

EC-2024-0217

Brett Felber
VS
Ameren Missouri

Also, I've paid all my bills to Ameren Missouri, so undersigned counsels claim of "getting out" of paying my bills is a moot comment.

The only party that has "harassed" anyone is Ameren Missouri. They encroached property easements last year three times, that weren't located on the easement boundary of utility easements. They filed a bogus claim in June of last year, because I filed a Complaint with the Attorney General's Office.

Respondents claim of "harrasement" comes at a time where an answer for a counterfeit document is due and the Respondent wants to avoid all and any necessary means in answering the question because they know it is a document that is counterfeit and drafted by their Regulatory Department.

The Respondent is given the 30 day timeframe under Commission Rules, Regulations and Tariffs to file an answer and the date is approaching for that filing and now wants even more time to file an answer.

In addition on January and February's bills I paid the deposit amounts on those. They simply readded the first deposit amount back on, again, to restart the process.

Undersigned Counsel's response is absurd and ridiculous. I have no past due amount. I was extorted out of money. The document at question contains an invalid email address that wouldn't have even been sent. The Complainant asks for answers and the Respondent is implying wanting a response is "harassment."

Respondents response is another excuse in which Respondent prays for the Commission to bail them out of filing an answer to the question.

Respondent would rather inject words of their own into this matter in hopes that they aren't liable for reimbursing the Complainant \$ [REDACTED]

Respondent broke the law, period. They've been caught. Here are the cited Statutes for each under Missouri.

1) Counterfeit Documents- 570.103.

2) Forgery-570.090- A person Commits the offense of Forgery with the intent to defraud someone. (Reference Exhibit D NO MPSC 0027)

3) Deceptive Business Practices-570.140

4) Misrepresentation- 288.395

5) Extortion-566.200 (If someone forces another person to do something against their will to obtain, money, property or advantage. Also known as blackmail and coercion.

I was forced to pay \$ [REDACTED] on a counterfeit document that has no valid email address and no correct PAG terms.

Of course, only a thief or a Con Artist such as Respondent would claim 'harassment' as a way or means to trying to avoid answering the question of if www2.ameren.com is a valid email address.

There also is no Commission rule or regulation that requires the Commission to give them anymore time to filing an answer regarding the document on April 8, 2024, as issued by the Commission.

I think the Commission should Compel Respondent to filing an answer on the 8th of April. At this point they are openly admitting that they don't want to file an answer within the given timeframe that was allowed by the Commission.

I have concerns about the Respondent. They want to avoid the subject, therefore they are using bogus tactics to avoid filing an answer. By giving them more time that what was issued in the amended Complaint further allows the Respondent to draft and produce more counterfeit documents and that is exactly what they'll do.

At this point the Respondent brought it upon themselves to deceive the Complainant because they knew they failed to keep a copy of an agreement. Honestly, not my fault, however, I shouldn't be subjected to the Respondents production of counterfeit documents.

It's pretty straight forward, www2.ameren.com isn't an email address. Therefore anything listed on Exhibit D NO MPSC 0027 would have never been sent to my email address. It wouldn't have made it out of the Respondent, Ameren Missouri's inbox and would have popped up an invalid email address error.

Instead of claiming "harassment" Respondent should just answer the question. Takes literally two seconds. Two, but instead Respondent wants to put further financial burden on the Complainant and the Staff of the Commission.

At this point, I'm paying for all of the Respondents mistakes and furthermore counterfeit and forgery actions.

At some point, Respondent needs to take responsibility for their actions. I shouldn't be subjected to Respondents criminal actions they chose to propound towards me.

They need their certificates to conduct business in Missouri pulled, stern prosecution, fines from the Commission, Sanctions against their undersigned counsel and a handful of other things.

Them claiming "harassment" isn't going to make the document go away.

Quite frankly they should be happy they haven't been prosecuted yet. As a business consultant that spends numerous days in the data and network mitigation industry, usually when I get a report back from an actual "software engineer" that sources the document as fraudulent, it automatically gets reported to the Cybercrimes unit of the FBI.

At this point the excuse line from the Respondent is running thin. I'm tired of being fed cow manure. If undersigned counsel doesn't file an answer by Monday April 8th, regarding the document, my business Hypercore Mobile & Network Consultants LLC will turn over to the FBI's local field office two Jurat Affidavits from two of the most prestigious software and coding companies that will further prove the the document is a counterfeit. Along with the document at question.

Also their previous provider SendGrid, parent Company Twilio knows it is fraudulent.

Also they should refrain from using cellular data in the meantime of disconnections of any party. I've reached out to their LTE provider Anterix to inform them that Ameren Missouri has abused the policies of cellular data in conducting remote disconnections.

I've been in the cellular and networking industry for over 20 years and the Respondent has played every excuse in the book. That's usually what happens with Con Artist's. They avoid talking about what is supposed to be answered.

Glad to know my utility provider is a Con Artist and hires skills to produce counterfeit documents. The harassment claim is purely absurd and ridiculous.

One last thing to undersigned counsel. Quit breaking the law. I've never seen a company practice deadbeat policies. Ameren Missouri is no-more than a deadbeat company. Unfortunately, I am forced to do business with a deadbeat company.

Brett Felber
April 5, 2024