

EC-2024-0217

Brett Felber

VS

Ameren Missouri

Everything listed in Ameren Missouri's response back to my summary determination is not only absolute bs, but also incredible bs. Ameren Missouri's response is how they feel entitled, not any statutes or factual statements to contradict or any rebuttal of the document in question.

The Commission, Staff of the Commission, Ameren Missouri were supplied copies in the original filing of the summary determination of the direct source from ICANN.org and WHOIS. Which is the center or public database and registration filings database of publicly furnishing upon request of anyone the domain's owner and contact information of the domain owner.

Ameren Missouri knows they were caught in a pathological lie and now continues to further lie and hope the Complainant's Summary Determination is dismissed, instead of admitting that www2.ameren.com is not an actual email address and it is a bogus document.

In addition, as of 5/8/2024 on through the EFIS system, EC-2023-0395, is still currently in open or reopened status, therefore a Final Judgment or order cannot be issued. Even then, a final Judgment wouldn't occur until 90 days from the effective date of the filing of the document. Giving the Complainant more time, to satisfy and file timely Motions.

Under 74.01 (b) the appellate court has not jurisdiction for an appeal.

(Case Reference- Bi-State Development Agency vs. Peckham, Guyton, Alberts & Veits, Inc 747 S.W. 2d 332,334 (MO.App.1988)

Case Reference- ICANN.ORG

Case Reference-WHOIS

In addition, Ameren Missouri's fixers, Banks Law LLC, the Commissioners and Honorable Con Artist, Judge Clark didn't issue any orders, rulings filings, in regards to the counterfeit document or the validation or invalidation of the document.

On March 28, 2024, the Honorable Judge Rodney Sippel stated in a memo against Ameren Missouri that they have ignored any and all orders regarding the the closure of Rush Island and the orders instructed

by the Honorable Rodney Sippel. (Sort of like what they are doing in my matter, ignoring).

On March 28, 2024, we got a further glimpse of a Federal Judge confirming Ameren Missouri is not only a violator, but also a law breaker who at everyone else's expense and forces others to pay for it, breaks the law. (Don't believe me, read in the order)

In addition, they have a history of running back to their fixers at the Public Service Commission, such as fixer, con Artist Judge Clark who approves all their orders, regardless of clear violations and law breaking. (Case- EF-2024-0021)

Instead of admitting the truth, Ameren Missouri, would rather continue to pathological lie, pray on their fixers within the Commission, to deny Mr. Felber's Complaint and reasons of Summary Determination and rush to dismiss any filings regarding the document in question.

As for "affidavits" I submitted those in documents in the last matter EC-2023-0395 showing a valid payment agreement and fixer, con artist Judge Clark took no regards to it. Now Ameren wants affidavits?

What exact law does the Commission apparently follow? For Ameren it seems to be every Statute of law, but then for the Complainant it appears the Commission practices what is only necessary to dump more problems on the Complainant and deny Complainants any resolution or violations against the utility?

I have a better idea. How about the Commission setup a hearing regarding the documents I have submitted in question. I will get those vendors to agree to a hearing in which they will confirm the document in question are not only counterfeit, but fraudulent, but will also prove that a Summary Determination Judgment should be entered against Ameren Missouri, but also the return of over \$ [REDACTED] to be returned and ordered to be repaid to the Complainant, Brett Felber.

(Remember last time Ameren? Con Artist Clark and Con Artist fixer Banks, from Banks Law didn't want that?)

If Ameren Missouri isn't hiding anything and the Commission isn't hiding anything, they would have no problem setting up a hearing and allowing to hear it directly from the sources themselves.

At this point, since the Commission and Ameren Missouri extorted and stole over \$ [REDACTED] from me, until restitution is repaid and reimbursed to me, I will continue to file complaints, furthermore, I will tell the Commission when I am done filing Complaints.

The Commission and Ameren Missouri tried to extort and utilize counterfeit documents against the wrong party who will continue going higher up the ladder, until both the Commission and Ameren Missouri admit the document is a counterfeit document and contains a bogus email address and that www2.ameren.com is not a valid email address.

Let me know when a hearing is setup and I don't want another bogus show cause filing or fake dismissal filing from the Regulatory Judge. At this point, I'm pretty much calling everyone from the Commission out and your bogus filings to dismiss my matter will not be tolerated or will I be subjected to the frivolously of them, until the Commission and Ameren admit the truth about the document.

Brett Felber

May 8, 2024