EC-2024-0217

Brett Felber VS Ameren Missouri

Complainants Motion for Summary Determination Judgment

Complainant submits this Motion to the Commission and Honorable Judge Fewell for the determination of a Summary Determination Judgment against the Respondent, Ameren Missouri.

The document in question has no material facts that can be disputed or challenged. The document in question contains a bogus, forged email address of www2.ameren.com

The document in question would have never been sent to the Complainant as it failed to have inserted the value of the @ symbol to transcribe or send the Complainant an email of the document labeled Exhibit D NO MPSC 0027.

Register records fro both ICANN.org and WHOIs can confirm that www2.ameren.com is a forwarding or catching domain that links to <a href="https://www.ameren.com">www.ameren.com</a>, Respondents actual website.

www2.ameren.com brings you to the log in page of Respondents billing account summary login in page.

A forwarding domain and or a hosting domain cannot be used to send or receive emails.

Complainant attaches with the Motion for Summary Determination Judgment copies of the registar records which concludes that it forwards to <a href="https://www.ameren.com">www.ameren.com</a>

The document itself is a reproduced counterfeit document that was crafted and forged by Ameren Missouris Counsel and Regulatory team.

Nothing in the document itself can be disputed or verified, because the document was never sent to the Complainant.

There are no material facts to dispute with exhibit D NO MPSC 0027, as it contains an invalid email address of www2.ameren.com, not the actual email address of <a href="mailto:DONOTReply@ameren.com">DONOTReply@ameren.com</a>

Whereas the Complainant prays that the Commission and Honorable Judge Fewell grant Complainants Motion for a Summary Determination Judgment against the Respondent, Ameren Missouri.

FYI, as for harassment, Complainant has been stalked via social media by someone that might be in relation to family to undersigned Counsel's family via Social media pages, such as LinkedIn.

Complainant got a notification from LinkedIn that possibly an undersigned Counsel family member viewed and stalked Complainants social media profile on LinkedIn.

LinkedIn, submitted the notification to Complainant six days ago.

Which means, Respondents Counsel in addition to having possible family members stalk Complainants social media channels, is also most likely discussing private legal litigation matters to parties that are no part of the Complaint in question.

Complainant can submit a copy of the notication from LinkedIn upon request by the Commission and Honorable Judge Fewell for verification.

It is the Respondent who is harassing and now stalking Complainants Social Media pages and discussing private litigation matters to parties not involved with the litigational matter.

Respondent might be also trying to seek outside legal advice from other attorney or discussing private litigation matters, in which are protected under confidentiality.

Complainant respectfully asks the Commission to grant a Summary Determination Judgment for the document. Complainant asks the Commission and Honorable Judge Fewell to issue a restraining order against Ameren Missouri from stalking the Complainant at any known address or any social media platforms that the Complainant has and that the undersigned Counsels family has viewed.

Undersigned Counsel for Ameren cannot be trusted and further has most likely seeked interference and tainted this matter to exploit it.

Brett Felber April 7, 2024