

EC-2024-0217

Brett Felber  
VS  
Ameren Missouri

I disagree that the Respondent should be given more time to file a response to the authentication of if [www2.ameren.com](http://www2.ameren.com) is a valid email address or invalid email address.

I believe the Commission set a date of April 8, 2024 for the Respondent to file a response towards the Amended Complaint.

As for the deposit. I paid the first deposit amount that Ameren Missouri installed on my bill due in January that was submitted with the Complaint.

However, Ameren Missouri the Respondent should be further denied of any extension or granted an extension to answer a simple question.

At this point, the Respondent knows they are in the wrong on this matter. They abused the timeframe and filed on the last day, asking stating the under Commission Rules, Regulations and Tariffs, they were allowed 30 days to respond and or file.

They are essentially now asking again, for another extension to file something in regards to a document that is clearly forged.

At this point, instead of admitting the truth, they are wasting the resources of the Staff of Commission and wasting and further increasing the costs of my expenditures.

I think before the Commission grants any extension towards the Respondent being able to seek or be granted more time they should be ordered to file a response of yes or no to the following question the Complainant seeks?

- 1) Is [www2.ameren.com](http://www2.ameren.com) a valid or invalid email address?
- 2) Please admit that [www2.ameren.com](http://www2.ameren.com) is not a valid email address?
- 3) Please admit that [www2.ameren.com](http://www2.ameren.com) is a catching or forwarding domain to [www.ameren.com](http://www.ameren.com)?

Upon Respondent answering those three questions, then if the Commission feels that the Respondent has met burden of proof for an extension, then the Commission could entertain granting them an extension. Otherwise any extension would further allow Respondent to alter, transform, reproduce further counterfeit documents.

At this point, the Respondent continues to further lie, instead of admitting the truth and no, I don't see the reason why they would additional time to file an answer. The sooner the Respondent admits the truth we can further move on and proceed from there, otherwise, the Respondent is just looking to further delay the matter in hopes that I'll dismiss the complaint.

I believe Ameren Missouri wants to avoid this issue that I've brought forward. I believe also that the Commission also has the right to issue a Summary Determination in favor of the Complainant if the Respondent Ameren Missouri fails to file a response or answer by April 8, 2024.

Further, Complainant will exercise his right under Commission rules and regulations in filing for a Summary Determination if Ameren Missouri doesn't file a response on the matter that was additionally ordered by the Commission.

Brett Felber  
April 4, 2024