

EO-2024-0244
Change of Supplier

Oh and Judge Clark should be asking Respondent, Ameren Missouri's undersigned Counsel's family members or someone in the capacity to relation of her family is stalking and viewing my LinkedIn social media page?

The only party that has done any harassing has been the Respondent. They did that in person three times last year, with a fourth being a bogus claim. Only to follow by now stalking my social media platforms that I am on.

There's now more reason to believe that Ameren's undersigned Counsel might be seeking outside guidance and discussing private litigated matters to Attorney's and or family members that are not apart of this Complaint or have filed an Entry of Appearance. Creating a variance of Attorney Misconduct.

If any part has been getting harassed, it has been I the Complainant. I respectfully ask that the Commission and the Honorable Judge Clark issue a restraining order from Respondent Ameren Missouri from stalking I the Complainant in person or viewing or stalking via social media platforms, such as LinkedIn.

I can furnish a copy of the notification from LinkedIn upon request to prove the further continued stalking . However, I will refrain from publishing it through EFIS as it has names of parties not discussed in this matter listed on the notification printout.

I also ask the Honorable Judge Clark to compel or order Staff of the Commission to filing their report as required.

Deceptive business practices and actions that are being done by the Respondent, Ameren Missouri, conclusively are showing that they will go through any hoops to stalk and harass the Complainant in this matter.

Ameren Missouri went as low as submitting a document with a bogus invalid email address on a document in which the Complainant would have never received. They've failed to file an answer, they are hoping not to have to file an answer and now the Staff of the Commission would rather file a report seeking that nothing be filed, but yet it is Ameren Missouri committing the harassment and stalking towards the Complainant.

In addition, I believe Staff knows that www2.ameren.com is not an email address, however, filing an answer stating that would constitute that Respondent Ameren Missouri did deceive the Complainant out of money, extorted the Complainant out of money and caused further deceptive and fraudulent business practices against the Complainant.

Also, Ameren Missouri should be responsible for any and all fee's related to costs to allow another provider to seek certificates and utilize utility services in the area.

Brett Felber
April 7, 2024

Brett Felber
April 7, 2024