

# Exhibit No. 5



# Opening Statement— Solar Subscription Rider

ET-2024-0182

April 3, 2024





## REASON FOR THE PROCEEDING

- Revise the SSP tariffs to address Solar Block Subscription Charge pricing;
- Possibly expand availability and address monthly billing under residential time-of-use (“TOU”) rates;
- Revise the tariff to better incorporate future solar resources; and
- Revise non-residential participation limits.



## AREAS OF AGREEMENT

1. Evergy agrees with Staff that the Commission may properly determine that it is appropriate that customers not be able to participate in the SSP program while taking service on more highly differentiated TOU rate schedules.
2. SSP should not be expanded to include separately metered Electric Vehicle rate.



## AREAS OF AGREEMENT

3. The Securitized Utility Tariff Charge should be applicable to all metered Kwhs, without any reductions for the Participant's share of the solar resource energy production.
4. Customers should be credited for the net excess energy at the current rate in the Company's Parallel Generation tariff.



## ISSUES LEFT TO BE DECIDED

1. Should Evergy be allowed to reflect the actual cost of the solar facility in the charges that are part of the SSP?
2. Should the Company's allocation method or Staff's customer-specific method be used for calculating the impact of peak and off-peak usage and billed accordingly?



## ISSUES LEFT TO BE DECIDED

3. Should non-residential customers be allowed to subscribe under the SSP for up to 100% of their energy usage?
4. Should the terms for future expansion of the SSP be changed?
5. Should there continue to be a 3-month waiting period for non-residential customers?



QUESTIONS?