

Data Response Display - EO-2023-0277 - 0093.0

Request Summary ▼

Submission No.

EO-2023-0277

Request No.

0093.0

Requested Date

12/19/2023

Due Date

12/29/2023

Issue

Other

Other

Requested From

MO PSC Staff (Other)

Kevin Thompson

kevin.thompson@psc.mo.gov

Requested By

Office of the Public Counsel (OPC) (Other)

Lena Mantle

lena.mantle@opc.mo.gov

Brief Description

Hull's rebuttal testimony

Description

On pg. 2 In. 19 – pg. 3 In. 3, Mr. Hull references Everygy West's currently open case to acquire a stake in Dogwood. Does this reference mean that Mr. Hull believes that, if the Commission were to determine Everygy West had been imprudent in its resource planning prior to the proposed acquisition of Dogwood, this proposed acquisition would mitigate the harm that occurred to customers during the prudency period at issue in this case? If so, please provide a detailed explanation of how the future acquisition of a generating resource would cure harm incurred in the current prudency period. If not, please explain why Mr. Hull felt it necessary to include this in his testimony.

Request Security

Public (DR)

Response Date

12/28/2023

Response

Mr. Hull acknowledges that the potential Dogwood acquisition would be out of the review period of the prudence review at issue in this case. However, it was brought up in his testimony to acknowledge an effort on the Company's part to reduce its reliance on the market in the future. Data Request Response provided by Jordan Hull (jordan.hull@psc.mo.gov).

Objections**Response Security**

Public (DR)

Rationale

Attachments ▼

No Attachments Found