FILED December 22, 2021 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

# Exhibit No. 209

Commission Staff – Exhibit 209 Amanda Coffer Rebuttal Testimony File Nos. ER-2021-0240 & GR-2021-0241

Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff Date Testimony Prepared: October 15, 2021

Community Solar Witness: Amanda Coffer Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Case No.: ER-2021-0240

# **MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

#### INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION

## **ENGINEERING ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT**

# **REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

OF

## **AMANDA COFFER**

# UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a Ameren Missouri

# CASE NO. ER-2021-0240

Jefferson City, Missouri October 2021

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2	OF		
3	AMANDA COFFER		
4 5	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a Ameren Missouri		
6	CASE NO. ER-2021-0240		
7	Q. Please state your name and business address.		
8	A. My name is Amanda Coffer, and my business address is Missouri Public Service		
9	Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.		
10	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?		
11	A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission")		
12	as an Associate Engineer in the Engineering Analysis Department of the Industry Analysis		
13	Division.		
14	Q. Please describe your educational background and relevant work experience.		
15	A. I received my Bachelor of Science degree in Chemical Engineering from the		
16	University of Missouri in 2012. I was employed by the Missouri Department of Natural		
17	Resources as an Environmental Engineer from 2015 through 2018. I have been employed by		
18	the Commission since 2018 as an Associate Engineer. My credentials and case participation are		
19	included in Appendix 1 of Staff Report Class Cost of Service.		
20	Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?		
21	A. I will be responding to the testimony of Ameren Missouri witness		
22	Annemarie Nauert, regarding Ameren Missouri's Community Solar Program.		
23	Q. What is the Community Solar Program?		

1	A. The Community Solar Program is a subscription-based solar program that offers			
2	an alternative to customer-owned solar for customers that are unwilling or unable to install or			
3	lease their own solar panels. Subscribers to the program sign up for solar blocks of 100 kWh			
4	that will offset an equivalent kWh amount of electricity they receive from their standard service,			
5	up to 50% of their energy usage. The program tariff was approved after a settlement between			
6	Ameren Missouri, Renew Missouri, Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, and the			
7	Office of the Public Counsel, in File No. EA-2016-0207 as a pilot program. The program			
8	currently has one operational asset, the Lambert Community Solar Facility (Lambert), and one			
9	asset that was approved by the Commission April 3, 2021, in File No. EA-2020-0371, the			
10	Montgomery Community Solar Facility (Montgomery). Ameren Missouri expects			
11	Montgomery to be in service in March 2022 <sup>1</sup> .			

- 12
- 13

14

15

What is Ameren Missouri proposing in regard to its Community Solar Program? Q. Ameren Missouri is proposing to keep the current Community Solar Pilot A. Program in place, and add an additional permanent Community Solar Program with the following proposed changes for an as of yet undetermined facility<sup>2</sup>:

Pilot Design – Lambert and Montgomery	Proposed Additional Program
Solar Blocks of 100 kWh	Fixed percentage of kWh
Customers can subscribe to replace up to 50%	Customers can subscribe to replace up to 100%
of last 12 months' average annual energy usage,	of monthly usage, in 1% increments
in whole block increments (rounding down)	
Resource construction cannot begin until 90%	Resource construction cannot begin until 50%
subscription level	subscription level
Participation fee of \$25/block for new resource	Fixed participation fee of \$25 for all enrollments
(but not if the customer replacement from	
waitlist) enrollments only	
Solar Generation Charge Cap	terminology changed to Solar Generation Rate
	Сар
Total Facilities Charge tied to general rate cases	terminology changed to Total Facilities Rate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Per Ameren Missouri's Report Filed October 11, 2021, in File No. EA-2020-0371. <sup>2</sup> Direct Testimony of Annemarie Nauert, Table 1, page 8.

1 Q. Does Staff agree with a new permanent Community Solar Program? 2 A. No. In response to Staff data request 455, Ameren stated that Ameren Missouri 3 would retain the pilot tariff so that subscribers to the pilot program could continue to receive community solar service at the terms they agreed to. Retaining the pilot program and adding a 4 second program has the potential to be very confusing to customers or could drive customers 5 6 to switch programs if specific terms are not included in the implementing tariff to prevent so. 7 Q. Which proposed changes does Staff agree with? Staff has no objection to the fixed participation fee of \$25 for all enrollments or 8 A. the terminology changes. 9 10 Q. Which proposed changes does Staff not agree with? 11 A. Staff does not agree with the 50% subscription level for new resource 12 construction. Currently, Ameren is required to obtain 90% subscription level of the planned 13 resources capacity before starting construction of new facilities. Staff continues to recommend 14 this requirement to protect from undersubscription. Ms. Nauert justifies the change to 15 50% subscription level by saying that Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs) associated with 16 the under-subscribed portion of the facility would be managed as part of Ameren 17 Missouri's Renewable Energy Standard Compliance (RES) targets<sup>3</sup>. Staff argues that because 18 Ameren Missouri is currently having trouble meeting its RES Compliance targets, as discussed 19 in further detail in the Rebuttal Testimony of Staff Witness Claire Eubanks, and as evidenced 20 by Ameren Missouri's current Request for Variance from RES Rule in File No. EE-2022-0074, 21 it would be more beneficial for Ameren to work towards meeting its RES requirements before 22 adding an additional community solar program with additional solar resources.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Direct testimony of Annemarie Nauert, page 6, lines 11-13.

Q. In her testimony, Ms. Nauert draws the conclusion that there is a need for a
 voluntary subscription-based solar program based on the responses of two participant surveys<sup>4</sup>.
 Would Staff agree with this conclusion?

No. More information is needed in order to make any big changes or expand 4 A. the Pilot Program with an additional permanent program as is proposed. The first survey was 5 conducted in July of 2019, before the Lambert facility was commercially operational in 6 7 August of 2019. The survey was sent via email to a total of 418 participating Ameren Missouri customers. Ameren Missouri received 92 responses. The response rate for this survey 8 9 was just 22% of the 92 respondents, only the data collected from 80 participants was used for 10 most of the questions. For questions that required an open ended response, only the data 11 from 30 of the original 92 participants was used. The second survey was done in July of 2020 12 after the Lambert facility had been operational for about a year. The survey was sent via email 13 to 1,669 participating Ameren Missouri customers. They received a response rate of 22% again, 14 which is 369 responses. The results from the surveys are helpful, however, for both of these 15 surveys, the response rate is rather low, the program hasn't been in effect for very long, and 16 many of the responses to the surveys were from customers still on the waitlist. It would be 17 good to conduct further surveys, over a longer period of time, possibly using different methods 18 in order to obtain responses from more customers.

19

20

21

Q. In her testimony, Ms. Nauert states that the primary barrier to faster enrollment for waitlist customers is the 90% pre-construction subscription requirement for new facilities<sup>5</sup>. Does Staff agree with this?

<sup>4</sup> Direct Testimony of Annemarie Nauert, page 4, lines 6-12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Direct Testimony of Annemarie Nauert, page 5, lines 18-21.

•

Q. In her testimony, Ms. Nauert states that she expects the Community Solar
 Program to grow as a result of the Pure Power program ending<sup>6</sup>. Does Staff agree with this
 conclusion?

A. No. At this time, there is no basis on which to draw a conclusion. Ameren
Missouri indicated in response to Staff data request #656 that they had not surveyed the current
Pure Power customers to find out if they would be interested in signing up for the Community
Solar Program after the Pure Power program ends. Staff recommends Ameren Missouri
conduct a survey of the current Pure Power customers in order to determine interest.

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. What are Staff's overall recommendations regarding the Pilot Community Solar Program and the proposed expansion?

A. Staff recommends that Ameren Missouri first complete the first annual
 reporting required per the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement in EA-2016-0207,
 paragraph 17, page 10, for the current Pilot Program, before expanding the Community
 Solar Program.

Reporting. Ameren Missouri shall file reports with the Commission, in this docket, detailing lessons learned. Reports shall be filed quarterly until the first Pilot facility is fully constructed or Ameren Missouri determines it will not be constructed due to lack of enrollment. Thereafter, a report shall be filed annually for the next four years. This sequence shall be repeated for construction of the second Pilot facility, if applicable. Thereafter, reports shall be filed every three years until the Pilot facilities are retired. The report will, at a minimum, address the issues listed in Appendix D.

24

25

Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Direct Testimony of Annemarie Nauert, page 4, lines 21-22, and page 5, lines 1-2.

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Adjust Its **Revenues for Electric Service** 

Case No. ER-2021-0240

#### AFFIDAVIT OF AMANDA COFFER

STATE OF MISSOURI	)	
	)	SS.
COUNTY OF COLE	)	

COMES NOW AMANDA COFFER and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony of Amanda Coffer; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

DA COFFE

#### JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 134day of October 2021.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025 Commission Number: 12412070

Usullankin) Notary Public