	Procedural Conference
1	Page 1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI
2	
3	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS PROCEDURAL CONFERENCE
4	
5	In the Matter of the Application) of Trevor King for Change of)
6	Electric Supplier from Evergy) Missouri West, Inc., d/b/a)File No. EO-2024-0161
7	Evergy Missouri West to Osage) Valley Electric Cooperative)
8	
9	
10	MONDAY APRIL 8, 2024 1:00 p.m.
11	Jefferson City, MO 65101
12	via WebEx
13	VOLUME I
14	
15	
16	KEN SEYER, Presiding
17	REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
18	
19	
20	(Due to the quality of the recorded media, portions were unable to be transcribed and include inaudible
21	portions. The transcript may also include misinterpreted words and/or unidentified speakers.
22	The transcriber was not present at the time of the recording; therefore, this transcript should not be
23	considered verbatim.)
24	TRANSCRIBED BY: MELISSA EICKEN
25	



	Procedural Conference
1	Page 2 LAW JUDGE SEYER: All right. We are on the
2	record. Today is April 8th, 2024. It's 1:16 p.m.
3	The commission set this date for a procedural
4	conference in the case captioned as in the matter of
5	the application of Trevor King for change of electric
6	supplier from Evergy Missouri West, Incorporated,
7	doing business as Evergy Missouri West to Osage Valley
8	Electric Cooperative. It's the Public Service
9	Commission file number EO-2024-0161.
10	Previously in this case the applications
11	for change of supplier for Trevor King, Tyler Watts
12	and Mathew Gibreal were all combined into this single
13	case as they are all neighbors, essentially,
14	requesting the same thing.
15	My name is Ken Seyer, and I'm the
16	regulatory law judge in this matter. If this matter
17	is not otherwise settled, I will be the judge
18	conducting the the evidentiary hearing. But it is
19	the five commissioners who make up the Public Service
20	Commission who will decide whether to grant the change
21	of electric supplier request.
22	So today is not the hearing. Today is
23	mostly to try to get closer to setting a a hearing
24	date where the commissioners and myself would
25	basically present well, all of us hopefully would
	888-893-3767 Lexitas operates in all 50 states and is licensed where required Nevada Registration #116F.

	Page 3
1	be present. Understand Mr. Watts, Mr. Gibreal,
2	understand that you, as the applicants, have the
3	burden of proof in your case, that is you must provide
4	evidence whether that would be testimony or your own
5	testimony or additional witnesses testimony,
б	documentation, et cetera, that would convince the
7	commissioners to grant your request. Do you
8	understand that?
9	MATHEW GIBREAL: Yes.
10	TYLER WATTS: Yes.
11	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Thank you.
12	And I don't recall whether I mentioned this
13	after we went on the record, but Mr. King is not
14	participating this afternoon, one of the three
15	applicants.
16	So before we before we go any further,
17	I'd like the attorneys for the other parties to make
18	their entries of appearance starting with Mr. Steiner.
19	MR. STEINER: Good afternoon. Can you hear
20	me?
21	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Yes.
22	MR. STEINER: This is Roger W. Steiner,
23	attorney for Evergy. My address is 1200 Main Street,
24	Kansas City, Missouri 64105.
25	LAW JUDGE SEYER: And for Osage Valley



Pag	е	4

1	Page 4 Electric Cooperative.
2	MEGAN MCCORD: Yes. This is Megan McCord.
3	I'm with Friel, McCord & Smiley Law Firm. My address
4	is P.O. Box 14287, Springfield, Missouri 65814.
5	LAW JUDGE SEYER: All right. And for the
6	staff of the Public Service Commission.
7	MR. VANDERGRIFF: Good afternoon. I'm Eric
8	Vandergriff representing staff. Our address here is
9	200 Madison Street, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City.
10	LAW JUDGE SEYER: All right. Thank you.
11	And it does not appear that anyone is
12	participating in the meeting with the Office of the
13	Public Counsel.
14	So we're at the point in in this case
15	where we have the applicants we have the
16	applications, we have responses from from Evergy
17	and Osage Valley. Osage Valley and the responses are
18	similar in all three cases, so. I'll I'll try to
19	summarize and say that Osage Valley has taken no
20	position on the applications but has stated that if
21	the applications are approved by the commission that
22	they and they are ordered to supply the residents
23	with electric power, they can do so. They also state
24	that they already have distribution lines on the
25	each of the applicants' properties. However, they do



1	Page5 state that some of the costs of construction will be
2	required to be paid for by the applicants. Evergy
3	Evergy's responses to the applications have been
4	designated as confidential. However, Evergy's
5	position is that none of the three applications meets
6	the burden of proof for a change of supplier.
7	Therefore, they wish to continue serving the three
8	applicants. And then the staff of the commission
9	reviewed the applications and filed a recommendation.
10	Their findings were that they recommend that the
11	commission grant the three requests in that it would
12	be in the public interest to change the electric
13	supplier to Osage Valley Electrical Cooperative.
14	So having said all that, typically, the
15	evidentiary hearing itself in such a case might be
16	scheduled a couple of months out, so. I'm looking at

our hearing schedule -- well, first of all, let me ask

you this and -- and anyone can answer, but typically,

here in our hearing room in Jefferson City unless all

of the parties are in agreement to hold it, otherwise.

this would be a -- a hearing that would take place

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sorry.

So would anyone like to be heard on that?

LAW JUDGE SEYER:

MEGAN MCCORD:

LEXITAS

Judge, this is Megan McCord.

Go ahead, Ms. McCord.

1	Page6 MEGAN MCCORD: Some of the counsel and I
2	have spoken just briefly via e-mail, and it seems like
3	we're all supportive of doing it via Webex, if that's
4	acceptable to the Court, but obviously, I know that
5	we've got three unrepresented parties here, so.
6	Certainly willing to do whatever is best for for
7	the commission and for them as well.
8	LAW JUDGE SEYER: All right.
9	MATHEW GIBREAL: Is it recommended that the
10	three applicants need to seek legal counsel?
11	LAW JUDGE SEYER: That really is up to
12	them. I I would not recommend one way or the
13	other.
14	MATHEW GIBREAL: So I guess voicing one
15	concern, I've been trying to get power on my property
16	since March of last year. After nine months of trying
17	with Evergy, myself and my two neighbors to the north
18	and south to me decided to send these applications in.
19	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Uh-huh.
20	MATHEW GIBREAL: And we're five months in
21	on this process already. Is there any way to expedite
22	it faster than two months?
23	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Well, I will I will
24	say this. If it were conducted online, rather, than
25	in person, that would provide more flexibility or more

1	Page7 availability as to the dates that we could hold the
2	hearing.
3	MATHEW GIBREAL: Okay.
4	LAW JUDGE SEYER: So if that's something
5	that that you'd be willing to do, then, like I
6	said, that that could get it scheduled sooner than
7	if we have to schedule it in our hearing room here.
8	MATHEW GIBREAL: Okay.
9	MEGAN MCCORD: Judge, if I could add one
10	more thing?
11	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Uh-huh.
12	MEGAN MCCORD: My clients have expressed to
13	me recently that, you know, they're in a bit of an
14	awkward position, and certainly, the the three
15	applicants here are I mean, from what I understand,
16	they basically just don't have power and are really
17	kind of in a time of the essence situation because
18	they'd like to start construction. And, so, you know,
19	my clients are are constrained by the the rules
20	and the laws and can't provide power to them, and it
21	seems they have had some difficulty from the current
22	provider, so. I my feeling from speaking with
23	everybody was that the fastest we could kind of
24	proceed with this, so they so the applicants could
25	get power one way or another, the better. And, so I

	Procedural Conference
1	Page 8 know that's been a frustration ongoing for my clients
2	and for them as well.
3	LAW JUDGE SEYER: All right. Mr. Watts,
4	would you have any objection to holding the hearing
5	on
6	TYLER WATTS: I have no objection
7	whatever we can do to follow this matter, you know,
8	the quickest way possible, I'm I am 100 percent on
9	board with it, so. I would be fine with doing, you
10	know, a doing it online.
11	LAW JUDGE SEYER: All right.
12	MATHEW GIBREAL: So just just Tyler
13	has just put his foundation in, and framers are going
14	to be coming soon.
15	TYLER WATTS: Yeah.
16	MATHEW GIBREAL: I'm my house is nearly
17	closed in. I mean, I plan on moving in, in a couple
18	of months. Three months probably. But, you know,
19	to to be able to do the mechanical and the septic
20	and things of that nature, I have to have power, I
21	have to have water. I don't have water without power,
22	so. I'm kind of I'm going to be at a standstill
23	here real quick.
24	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Okay.
25	MATHEW GIBREAL: And then, you know,



	Procedural Conference
1	Page 9 currently my contractors have been doing everything
2	off of a generator which obviously costs more money
3	and it and that trickled down to me. So, you know,
4	there's a financial burden with the delay of service
5	from Evergy.
б	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Okay. Mr. Steiner, I
7	take it you would have no objection to conducting the
8	hearing online?
9	MR. STEINER: No. It's worked out in the
10	past, Your Honor, to do that, that way. If you do
11	have it live, I might be there live, but the
12	applicants certainly don't have to, and if that makes
13	it go faster, that's fine, so. I understand.
14	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Well, would anyone
15	Mr. Vandergriff, would you like to suggest a date,
16	just to kind of get the ball rolling?
17	MR. VANDERGRIFF: I don't have a suggestion
18	in mind, really. One, need to hear from Evergy in
19	this case since they want to present a little bit more
20	evidence.
21	MATHEW GIBREAL: In the next two weeks, is
22	that soon enough?
23	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Well, it would let's
24	see. I myself is tied up the week of May 6th through
25	the 10th. So my preference would be those last three



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Procedural Conference
Page 10 weeks of May at the at the soonest.
MATHEW GIBREAL: I can make something work
there.
MEGAN MCCORD: I think, Judge, for my
clients and I probably the week of the 13th, I
imagine, would probably be a little bit better. We've
got some meetings the following week, if that's an
option.
LAW JUDGE SEYER: And I also I just saw

9 LAW JUDGE SEYER: And I 10 this. I'm also unavailable May 21st.

MATHEW GIBREAL: I'm fine with the 13th, if 11 12 that's the soonest we can do it.

13 LAW JUDGE SEYER: All right. Let me --I'm fine with any day 14 TYLER WATTS: Yeah. 15 as well. The quicker the better, if we can.

16 Gotcha. LAW JUDGE SEYER: That week I'm 17 unavailable on Thursday.

18 MR. STEINER: We actually have another hearing, but the Monday, the 13th, would work. 19 Hanq 20 on just a second. Let me make sure the -- I'm getting 21 the right date. I could do the 13th or the 17th of 2.2 that week. 23 LAW JUDGE SEYER: All right. I will run 24 those dates past our commissioners to make sure 25 they're available on one of those two dates, and then



,	Procedural Conierence
1	Page 11 Mr. Vandergriff, if I let you know the which date
2	will be the hearing date, can you work backwards from
3	that on the deadline dates leading up to that?
4	MR. VANDERGRIFF: I can try. It'll be a
5	little short for direct, but if it needs to be done, I
6	think that, you know, so long as I can contact the
7	parties, I'll get it done.
8	MR. STEINER: So I I think we're
9	probably since this is so soon, we just have a oral
10	hearing, Eric; does that work for staff or do you want
11	to have one time I mentioned a round of testimony,
12	but I think we're talking about a oral hearing here
13	and no pre-filed?
14	MR. VANDERGRIFF: Staff was okay with
15	pre-filed testimony when I talked to the parties via
16	e-mail, so.
17	MR. STEINER: Okay. I'm fine with
18	pre-filed, too. I just didn't know because of the
19	time crunch. That's fine. I'll wait for your
20	schedule. That's fine.
21	LAW JUDGE SEYER: And just for Mr. Watts,
22	Mr. Gibreal, what they're referring to is in in
23	these Public Service Commission cases, often testimony
24	from witnesses is filed ahead of the hearing and that
25	will let the parties know what what those witnesses



	Procedural Conference				
1	Page 12 would have testified to where they here live on the day				
2	of hearing, and it's it's a means of saving us some				
3	time on the day of the hearing. But typically, those				
4	witnesses are made available for the other parties to				
5	cross examine.				
6	MATHEW GIBREAL: Are we allowed to bring				
7	witnesses on the 13th then?				
8	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Yes. I will when				
9	we're done here, the there'll be an order that I'll				
10	issue that will lay out the information for your				
11	witnesses to kind of like this hearing today, this				
12	conference today, it'll give them the information on				
13	how to join the well, the Webex meeting which will				
14	double as the hearing.				
15	MATHEW GIBREAL: Right.				
16	LAW JUDGE SEYER: So as long as you let us				
17	know by the deadline that I'll set in the order, the				
18	names of of your witnesses that would testify,				
19	we'll get that meeting invitation to them ahead of				
20	time.				
21	MATHEW GIBREAL: Okay. And just as I				
22	stated earlier when I got on, I didn't receive this				
23	latest letter with the with this meeting				
24	instruction on it, so. I don't know if it got lost in				
25	the mail or what. So I guess I'm a little concerned				

	Procedural Conference
1	Page 13 about I'm a little gun shy about
2	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Uh-huh.
3	MATHEW GIBREAL: not getting the next
4	letter in the mail from you guys giving me all the
5	deadlines and things of that nature. I don't know
б	that I put my e-mail on the application. I may not
7	have. So if you don't have it, I'm glad to I'm
8	glad to give anybody that needs that
9	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Yeah.
10	MATHEW GIBREAL: information.
11	LAW JUDGE SEYER: If you can right now, go
12	ahead and provide that, because I'm looking at at
13	the what's called the service list, and it lists
14	your lists a home address in peculiar, but it does
15	not list an e-mail address.
16	MATHEW GIBREAL: Okay.
17	LAW JUDGE SEYER: So
18	MATHEW GIBREAL: Yeah. You ready?
19	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Yeah.
20	MATHEW GIBREAL: It is M, as in Mathew, C
21	as in Clark, my last name, G-I-B as in boy, R-E-A-L,
22	at Outlook.com.
23	LAW JUDGE SEYER: All right. I got it.
24	TYLER WATTS: Was there one listed for
25	for me? I didn't know I don't know if I put one

	Flocedulai Comercia
1	Page 14
2	LAW JUDGE SEYER: No, there's not. And
3	TYLER WATTS: Okay.
4	LAW JUDGE SEYER: I apologize. Okay.
5	TYLER WATTS: No. That's all right.
б	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Would you like to provide
7	one as well?
8	TYLER WATTS: Yes.
9	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Okay.
10	TYLER WATTS: Mine's Tyler, T-Y-L-E-R,
11	J-W-A-T-T-S, and then the number four at Gmail.com.
12	LAW JUDGE SEYER: All right. Mr. King is
13	not does not have an e-mail address listed either.
14	You wouldn't happen to know his e-mail address?
15	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Your Honor, I have
16	that if it's okay for me to provide that.
17	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Sure.
18	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: It's Trevor,
19	trevor.kcmo@outlook.com.
20	MR. STEINER: This is Roger. Could I get
21	Tyler and Mathew's one more time? I'm sorry.
22	LAW JUDGE SEYER: I'll go ahead I'll go
23	ahead and read them off, and they can correct me if
24	I'm wrong. So for Mr. Gibreal, it's
25	mcgibreal@outlook.com.

Procedural	Conference
------------	------------

	Procedural Conterence
1	Page 15 MATHEW GIBREAL: Correct.
2	LAW JUDGE SEYER: And then for Mr. Watts,
3	tylerjwatts4. So it's T-Y-L-E-R, J, W-A-T-T-S, 4, the
4	number 4, at Gmail.com. Thank you.
5	MR. STEINER: Thanks. Trevor, T-R-E-V-O-R,
6	dot, kcmo@outlook.com for Mr. King?
7	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Yes.
8	MR. STEINER: Thanks.
9	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Mr. Vandergriff, how much
10	time would you need to give me a proposed procedural
11	schedule that has those dates leading up to the
12	evidentiary hearing? I'm sorry.
13	MR. VANDERGRIFF: We're looking at May 13th
14	or May 17th?
15	LAW JUDGE SEYER: I'm not sure at this
16	point.
17	MR. VANDERGRIFF: All right. Since I got
18	most of the people on on the line right now, the
19	fastest, I think, I could possibly get it done is two
20	weeks, but I'd like a month.
21	LAW JUDGE SEYER: Well, two weeks would put
22	us about well, less than a month from the hearing
23	date. And so I'll put in the order by April 19th.
24	Let me think about that. Okay. Yeah. If you think
25	you can get that done, Mr. Vandergriff.



1	Page 16 MR. VANDERGRIFF: I'll get it done.
2	LAW JUDGE SEYER: I I'm sorry, but it
3	is it is kind of a compressed schedule. Is there
4	anything else that the parties want to address today?
5	All right. I'm I'm not hearing I'm
б	not hearing anyone, so. If there is nothing else,
7	then I'll adjourn the hearing, and we'll go off the
8	record, so.
9	MR. VANDERGRIFF: All right.
10	MR. STEINER: Thank you.
11	LAW JUDGE SEYER: All right. I'll adjourn
12	the hearing.
13	TYLER WATTS: Thanks.
14	MATHEW GIBREAL: Good-bye.
15	(Audio ended.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	888-893-3767 Lexitas operates in all 50 states and is licensed where required Nevada Registration #116F.



	Procedural Conference
1	Page 17 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Melissa J. Eicken, Certified Court
4	Reporter of Missouri, Certified Shorthand Reporter of
5	Illinois and Registered Professional Reporter, do
6	hereby certify that I was asked to prepare a
7	transcript of proceedings had in the above-mentioned
8	case, which proceedings were held with no court
9	reporter present utilizing an open microphone system
10	of preserving the record.
11	I further certify that the foregoing pages
12	constitute a true and accurate reproduction of the
13	proceedings as transcribed by me to the best of my
14	ability and may include inaudible sections or
15	misidentified speakers of said open microphone
16	recording.
17	(Melisse Eicken,
18	Marso bitten
19	Melissa J. Eicken, CCR, CSR, RPR
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Date:
25	

	Procedural	Conference	Index: 100designate
	add 7:9	bit 7:13 9:19 10:6	conference 2:4
1	additional 3:5	board 8:9	12:12
100 8:8	address 3:23 4:3,8	Box 4:4,9	confidential 5:4
10th 9:25	13:14,15 14:13,14 16:4	boy 13:21	constrained 7:19
1200 3:23	adjourn 16:7,11	briefly 6:2	construction 5:1 7:18
13th 10:5,11,19,21	afternoon 3:14,19	bring 12:6	contact 11:6
12:7 15:13	4:7	burden 3:3 5:6 9:4	continue 5:7
14287 4:4	agreement 5:21	business 2:7	
17th 10:21 15:14	ahead 5:25 11:24		contractors 9:1
19th 15:23	12:19 13:12	C	convince 3:6
1:16 2:2	14:22,23	called 13:13	Cooperative 2:8 4:1 5:13
	allowed 12:6	captioned 2:4	correct 14:23 15:1
2	apologize 14:4	case 2:4,10,13 3:3	costs 5:1 9:2
200 4:9	appearance 3:18	4:14 5:15 9:19	counsel 4:13 6:1,
2024 2:2	applicants 3:2,15 4:15 5:2,8 6:10	cases 4:18 11:23	10
21st 10:10	7:15,24 9:12	cetera 3:6	couple 5:16 8:17
	applicants' 4:25	change 2:5,11,20	Court 6:4
3	application 2:5	5:6,12	cross 12:5
360 4:9	13:6	City 3:24 4:9 5:20	crunch 11:19
	applications 2:10	Clark 13:21	current 7:21
4	4:16,20,21 5:3,5,9 6:18	clients 7:12,19 8:1 10:5	
4 15:3,4	approved 4:21	closed 8:17	D
	April 2:2 15:23	closer 2:23	date 2:3,24 9:15
6	attorney 3:23	combined 2:12	10:21 11:1,2
64105 3:24	attorneys 3:17	commission 2:3,	dates 7:1 10:24,25
65814 4:4	audio 16:15	9,20 4:6,21 5:8,11	11:3 15:11
6th 9:24	availability 7:1	6:7 11:23	day 10:14 12:1,3
	awkward 7:14	commissioners 2:19,24 3:7 10:24	deadline 11:3
8		compressed 16:3	12:17
8th 2:2	B	concern 6:15	deadlines 13:5
	backwards 11:2	concerned 12:25	decide 2:20
Α	ball 9:16	conducted 6:24	decided 6:18
acceptable 6:4	basically 2:25 7:16	conducting 2:18 9:7	delay 9:4 designated 5:4



	Index: difficultylaw		
difficulty 7:21	feeling 7:22	Gotcha 10:16	
direct 11:5	FEMALE 14:15,18	grant 2:20 3:7	J
distribution 4:24	15:7	5:11	J-W-A-T-T-S 14:11
documentation	file 2:9	guess 6:14 12:25	Jefferson 4:9 5:20
3:6	filed 5:9 11:24	gun 13:1	join 12:13
dot 15:6	financial 9:4	guys 13:4	judge 2:1,16,17
double 12:14	findings 5:10		3:11,21,25 4:5,10
	fine 8:9 9:13	н	5:23,25 6:8,11,19, 23 7:4,9,11 8:3,
E	10:11,14 11:17, 19,20	Hang 10:19	11,24 9:6,14,23
e-mail 6:2 11:16	Firm 4:3	happen 14:14	10:4,9,13,16,23
13:6,15 14:13,14	flexibility 6:25	hear 3:19 9:18	11:21 12:8,16 13:2,9,11,17,19,
earlier 12:22	follow 8:7	heard 5:22	23 14:2,4,6,9,12,
electric 2:5,8,21 4:1,23 5:12	foundation 8:13	hearing 2:18,22,	17,22 15:2,9,15, 21 16:2,11
Electrical 5:13	framers 8:13	23 5:15,17,19,20 7:2,7 8:4 9:8	21 10.2,11
ended 16:15	Friel 4:3	10:19 11:2,10,12,	К
entries 3:18	frustration 8:1	24 12:2,3,11,14	Kansas 3:24
EO-2024-0161 2:9		15:12,22 16:5,6,7, 12	kcmo@outlook.
EC-2024-0101 2.9	G	hold 5:21 7:1	com 15:6
essence 7:17	G-I-B 13:21	holding 8:4	Ken 2:15
essentially 2:13	generator 9:2	home 13:14	kind 7:17,23 8:22
Evergy 2:6,7 3:23	Gibreal 2:12 3:1,9	Honor 9:10 14:15	9:16 12:11 16:3
4:16 5:2 6:17 9:5,	6:9,14,20 7:3,8	house 8:16	King 2:5,11 3:13 14:12 15:6
18 F errarda 5-0.4	8:12,16,25 9:21 10:2,11 11:22		
Evergy's 5:3,4	12:6,15,21 13:3,	<u> </u>	L
evidence 3:4 9:20 evidentiary 2:18	10,16,18,20 14:24 15:1 16:14	imagine 10:6	latest 12:23
5:15 15:12	give 12:12 13:8	Incorporated 2:6	law 2:1,16 3:11,21,
examine 12:5	15:10	information 12:10,	25 4:3,5,10 5:25
expedite 6:21	giving 13:4	12 13:10	6:8,11,19,23 7:4, 11 8:3,11,24 9:6,
expressed 7:12	glad 13:7,8	instruction 12:24	14,23 10:9,13,16,
	Gmail.com. 14:11	interest 5:12	23 11:21 12:8,16 13:2,9,11,17,19,
F	15:4	invitation 12:19	23 14:2,4,6,9,12,
faster 6:22 9:13	Good 3:19 4:7	issue 12:10	17,22 15:2,9,15,
fastest 7:23 15:19	Good-bye 16:14		21 16:2,11



	Procedural	Conference	Index: lawsrecommend
laws 7:20	mechanical 8:19	option 10:8	present 2:25 3:1
lay 12:10	meeting 4:12	oral 11:9,12	9:19
leading 11:3 15:11	12:13,19,23	order 12:9,17	Previously 2:10
legal 6:10	meetings 10:7	15:23	procedural 2:3 15:10
letter 12:23 13:4	meets 5:5	ordered 4:22	
lines 4:24	Megan 4:2 5:23	Osage 2:7 3:25	proceed 7:24
list 13:13,15	6:1 7:9,12 10:4	4:17,19 5:13	process 6:21
listed 13:24 14:13	mentioned 3:12 11:11	Outlook.com. 13:22	proof 3:3 5:6
lists 13:13,14	mind 9:18		properties 4:25
live 9:11 12:1	Mine's 14:10	Р	property 6:15
long 11:6 12:16	Missouri 2:6,7	p.m. 2:2	proposed 15:10
lost 12:24	3:24 4:4	P.O. 4:4,9	provide 3:3 6:25 7:20 13:12 14:6,
	Monday 10:19		16
М	money 9:2	paid 5:2	provider 7:22
made 12:4	month 15:20,22	participating 3:14 4:12	public 2:8,19 4:6,
Madison 4:9	months 5:16 6:16,	parties 3:17 5:21	13 5:12 11:23
mail 12:25 13:4	20,22 8:18	6:5 11:7,15,25	put 8:13 13:6,25
	moving 8:17	12:4 16:4	15:21,23
Main 3:23		past 9:10 10:24	Q
make 2:19 3:17 10:2,20,24	N	peculiar 13:14	<u> </u>
makes 9:12	names 12:18	people 15:18	quick 8:23
March 6:16	nature 8:20 13:5	percent 8:8	quicker 10:15
Mathew 2:12 3:9	neighbors 2:13	person 6:25	quickest 8:8
6:9,14,20 7:3,8	6:17	place 5:19	
8:12,16,25 9:21	north 6:17	plan 8:17	R
10:2,11 12:6,15, 21 13:3,10,16,18,	number 2:9 14:11	point 4:14 15:16	R-E-A-L 13:21
20 15:1 16:14	15:4	position 4:20 5:5	read 14:23
Mathew's 14:21	0	7:14	ready 13:18
matter 2:4,16 8:7		possibly 15:19	real 8:23
Mccord 4:2,3 5:23,	objection 8:4,6	power 4:23 6:15	recall 3:12
25 6:1 7:9,12 10:4	9:7	7:16,20,25 8:20, 21	receive 12:22
mcgibreal@	Office 4:12	pre-filed 11:13,15,	recently 7:13
outlook.com. 14:25	ongoing 8:1	18	recommend 5:10
means 12:2	online 6:24 8:10 9:8	preference 9:25	6:12
	l		I



recommendation	set 2:3 12:17	suggest 9:15	21 16:13
5:9	setting 2:23	suggestion 9:17	tylerjwatts4 15:3
recommended 6:9	settled 2:17	summarize 4:19	typically 5:14,18
record 2:2 3:13 16:8	Seyer 2:1,15 3:11, 21,25 4:5,10 5:25	supplier 2:6,11,21 5:6,13	12:3
referring 11:22	6:8,11,19,23 7:4,	supply 4:22	U
regulatory 2:16	11 8:3,11,24 9:6, 14,23 10:9,13,16,	supportive 6:3	Uh-huh 6:19 7:11
representing 4:8	23 11:21 12:8,16		13:2
request 2:21 3:7	13:2,9,11,17,19,	Т	unavailable 10:10
requesting 2:14	23 14:2,4,6,9,12, 17,22 15:2,9,15,	T-R-E-V-O-R 15:5	17
requests 5:11	21 16:2,11	T-Y-L-E-R 14:10	understand 3:1,2, 8 7:15 9:13
required 5:2	short 11:5	15:3	UNIDENTIFIED
residents 4:22	shy 13:1	talked 11:15	14:15,18 15:7
responses 4:16,	similar 4:18	talking 11:12	unrepresented
17 5:3	single 2:12	testified 12:1	6:5
reviewed 5:9	situation 7:17	testify 12:18	V
Roger 3:22 14:20	Smiley 4:3	testimony 3:4,5	V
rolling 9:16	sooner 7:6	11:11,15,23	Valley 2:7 3:25
room 5:20 7:7	soonest 10:1,12	there'll 12:9	4:17,19 5:13
round 11:11	south 6:18	thing 2:14 7:10	Vandergriff 4:7,8 9:15,17 11:1,4,14
rules 7:19	speaking 7:22	things 8:20 13:5	15:9,13,17,25
run 10:23	spoken 6:2	Thursday 10:17	16:1,9
S	Springfield 4:4	tied 9:24	voicing 6:14
saving 12:2	staff 4:6,8 5:8 11:10,14	time 7:17 11:11,19 12:3,20 14:21	W
schedule 5:17 7:7	standstill 8:22	15:10	W-A-T-T-S 15:3
11:20 15:11 16:3	start 7:18	today 2:2,22 12:11,12 16:4	wait 11:19
scheduled 5:16	starting 3:18	Trevor 2:5,11	water 8:21
7:6 seek 6:10	state 4:23 5:1	14:18 15:5	Watts 2:11 3:1,10
	stated 4:20 12:22	trevor.kcmo@	8:3,6,15 10:14
send 6:18	Steiner 3:18,19,22	outlook.com. 14:19	11:21 13:24 14:3, 5,8,10 15:2 16:13
septic 8:19	9:6,9 10:18 11:8, 17 14:20 15:5,8	trickled 9:3	Webex 6:3 12:13
service 2:8,19 4:6 9:4 11:23 13:13	16:10	Tyler 2:11 3:10	week 9:24 10:5,7,
serving 5:7	Street 3:23 4:9	8:6,12,15 10:14 13:24 14:3,5,8,10,	16,22

888-893-3767 Lexitas operates in all 50 states and is licensed where required Nevada Registration #116F. www.lexitaslegal.com California Firm Registration #179

weeks 9:21 10:1 15:20,21		
West 2:6,7		
witnesses 3:5 11:24,25 12:4,7, 11,18		
work 10:2,19 11:2, 10		
worked 9:9		
wrong 14:24		
Y		
year 6:16		
	1	

