Exhibit No.:

Issues:

Rate Design

Witness:

Thomas M. Imhoff

Sponsoring Party:

MO PSC Staff
Direct Testimony

Type of Exhibit: Case No.:

GR-2014-0086

Date Testimony Prepared:

June 13, 2014

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

REGULATORY REVIEW DIVISION UTILITY OPERATIONS

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

THOMAS M. IMHOFF

SUMMIT NATURAL GAS OF MISSOURI, INC.

CASE NO. GR-2014-0086

Jefferson City, Missouri June 2014

Statt Exhibit No 107

Date 8-19-14 Reporter 45

File No 68-2014-0086

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| In the Matter of Summit Natural Gas of Missouri Inc.'s Filing of Revised Tariffs To Increase its Annual Revenues For Natural Gas Service |) Case No. GR-2014-0086 | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| AFFIDAVIT OF THOMAS M. IMHOFF | | | | | | | |
| STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF COLE) | | | | | | | |
| Thomas M. Imhoff, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of 5 pages of Direct Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief. | | | | | | | |
| | Thomas M. Imhoff | | | | | | |
| Subscribed and sworn to before me this 13th day of June, 2014. | | | | | | | |
| LAURA BLOCH Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: June 21, 2015 Commission Number: 11203914 | Notary Public | | | | | | |

| 1 | | Table of Contents | | | |
|----|----|-------------------------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 2 | | DIRECT TESTIMONY | | | |
| 3 | OF | | | | |
| 4 | | THOMAS M. IMHOFF | | | |
| 5 | | SUMMIT NATURAL GAS OF MISSOURI, INC. | | | |
| 6 | | CASE NO. GR-2014-0086 | | | |
| 7 | A. | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 1 | | |
| 8 | В. | CLASS COST OF SERVICE | 2 | | |
| 9 | C. | RATE DESIGN | 3 | | |
| 10 | D. | TARIFF CHANGES TO THE TRANSPORTATION CLASS AND SCHOOL | | | |
| 11 | | AGGREGATION AND TRANSPORTATION | 3 | | |

| 1 | | DIRECT TESTIMONY | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | | OF | | |
| 3 | | THOMAS M. IMHOFF | | |
| 4 | | SUMMIT NATURAL GAS OF MISSOURI, INC. | | |
| 5 | | CASE NO. GR-2014-0086 | | |
| 6 | Q. | Please state your name and business address. | | |
| 7 | A. | Thomas M. Imhoff, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. | | |
| 8 | Q. | Are you the same Thomas Imhoff who previously filed direct testimony in the | | |
| 9 | Staff's cost of service filing on May 30, 2014? | | | |
| 0 | A. | Yes. | | |
| 1 | Q. | With reference to Case No. GR-2014-0086, have you participated in the | | |
| 2 | Missouri Pub | olic Service Commission Staff's ("Staff") audit of Summit Natural Gas of | | |
| 3 | Missouri, Inc. ("SNG" or "Company")? | | | |
| 4 | A. | Yes, I have. | | |
| 5 | A. EXE | CUTIVE SUMMARY | | |
| .6 | Q. | What is the purpose of your Direct Testimony? | | |
| 7 | A. | The purpose of my Direct Testimony is to provide an overview of Staff's | | |
| 8 | position on SNG's class cost-of-service ("CCOS"), rate design, transportation service and the | | | |
| 9 | school transportation and aggregation program tariff language. A copy of Staff's CCOS is | | | |
| 0 | attached to the | he CCOS Report. The CCOS Report is being filed concurrently with this | | |
| 1 | testimony. The | his report describes, in greater detail, Staff's position regarding these issues and | | |
| 2 | was prepared | by various Staff members under my direction. The "report" approach to the | | |
| 3 | case filing is | intended to minimize the number of Staff witnesses required to file individual | | |

pieces of direct testimony and provides for a clearer presentation of the Staff's CCOS, rate design, transportation service and the school transportation and aggregation program tariff

language.

B. CLASS COST OF SERVICE

Q. What is the purpose of Staff's CCOS testimony?

A. The purpose of Staff's CCOS recommendation is to provide the Commission with a measure of relative class cost responsibility for the overall revenue requirements of SNG.

Q. What is CCOS?

A. SNG's rates are based on the cost of providing service to its customers and the opportunity to earn a return. Staff's CCOS study determines how SNG's cost of service should be divided among its customers. For the purpose of setting a common rate for individual classes of customer, those customers are grouped by similar characteristics such as Residential, General Service (Commercial), Large General Service, Large Volume Service and Transportation Service for the Rogersville and Branson Districts. For the Gallatin and Warsaw Districts, the customer classes are General Service (Residential), Commercial Service, Large Volume Service and Transportation Service. Staff then considered which class is responsible for individual items of cost, and assigned that cost to the class by either direct assignment or allocation using reasonable methods for determining the class responsibility for that item.

Staff then summarized its results and compared those results to SNG's revenues being collected from each class based on current rates. The difference between a particular customer class' cost responsibility and the revenues generated by that customer class is the

24

Aggregation and Transportation tariff?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

A. Staff is proposing several changes to SNG's transportation and school aggregation and transportation tariff. First, Staff is recommending tariff language to address capacity release requirements for school transportation service in order to make the tariff consistent with provisions in state statute. Second, SNG currently has a standard transportation contract in its current tariff but none for a pool operator for the schools. Staff is proposing a standard pool operator contract agreement in the tariff. Third, Staff is proposing that SNG's school aggregation and transportation tariff be consistent with the current transportation tariff relating to supply balancing on SNG's system. Staff also proposes language to clarify that revenues collected from cash-out charges, imbalances, penalties and overrun charges and other charges the pool aggregator created will be credited back to the PGA/ACA account for the firm customers of SNG. Finally, Staff proposes that the School Aggregation and Transportation tariff include language, consistent with state statute, that telemetry will be required for all participants in the school aggregation program that exceed one hundred thousand therms annually. Please identify the Staff witness responsible for addressing each area in the Q. CCOS Report.

The Staff witness for each listed issue is as follows: A.

| 18 | Issue | Staff Witness |
|----|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 19 | Class Cost of Service | Joel McNutt |
| 20 | Allocations | Daniel I. Beck |
| 21 | Rate Design | Henry E. Warren |
| 22 | School Aggregation and Transportation | Lesa Jenkins |
| 23 | School Aggregation and Transportation | Phil Lock |
| 24 | School Aggregation and Transportation | Kim Cox |

Direct Testimony of Thomas M. Imhoff

- Q. Does this conclude your Direct Testimony?
- A. Yes it does.