
| COUNTY OF COLE |) |

COMES NOW KIMBERLY K. BOLIN and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing Direct Testimony of Kimberly K. Bolin; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this day of June 2022.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025 Commission Number: 12412070

Manpin Notar Public

| <u>Company Name</u> | Case Number | Testimony/Issues | Contested |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|------------|
| | FO 2022 | | or Settled |
| The Empire District | EO-2022- 0040/EO-2022- | <u>Rebuttal</u> – ADIT and EADIT, Sharing of Costs, Decommissioning Costs, Winter | Pending |
| Electric Company | 0040/EO-2022- | Storm Uri AAO, Interest Earned on Capital | |
| | 0195 | Subaccount | |
| | | <u>Surrebuttal</u> – Asbury Environmental | |
| | | Asset/ARO, ADIT and EADIT | |
| Ozarks Medical Center | GC-2022-0158 | <u>Rebuttal</u> – Accounting Authority Order | Pending |
| vs. Summit Natural | | | 0 |
| Gas of Missouri, Inc. | | | |
| The Empire District | GR-2021-0320 | <u>Direct</u> – Excess ADIT and Tax Tracker | Settled |
| Gas Company | | | |
| The Empire District | EU-2021-0274 | <u>Rebuttal</u> – Winter Storm Uri AAO | Pending |
| Electric Company | | | |
| The Empire District | ER-2021-0312 | <u>Cost of Service Report</u> – ARO, | Settled |
| Electric Company | | Amortization of Excess ADIT, Regulatory | |
| | | Lag and Risk Mitigation | |
| | | <u>Rebuttal</u> – Business Risk, Paygo, AROs, | |
| | | Transmission Tracker | |
| | | Surrebuttal - Non-FAC Wind Revenues, | |
| | | ADIT and Excess ADIT, Iatan/PCB | |
| | | Environmental Costs, Market Price | |
| | | Protection Mechanism, Winter Storm Uri | |
| Ameren Missouri | ER-2021-0240 | <u>Cost of Service Report</u> – COVID-19 AAO | Settled |
| | | Cost Recovery, Rate Switching Tracker, | |
| | | Allocation Factors, Company Owned Life | |
| | | Insurance, Equity Issuance Costs, Tracker | |
| | | Mechanisms Proposals Policy | |
| | | Surrebuttal – Normalization of COVID-19 | |
| | | Costs, Allocations, AMI Software | |
| Ameren Missouri | GR-2021-0241 | Cost of Service Report - COVID-19 AAO | Settled |
| | | Cost Recovery, AMI-Software, Allocation | |
| | | Factors | |
| | | <u>Surrebuttal</u> – Normalization of COVID-19 | |
| | | Costs, AMI Software | |
| Evergy Missouri Metro | ET-2021-0151 | Rebuttal Report – Accounting | Contested |
| and Evergy Missouri | | | |
| West | | | |

| Company Name | Case Number | Testimony/Issues | Contested or Settled |
|--|--------------|--|-------------------------|
| Spire Missouri | GR-2021-0108 | <u>Cost of Service Report</u> – COVID-19 AAO Recovery <u>Surrebuttal</u> – Trackers | Settled |
| Missouri-American Water Company | WR-2020-0344 | Cost of Service Report – Future Test Year, Credit Card Fee Expense, Amortization of Excess ADIT, COVID-19 AAO Recovery <u>Rebuttal</u> – Future Test Year, COVID-19 AAO Recovery, Amortization of Excess ADIT, Affiliate Transactions, AFUDC Rate <u>Surrebuttal</u> – Future Test Year, COVID-19 AAO, Tax Cut and Jobs Act of 2017, Outside Services, COVID Impacts on Revenue | Settled |
| Spire Missouri, Inc. | GU-2020-0376 | <u>Rebuttal</u> – Accounting Authority Order, Lost Revenues | Settled |
| Evergy Metro, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West | EU-2020-0350 | <u>Rebuttal</u> – Accounting Authority Order, Lost Revenue, Carrying Costs | Contested |
| Empire District Electric Company | ER-2020-0311 | Rebuttal – Coal Inventory Adjustment Surrebuttal – Coal Inventory Adjustment | Settled |

| Company Name | Case Number | Testimony/Issues | Contested |
|--|---|---|------------|
| | | | or Settled |
| Empire District Electric Company | ER-2019-0374 | Direct– Overview of Staff's FilingCost of Service Report– ExecutiveOverview, Test year/True-Up Period,Vegetation Management TrackerRegulatory Asset, Iatan and Plum PointCarrying Costs, Stub Period TaxCut/Removal of Tax Impact, Tornado AAO,Rate Case Expense Sharing, Credit CardFees, Clearing AccountsRebuttal- Asset Retirement Obligations,AAO and Tracker Policy, AffiliateTransactionsSurrebuttal/True-Up- UnamortizedBalance of Joplin AAO, Credit Card Fees,Payroll Test year, Rate Case ExpenseSharing, LED Lighting, Low-Income PilotProgram Amortization, AffiliateTransactionsSupplemental- Jurisdictional Allocations,Rate Case Expense, Management Expense,Pension and OPEBs, Affiliate Transactions,Software Maintenance | Contested |
| Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Co., Inc. | WA-2019-0299 | <u>Surrebuttal</u> – Quality of Service <u>Direct</u> – Net Book Value of Plant | Contested |
| Osage Utility Operating Co., Inc. | WA-2019-0185 | Surrebuttal – Rate Base, Acquisition Incentive | Contested |
| Spire Inc. | GO-2019-0115 and GO-2019- 116 | Staff Direct Report – Blanket Work Orders and Current Income Taxes | Contested |
| Empire District Electric Company and Liberty Utilities | AO-2018-0179 | <u>Direct</u> – Moneypool <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Moneypool | Contested |
| Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Inc. | WM-2018-0116 and SM-2018- 0117 | <u>Direct</u> – Rate Base, Roy L Utilities | Settled |
| Spire Missouri Inc. | GO-2016-0332, GO-2016-0333, GO-2017-0201, GO-2017-0202 GO-2018-0309 and GO-2018- 0310 | <u>Direct</u> – Removal of Plastic Main and Service Line Replacement Costs | Contested |

| Company Name | Case Number | Testimony/Issues | Contested |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|------------|
| | | | or Settled |
| Missouri-American Water Company | WR-2017-0285 | <u>Cost of Service Report</u> – Pension/OPEB Tracker, FAS 87 Pension Costs, FAS 106 OPEBs Costs, Franchise Taxes <u>Rebuttal</u> – Defined Contribution Plan, Cloud Computing, Affiliate Transaction Rule (Water Utility) <u>Surrebuttal</u> – Rate Case Expense | Settled |
| Missouri-American Water Company | WO-2018-0059 | <u>Direct</u> – ISRS Overview, Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes, Reconciliation | |
| Missouri Gas Energy and Laclede Gas Company | GO-2016-0332 and GO-2016- 0333 | <u>Rebuttal</u> – Inclusion of Plastic Main and Service Line Replacements | Contested |
| Empire District Electric Company/Liberty Utilities | EM-2016-0213 | Rebuttal– Overview of Transaction,Ratemaking /Accounting Conditions,Access to RecordsSurrebuttal– OPC RecommendedConditions, SERP | Settled |
| Hillcrest Utility Operating Company, Inc. | WR-2016-0064 | <u>Direct</u> – Partial Disposition Agreement | Contested |
| Empire District Electric Company | ER-2016-0023 | Requirement Report– RivertonConversion Project and Asbury Air QualityControl SystemDirect– Overview of Staff's RevenueRequirement Report and Overview ofStaff's Rate Design Filing | Settled |
| Missouri-American Water Company | WR-2015-0301 | Report on Cost of Service – CorporateAllocation, District AllocationsRebuttal – District Allocations, BusinessTransformationSurrebuttal – District Allocations,Business Transformation, Service CompanyCosts | Settled |
| Empire District Electric Company | ER-2014-0351 | Direct– Overview of Staff's FilingRebuttal- ITC Over-Collection, Cost ofRemoval Deferred Tax Amortization, StateFlow-ThroughSurrebuttalJoplin Tornado, ITC Over-Collections,Cost of Removal Deferred TaxAmortization, State Flow-Through,Transmission Revenues and Expenses | Settled |

| <u>Company Name</u> | Case Number | Testimony/Issues | Contested or Settled |
|---|--------------|---|-------------------------|
| Brandco Investments/ Hillcrest Utility Operating Company, Inc. | WO-2014-0340 | <u>Rebuttal</u> – Rate Base and Future Rates | Settled |
| Lake Region Water & Sewer | WR-2013-0461 | Direct– Overview of Staff's FilingReport on Cost of Service– True-Up,Availability Fees, Sewer OperatingExpense, Sewer Equipment MaintenanceExpenseSurrebuttal– Availability FeesTrue-Up Direct– Overview of True-UpAuditTrue-Up Rebuttal– Corrections to True-UpUp | Contested |
| Empire District Electric Company | ER-2012-0345 | DirectOverview of Staff's FilingReport on Cost of ServiceSWPA HydroReimbursement, Joplin Tornado AAOAsset, SPP Revenues, SPP Expenses,Regulatory Plan Amortization Impacts,SWPA Amortization, Tornado AAOAmortizationRebuttalUnamortized Balance of JoplinTornado AAO, Rate Case Expense, True-Up and Uncontested IssuesSurrebuttalUnamortized Balance ofJoplin Tornado AAO, SPP TransmissionExpense, True-Up, Advanced CoalInvestment Tax Credit | Settled |
| Missouri-American Water Company | WR-2011-0337 | DirectOverview of Staff's FilingReport on Cost of ServiceTrue-UpRecommendation, Tank Painting Tracker,Tank Painting ExpenseRebuttalTank Painting Expense, BusinessTransformationSurrebuttalCompositionSurrebuttalCompositionSurrebuttalCompositionSurrebuttalCompositionSurrebuttalCompositionSurrebuttalCompositionSurrebuttalCompositionSurrebuttalSurrebuttalCompositionSurrebuttalSurrebut | Settled |

| Company Name | Case Number | Testimony/Issues | Contested |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|------------|
| | N/D 2010 0121 | | or Settled |
| Missouri-American Water Company | WR-2010-0131 | Report on Cost of Service - Pension/OPEB Tracker, Tank Painting Tracker, Deferred Income Taxes, FAS 87 Pension Costs, FAS 106 – Other Post-Employment Benefits, Incentive Compensation, Group Insurance and 401(k) Employer Costs, Tank Painting Expense, Dues and Donations, Advertising Expense, Promotional Items, Current and Deferred Income Tax Expense | Settled |
| Empire District Gas Company | GR-2009-0434 | Report on Cost of ServicePrepaidPension Asset, Pension TrackerAsset/Liability, Unamortized AccountingAuthority Order Balances, PensionExpense, OPEBs, Amortization of StockIssuance Costs, Amortization of AccountingAuthority OrdersDirectOverview of Staff's Filing | Settled |
| Laclede Gas Company | GT-2009-0056 | Surrebuttal Testimony – Tariff | Contested |
| Missouri-American Water Company | WR-2008-0311 & SR-2008-0312 | Report on Cost of ServiceTank PaintingTracker, Lobbying Costs, PSC AssessmentDirectOverview of Staff's FilingRebuttalTrue-Up Items, UnamortizedBalance of Security AAO, Tank PaintingExpense, Fire Hydrant Painting ExpenseSurrebuttalUnamortized Balance ofSecurity AAO, Cedar Hill Waste WaterPlant, Tank Painting Expense, Fire HydrantPainting Expense | Settled |
| Missouri Gas Utility, Inc. | GR-2008-0060 | <u>Report on Cost of Service</u> – Plant-in Service/Capitalization Policy, Plant-in Service/Purchase Price Valuation, Depreciation Reserve, Revenues, Uncollectible Expense | Settled |
| Laclede Gas Company | GR-2007-0208 | <u>Direct</u> - Test Year and True-Up, Environmental costs, AAOs, Revenue, Miscellaneous Revenue, Gross receipts Tax, Gas Costs, Uncollectibles, EWCR, AMR, Acquisition Adjustment | Settled |

| <u>Company Name</u> | Case Number | <u>Testimony/Issues</u> | Contested or Settled |
|--|--------------|--|-------------------------|
| Kansas City Power and Light Company | ER-2006-0314 | Direct- Gross Receipts Tax, Revenues, Weather Normalization, Customer Growth/Loss Annualization, Large Customer Annualization, Other Revenue, Uncollectible (Bad Debt) Expense, Payroll, A&G Salaries Capitalization Ratio, Payroll Taxes, Employer 401 (k) Match, Other Employee Benefits <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Uncollectible (Bad Debt) Expense, Payroll, A&G Salaries Capitalization Ratio, Other Employee Benefits | Contested |
| Missouri Gas Energy | GR-2006-0204 | <u>Direct</u> - Payroll, Incentive Compensation, Payroll Taxes, Employee Benefits, Lobbying, Customer & Governmental Relations Department, Collections Contract | Settled |

| <u>Company Name</u> | Case Number | Testimony/Issues | Contested or Settled |
|---|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| Missouri Gas Energy | GU-2005-0095 | <u>Rebuttal</u> - Accounting Authority Order <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Accounting Authority Order | Contested |
| The Empire District Electric Company | ER-2004-0570 | Direct- Payroll | Settled |
| Missouri American Water Company & Cedar Hill Utility Company | SM-2004-0275 | <u>Direct</u> - Acquisition Premium | Settled |
| Missouri Gas Energy | GR-2004-0209 | Direct- Safety Line Replacement Program; Environmental Response Fund; Dues & Donations; Payroll; Customer & Governmental Relations Department Disallowance; Outside Lobbyist Costs <u>Rebuttal</u> - Customer Service; Incentive Compensation; Environmental Response Fund; Lobbying/Legislative Costs <u>True-Up</u> - Rate Case Expense | Contested |
| Osage Water Company | ST-2003-0562 / WT-2003-0563 | Direct- Payroll <u>Rebuttal</u> - Payroll; Lease Payments to Affiliated Company; alleged Legal Requirement of a Reserve | Case Dismissed |
| Missouri American Water Company | WR-2003-0500 | <u>Direct</u> - Acquisition Adjustment; Water Treatment Plant Excess Capacity; Retired Treatment Plan; Affiliated Transactions; Security AAO; Advertising Expense; Customer Correspondence | Settled |
| Empire District Electric | ER-2002-424 | <u>Direct</u> - Dues & Donations; Memberships; Payroll; Security Costs <u>Rebuttal</u> - Energy Traders' Commission <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Energy Traders' Commission | Settled |

| <u>Company Name</u> | Case Number | Testimony/Issues | Contested or Settled |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Laclede Gas Company | GR-2002-356 | Direct- Advertising Expense; Safety Replacement Program and the Copper Service Replacement Program; Dues & Donations; Rate Case Expense <u>Rebuttal</u> - Gas Safety Replacement Program / Deferred Income Taxes for AAOs | Settled |
| Missouri-American Water Company | WO-2002-273 | <u>Rebuttal</u> - Accounting Authority Order <u>Cross-Surrebuttal</u> - Accounting Authority Order | Contested |
| Environmental Utilities | WA-2002-65 | <u>Direct</u> - Water Supply Agreement <u>Rebuttal</u> - Certificate of Convenience & Necessity | Contested |
| Warren County Water & Sewer | WC-2002-160 / SC-2002-155 | <u>Direct</u> - Clean Water Act Violations; DNR Violations; Customer Service; Water Storage Tank; Financial Ability; Management Issues <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Customer Complaints; Poor Management Decisions; Commingling of Regulated & Non-Related Business | Contested |
| Laclede Gas Company | GR-2001-629 | <u>Direct</u> - Advertising Expense; Safety Replacement Program; Dues & Donations; Customer Correspondence | Settled |
| Gateway Pipeline Company | GM-2001-585 | <u>Rebuttal</u> - Acquisition Adjustment; Affiliated Transactions; Company's Strategic Plan | Contested |
| Empire District Electric | ER-2001-299 | <u>Direct</u> - Payroll; Merger Expense <u>Rebuttal</u> - Payroll <u>Surrebutta</u> l- Payroll | Settled |
| Osage Water Company | SR-2000-556/ WR-2000-557 | Direct- Customer Service | Contested |

| <u>Company Name</u> | Case Number | Testimony/Issues | Contested or Settled |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| St. Louis County Water Company | WR-2000-844 | Direct- Main Incident Expense | Settled |
| Missouri American Water Company | WR-2000-281/ SR-2000-282 | DirectWater Plant Premature Retirement;Rate Case ExpenseRebuttalWater Plant PrematureRetirementSurrebuttalWater Plant PrematureRetirement | Contested |
| Laclede Gas Company | GR-99-315 | <u>Direct</u> - Advertising Expense; Dues & Donations; Miscellaneous Expense; Items to be Trued-up | Contested |
| St. Joseph Light & Power | HR-99-245 | Direct- Advertising Expense; Dues & Donations; Miscellaneous Expense; Items to be Trued-up <u>Rebuttal</u> - Advertising Expense <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Advertising Expense | Settled |
| St. Joseph Light & Power | ER-99-247 | Direct - Merger Expense; Rate Case Expense; Deferral of the Automatic Mapping/Facility Management Costs Rebuttal - Merger Expense; Rate Case Expense; Deferral of the Automatic Mapping/Facility Management Costs Surrebuttal - Merger Expense; Rate Case Expense; Deferral of the Automatic Mapping/Facility Management Costs | Settled |
| Laclede Gas Company | GR-98-374 | <u>Direct</u> - Advertising Expense; Gas Safety Replacement AAO; Computer System Replacement Costs | Settled |
| Missouri Gas Energy | GR-98-140 | <u>Direct</u> - Payroll; Advertising; Dues & Donations; Regulatory Commission Expense; Rate Case Expense | Contested |

| <u>Company Name</u> | Case Number | Testimony/Issues | Contested or Settled |
|------------------------------------|-------------|--|-------------------------|
| Gascony Water Company, Inc. | WA-97-510 | <u>Rebuttal</u> - Rate Base; Rate Case Expense; Cash Working Capital | Settled |
| Union Electric Company | GR-97-393 | <u>Direct</u> - Interest Rates for Customer Deposits | Settled |
| St. Louis County Water Company | WR-97-382 | <u>Direct</u> - Interest Rates for Customer Deposits, Main Incident Expense | Settled |
| Associated Natural Gas Company | GR-97-272 | DirectAcquisition Adjustment; InterestRates for Customer DepositsRebuttalAcquisition Adjustment; InterestRates for Customer DepositsSurrebuttalInterest Rates for CustomerDeposits | Contested |
| Missouri-American Water Company | WA-97-45 | <u>Rebuttal</u> - Waiver of Service Connection Charges | Contested |
| Imperial Utility Corporation | SC-96-427 | Direct- Revenues, CIAC Surrebuttal- Payroll; Uncollectible Accounts Expense; Rate Case Expense, Revenues | Settled |
| St. Louis Water Company | WR-96-263 | Direct-Main Incident Repairs Rebuttal- Main Incident Repairs Surrebuttal- Main Incident Repairs | Contested |
| Steelville Telephone Company | TR-96-123 | <u>Direct</u> - Depreciation Reserve Deficiency | Settled |

| <u>Company Name</u> | Case Number | Testimony/Issues | <u>Contested</u> or Settled |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Missouri-American Water Company | WR-95-205/ SR-95-206 | DirectPremature Retirement of Sewer Plant;Depreciation Study Expense; DeferredMaintenanceRebuttalProperty Held for Future Use;Premature Retirement of Sewer Plant;Deferred MaintenanceSurrebuttalProperty Held for Future Use;Premature Retirement of Sewer Plant;Deferred MaintenanceSurrebuttalProperty Held for Future Use;Premature Retirement of Sewer Plant | Contested |
| St. Louis County Water Company | WR-95-145 | <u>Rebuttal</u> - Tank Painting Reserve Account; Main Repair Reserve Account <u>Surrebuttal</u> - Main Repair Reserve Account | Contested |