FILED
July 15, 2024
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

Exhibit No. 9

Staff – Exhibit 9 Jackie Johnson Testimony from WO-2024-0036 File No. WC-2023-0353

Page 1

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Evidentiary Hearing

In the Matter of the Petition for an Interim Receiver and for an Order Directing the General)File No. WO-2024-0036 Counsel to Petition the Circuit Court for the Appointment of a Receiver for Misty Water Works

> Wednesday, October 25, 2023 9:00 a.m. - 5:55 p.m.

Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street . Jefferson City, MO 65101 and WebEx

> VOLUME 2 Pages 1 - 246

JOHN CLARK, Presiding SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE

SCOTT T. RUPP, Chairman MAIDA J. COLEMAN, Commissioner JASON R. HOLSMAN, Commissioner GLEN KOLKMEYER, Commissioner KAYLA HAHN, Commissioner

Stenographically Reported By: Beverly Jean Bentch, RPR, CCR No. 640

Job No. 155233

888-893-3767

 $A_{ij} \in \mathcal{M}_{ij} \cup \mathcal{M}_{ij}$

| | Evidentiary Hearing Vol II October 25, 202 |
|----|--|
| 1 | Page 206 as follows: |
| 2 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 3 | BY MS. KERR: |
| 4 | Q. Could you please state and spell your name for |
| 5 | the record? |
| 6 | A. Jackie Johnson, J-a-c-k-i-e J-o-h-n-s-o-n. |
| 7 | Q. And by whom are you employed? |
| 8 | A. Missouri Department of Natural Resources. |
| 9 | Q. What's your position there? |
| 10 | A. I'm an Environmental Program Specialist. |
| 11 | Q. And how long have you been employed by DNR in |
| 12 | that position? |
| 13 | A. Actually in my current position I recently |
| 14 | received a promotion, but I've been in the same section |
| 15 | for four years. |
| 16 | Q. And what do you do there for the What are |
| 17 | your job duties? |
| 18 | A. I think the simplest way to describe it is |
| 19 | primarily I'm a case manager. So I work with systems who |
| 20 | have been referred to enforcement to help them return to |
| 21 | compliance, and then I have some other associated |
| 22 | compliance activities I help with. |
| 23 | Q. Just generally what does that involve? |
| 24 | A. For the complaints activities, we run some |

monthly reports to monitor systems that are out of

- compliance and then coordinate with the regional offices
 so that we can sort of direct more attention to that and
 try and help with that. I issue some violations
 associated with those as well.
 - Q. Are you involved in any of the investigations?
 - A. I manage cases. We don't usually call it investigations, but we will do some research and figure out how a system ended up in enforcement and actions that need to be taken.
 - Q. Are you familiar with Travis Blevins?
- 11 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And how did you become familiar with Mr. Blevins?
 - A. There was a previous person in my section who was also named Jackie which I know is kind of confusing. She was previously assigned to be the case manager, but we knew that she had gotten a new job. In February, I started helping with the case and then it actually became really my case around March. I was part of a conversation over the phone with Mr. Blevins in the end of February. Then I met him for the first time in June at the public meeting with the Public Service Commission.
- Q. When you say "February," it was February of '23?
- 25 A. Yes, sorry.

| 1 | Page 208 Q. That's okay. And so you said you've met |
|-----|---|
| 2 | Mr. Blevins? |
| 3 | |
| 2 | A. Yes. We've met in person several times. |
| . 4 | Q. So what's the nature of your involvement with |
| 5 | Mr. Blevins in this case? |
| 6 | A. I'm working with Mr. Blevins to help with the |
| 7 | three systems that DNR regulates. So Misty Mountain, |
| 8 | Charity and Rolling Hills to help get those systems in |
| 9 | compliance. So Misty Mountain has the Topo Drive well |
| 10 | issues that's a little bit-different than the others, but |
| 11 | all three of those systems we've talked about will be |
| 12 | needing noncompliant well agreements. I'm also working |
| 13 | with him for all three systems for those inspection |
| 14 | reports that Sebastien has written and presented on |
| 15 | hoping to make sure that those required corrective |
| 16 | actions are taken care of for the significant |
| 17 | deficiencies and unsatisfactory findings. |
| 18 | THE COURT REPORTER: Could you slow down just a |
| 19 | bit? |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Sorry. |
| 21 | THE COURT REPORTER: It's been a long day. |
| 22 | BY MS. KERR: |
| 23 | Q. So what does that involve? |
| 24 | A. If we start with the inspection reports, there |
| 25 | were identified significant deficiencies. Those are |

888-893-3767

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 209

required to be corrected. There were also identified unsatisfactory findings that need to be corrected. Sebastien talked about, there's some deadlines for those things to happen. So I'm keeping track of those deadlines, keeping track of things that have been submitted. For example, the first significant deficiency on all three of those reports relates to having someone who's not certified making operational decisions. we've asked for some documentation that that won't continue, that the decisions will be made under the supervision or by the properly certified operator. I'll keep track that that actually gets taken care of that we receive that information and I'll do that for all of the significant deficiencies and unsatisfactory findings. I'll work with the regional office, because some of that information may get submitted to them, it may come directly to me. We'll make sure that everybody knows about it.

And then for the case management for those three systems as well for Misty Mountain and Charity and for Rolling Hills I've drafted an administrative order on consent. We call that an AOC. So there will be an AOC for each system with its own required corrective actions. And those are all in a review process right now. That review has to go all the way to our director. So it does

take a little while but it has been started.

- Q. Do you have exhibits sitting there in front of you?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. I might refer to it and so make sure you have that there. So you've worked with Mr. Clos-Versailles?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what's your involvement with or what's been your involvement with him in this case, in Mr. Blevins' case?
- So initially the regional office where A. Sebastien works, Mr. Clos-Versailles, they were the ones that are initially working with this system. That's the way this typically works for our enforcement process is the regional office is involved first. They have a lot more I say boots on the ground. They actually go out and visit the systems. And then when systems are referred to us, we still coordinate with the regional office. We kind of take over a little bit as far as responsibility for tracking things. And so I have kind of taken over the management of these three systems from Mr. Clos-Versailles but especially because he's now moved into a different position I'm still coordinating with his regional office, but really I'm sort of the primary contact.

- Q. Okay. So in a step-by-step, they did the investigation and then it goes -- they make the findings and then it goes to your section and they make the recommendations and then it goes to your section and then you do the enforcement; is that kind of how the flow chart would work?
 - A. Yes, generally. It isn't usually this quick that it goes from a system being activated to enforcement, but it is a general process that the regional office works with the system, provides compliance assistance, maybe identifies issues during an inspection and then if a system has significant noncompliance or if they have a history of noncompliance, they may get referred to enforcement.
 - Q. You said it doesn't usually go this quick. What do you mean by that?
 - A. I should clarify we just don't activate a lot of systems in a yearly basis anyway. So it's already kind of a less common thing to be a brand new system as Misty Mountain, Charity and Rolling Hills were recently activated. And then for a system to have, for example, for Misty Mountain to have that ongoing boil order, that's unusual and that would be significant enough to warrant a pretty quick referral to enforcement.

For the other two systems for Charity and for

Page 212

Rolling Hills, we determine that they have noncompliant wells and so in order for the system to be able to get a permit to dispense and to continue using those wells, they need that agreement. So that's also why it's a little bit faster to get into enforcement because we want to be able to give them that noncompliant well agreement.

Q. What is a noncompliant well?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. So a noncompliant well is a well that's not compliant but it's more specifically it's not compliant with the regulations for a public drinking water system And as Mr. Clos-Versailles talked about earlier, there are different types of wells. We have domestic wells, we have multi-family wells, and we have the public water supply wells. They each have different regulations for how they need to be constructed. So in this case we've determined that the wells at all three, Charity, Rolling Hills and Misty Mountain, were not constructed to the proper standards for public water supply wells. in order for the system to be able to continuing using those, we need this noncompliant well agreement in place, and that agreement will acknowledge that those are noncompliant wells that contains a few stipulations and there will be some stipulations in the permit that's ultimately issued.
 - Q. So that permit has not been issued yet?

- A. No. We can't issue the permit until we have that noncompliant well agreement in place.
- Q. What is that agreement? What does that agreement require?
- A. A noncompliant well agreement in general will have some sampling requirements. So if it was a brand new system that had never done their initial sampling, we would require them to take care of some initial sampling. In this case these systems have already been in existence for a year and so it will require the systems Charity, Rolling Hills and Misty Mountain to continue the required sampling of the community water system. If there are issues with those results, there are some triggered actions that happen.

For example, if the system has E. coli positive samples, they may be required to add chlorination. In addition to those specific requirements about sampling and then extra steps, if a system has issues with those samples, a noncompliant well agreement contains a stipulation that the system cannot add any more connections. So we'll let them keep using the noncompliant well but as is. They can't add more connections to that well in the future.

For this one in particular though for Misty

Mountain, because the Topo Drive well has collapsed and

Page 214

- it's nonfunctional and probably not ever going to be, we are going to ask Mr. Blevins to plug that well so that it doesn't pose a contamination risk to their groundwater supply. There's also a well at Charity that is not functional and has not been repaired that we'll also ask to be plugged.
- Q. And so going forward, how does that -- what's the significance of that going forward then for those wells to have the noncompliance -- noncompliant well agreement?

A. Can you clarify that?

13.

- Q. Is there -- Once they have that agreement, are they, and they make that agreement, is there any significance going forward or they go or what happens next with those wells?
- A. Okay. So once we have that noncompliant well agreement approved through our review process, it will be sent to Mr. Blevins. If he reads it and agrees with it, he will sign it. The department will also sign it and that becomes an effective order. It says in there a couple of required corrective actions. If the significant deficiencies and unsatisfactory findings are still unresolved from those inspection reports, we'll put those as corrective actions in that order. So like for the primacy fees have not been paid. So if the primacy

| fees are still overdue when the order goes out, then the |
|---|
| primacy fees would be included as a corrective action in |
| that, but either way when the order is approved and |
| signed and effective and the actions get completed within |
| it, the department will be able to issue a permit to |
| dispense which Mr. Blevins will have to apply for. As |
| long as we issue the permit to dispense, we will be able |
| to close that administrative order on consent, we'll send |
| a letter that says that it's been closed, Mr. Blevins |
| would have a permit to dispense water so he would be |
| compliant with our drinking water regulations and then |
| within that permit it has some stipulations about again |
| not adding additional connections, need to notify future |
| buyers of the status of the wells as being noncompliant |
| wells, but as long as there are no issues with the wells |
| going forward and he meets the terms of the noncompliant |
| well agreement, then it would be done. Q. What if he |
| doesn't meet some of those conditions? |

A. We have a couple of things built into a noncompliant well agreement. AOCs in general, those administrative orders on consent, that if a system isn't meeting agreed upon deadlines in it we have stipulated penalties that we can assess for noncompliance with those deadlines. If we don't issue that permit to dispense, then we can't close the AOC but we also would not be able

| 1 | to let Mr. Blevins continue using those noncompliant |
|----|--|
| 2 | wells. |
| 3 | Q. Has he corrected any of those deficiencies up |
| .4 | to this point that you know of? |
| 5 | A. Am I allowed to refer to the inspection |
| 6 | reports? They're in Exhibit 7. |
| 7 | Q. Yes. Are you talking about the well |
| 8 | determinations? |
| 9 | A. So Mr. Clos-Versailles |
| 10 | Q Were you talking-about significant |
| 11 | deficiencies? |
| 12 | A. You asked if they've been resolved. I want to |
| 13 | make sure I look at them so I know. |
| 14 | Q. The first one for Misty Mountain starts on page |
| 15 | 59. |
| 16 | A. Okay. I'm on page 67 of Exhibit 7. |
| 17 | Q. So those would be the ones that would go into |
| 18 | the agreement and would have to fix, right? Is that what |
| 19 | you're saying? |
| 20 | A. Yes. You asked me if they've been resolved. |
| 21 | But instead of trying to go from memory, I wanted to see |
| 22 | which significant deficiencies there are. |
| 23 | Q. That's fine. |
| 24 | A. So on page 67 of Exhibit 7, the first |
| 25 | significant deficiency refers to having individuals |

| 1 | making operational decisions that aren't under the direct |
|----|---|
| 2 | supervision of a properly certified chief operator. As |
| 3 | part of the consulting with the department, I think Lori |
| 4 | Jean did provide a statement that they're working on |
| 5 | that. And she talked to me, I actually spoke with her, |
| 6 | it was this week, one of the days this week about |
| 7 | potentially coming today and we talked about some of |
| 8 | these things that were in here. So I think things are |
| 9 | better but I still think we need a more specific |
| 10 | statement from them to resolve that significant |
| 11 | deficiency. |
| 12 | For number two, it talks about the Tricia well |
| 13 | not being properly screened. |
| 14 | THE COURT REPORTER: Did you say the Tricia |
| 15 | well? |
| 16 | THE WITNESS: Yeah. The wells are named after |
| 17 | streets. So a lot of them are names. So it's Tricia. |
| 18 | We received photographs last week from Lori Jean from the |
| 19 | from the operator. I am not qualified to say if those |
| 20 | photographs adequately address the significant |
| 21 | deficiencies that are in here. I don't have an answer |
| 22 | yet whether the photographs that were submitted cover |
| 23 | this but I know something was submitted. So if it's not |

And then the third significant deficiency is

taken care of, it would be included in the order.

24

Page 218

| 1 | that the Tigger well No. 4 had an unprotected opening. |
|---|--|
| 2 | Again some photographs were submitted. If those don't |
| 3 | adequately cover this, we would include that in the |
| 4 | order. |

For the unsatisfactory finding, this is already part of it. It says that they need a permit to dispense. That's part of the noncompliant well agreement. The laboratory fees have been paid. They did submit a bacteriological site sampling plan for unsatisfactory finding No. 3. Unsatisfactory finding No. 4 as far as I know has not been addressed yet and this is actually —it refers to the rule in there. It's 10 CSR 60-14.010(4)(a)6. All systems are required to have a contingency plan at all times for a properly certified backup operator. It doesn't mean that they have to hire someone, but they have to have a plan for it. So we need to know from the system that they have that plan.

No. 5 is the noncompliant well. So that's built into the agreement. And then No. 6, one of the wells was not accessible at the inspection. The key was unavailable. And so the system will need to let us know that they have a plan for how we can get there next time. There are similar corrective actions in the other two, and so as with this one if the corrective actions are completed we would not include that in the order. If

888-893-3767

| 1 | they're still open, we would put an action in there for |
|----|--|
| 2 | it. |
| 3 | BY MS. KERR: |
| 4 | Q. So in these inspections there were samples |
| 5 | taken? |
| 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | Q. And do you know how these inspections compared |
| 8 | with the other inspections with regard to the sampling? |
| 9 | A. What do you mean? |
| 10 | Q. I'll withdraw tha t. So were you involved in |
| 11 | the inspections on these significant deficiency |
| 12 | documents? |
| 13 | A. I attended the inspections but I'm not an |
| 14 | inspector. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. So what was your role? Writing the |
| 16 | reports or? |
| 17 | A. I reviewed the reports before they went out. |
| 18 | Because I am the case manager for the enforcement case |
| 19 | just to make sure that there wasn't anything in there |
| 20 | that I didn't understand or that didn't fit with what |
| 21 | we're doing with our normal enforcement process but it |
| 22 | was mostly there as sort of a support role in case there |
| 23 | were questions about enforcement while I was there. |
| 24 | O Did you have a change to talk to Mr Blevins |

about these deficiencies and how he would make changes or

25

888-893-3767

how he would fix them?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

- Mr. Blevins came to Department of Natural Resources at the beginning of this month, I believe it was October 5, and we had a meeting in person. him a printed copy of each of the inspection reports and I highlighted the significant deficiencies, I highlighted the unsatisfactory findings, and I highlighted the required actions and due dates and we went through each of the reports. And I have those paperclipped together by system with the invoices that were also due and I gave him those in a folder and made sure that we went over what we needed for each of the significant deficiencies and unsatisfactory findings.
- What was his reaction or how did he receive Ο. that?
- He said he understood, but he also said that it · A. was his operator's responsibility to submit some of the So I clarified that while his operator can information. help it's ultimately his responsibility to provide that information to us.
 - The due dates are his due dates, correct? 0.
- 22 Yes. Α.
 - Just like Mr. Clos-Versailles testified, 0. ultimately, you said ultimately it's his responsibility to provide all the information and make all the fixes?

| 1 | Page 221 A. Yes. |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| | Q. Is it your understanding that he's going to |
| 3 | make the corrections that he's supposed to? |
| 4 | A. He said that he would, but so far we have not |
| 5 | been able to resolve all of them. |
| 6 | Q. Okay. And these significant deficiencies, |
| 7 | these documents were sent to him. They're dated July 18 |
| 8 | of this year? |
| 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. How long does he have to make the fixes, make |
| 11 | the corrections? |
| 12 | A. It's 120 days to make the corrections. |
| 13 | Q. Okay. So that would bring us to four months, |
| 14 | right? So that's sometime in November? |
| 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q And do you know what kind of progress he's |
| 17 | made? |
| 18 | A. Well, as Mr. Clos-Versailles said, he has |
| 19 | consulted. There's 30 days to consult and then 120 days |
| 20 | total to fix and 90 days after that. We have had some |
| 21 | progress. For example, the laboratory fees have been |
| 22 | paid. We did receive some photographs. I'm not sure if |
| 23 | they're sufficient to address all of the things. I know |
| 24 | one of them was not. One of the wells we received a |
| 25 | photograph that instead of replacing the well cap it was |

Page 222

covered with plastic. It looks kind of like what you would see in your yard where a sewer clean out is. So a plastic pipe with a top that has a square that sticks up on it and duct tape. And so we let Mr. Blevins know that that is not a sanitary seal. I guess it's better than nothing, but it's still not adequate to resolve that significant deficiency.

Q. Okay. So now you're just waiting for the rest of it to possibly be fixed or not?

A. Yes.

Q. If you could -- I'm sorry. If you could turn to page starting on page 129 document the subject line is Well Determination for Misty Mountain PWS. I think there's three of them. There's one for Rolling Hills and one for Charity as well?

A. Yes, I have them.

Q. Okay. So those are the three separate documents, but are they basically the same documents, just for each of the wells?

A. Yes.

- Q. So what are those documents?
- A. So this is a well determination. It is a memo that was sent from our permitting and capacity development section to my section compliance and enforcement. It describes that a determination has been

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

done for the wells at Misty Mountain and then there's a separate one for Charity and for Rolling Hills. And what a well determination is, there's a series of questions, a checklist that they go through to determine if a well was built to the public water system standards. So they will look at well drilling information if it's available, including when a well was drilled, materials that were used, if we have any records that have been filed with Department of Natural Resources or with Missouri Geological Survey and them-they'll go through that checklist and determine if a well is noncompliant or it could even be unauthorized or if it's a compliant well. So this is a well determination for like for this first one for Misty Mountain it's for all of the wells at Misty Mountain together. There's a separate paragraph for each of those wells.

- Q. Okay. And what's the significance of these documents?
- A. This is the basis for us needing that noncompliant well agreement for each of those three systems. For example, if you look well No. 1 on Topo Drive, it says it was constructed without prior construction authorization. It's a domestic well, not a public water supply well or not a community well and it was completed in 2007. It has similar information for

- the other four wells that Misty Mountain noting that they are all domestic or multi-family wells.
 - Q. Does this ask Mr. Blevins to do anything?
- A. This does not. This is a document that goes between two sections and Department of Natural Resources, and then I drafted that noncompliant well agreement which is in review and that's what will tell him that he needs to do certain steps for the noncompliant well agreement.
- Q. So Mr. Clos-Versailles has testified about Mr. Blevins' relationship-with his licensed operator Lori Jean. Are you familiar with Ms. Jean?
- A. I am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And how so?
- A. I met her when we were at the inspection and then I've also talked with her on the phone several times.
- Q. And do you think he knows and understands what his contractual relationship is with her?
- A. He refers to her as his operator, yes.
 - Q. So have you discussed what his responsibilities are to Lori Jean, to his licensed operator?
 - A. We talked about it again when he was in person for that meeting at the beginning of October. As we were going through the significant deficiencies in the inspection reports, we clarified that as the certified

- operator she needs to be the one responsible for the day-to-day decisions and operational decisions that impact the quality and the quantity of water and that he can do things but they need to be directed by her.
 - Q. And do you know if he does that?

- A. The last time I talked to Lori she said that things were better, but there's still things that are happening. For example, she told me that their most recent routine samples, some of them were total coliform positive, and she told me—that she attributed that to Travis making changes at the system and not telling her.
- Q. You said most recently. What about in the past?

- supposed to work is Lori should be involved in this

 decision too if it's going to be operational but also to

 make sure that the customer knew about the follow up.

 The customer needed to participate in the troubleshooting to figure out what was going on.
 - Q. Do you know what happened?

- A. I heard back from Mr. Blevins that he was going to have someone come out. Is it Guill I think is the last name, G-u-i-l-l, a well person was going to come out and check on it, and them-Lori said that she did hear from Mr. Blevins.
- Q. And has Mr. -- In your working with Mr. Blevins, has he followed through with some of the changes that he's supposed to be making?
- A. I was part of that conversation that happened in I guess, I'm not sure when it was, the conversation that Mr. Clos-Versailles referred to where we were asking Mr. Blevins about those required actions from the second level II assessment including excavating, trying to determine the cause of the E. coli. We really did press Mr. Blevins for why he wasn't setting a date. He gave us reasons about the weather and we pointed out it was great weather, we could do it that week. We really were pretty firm. It was a tense conversation pressing him on why he had not done it so far, what his plan was for when he

- would do it. It never did happen. He did not do those steps that were required in that level II assessment. know he's told us that he thought he knew what the issue was without doing that, but he was directed to do it, he said he would do it and then he did not do it.
 - In your work with Mr. Blevins, do you think Mr. Blevins is capable of doing that --
 - A. I do.
 - -- or the changes he needs to --0.
 - Sorry I interrupted. I do. Mr. Blevins has A. talked to us about his extensive history working with Donnie Baker who had some of the wells before, his access to equipment and qualified people to help him. think he is capable.
 - But has he followed through with it? 0.
- Α. He has not.
- Has he said -- When you've talked to Mr. Blevins, has he mentioned anything to you about whether he's going to take care of the different wells that he has, what his position is on taking care of the wells?
 - A. It's kind of two fold. Yes, he has said that he's going to. He's easy to get in touch with. He is pleasant to talk to in general. That hasn't been the The followthrough has. But he also has talked issue.

888-893-3767

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- about not wanting to do this any more and wanting to figure out a solution either selling the wells or forming an HOA or doing something so that he won't be the responsible party any more.
 - Q. Do you have any thoughts as to how come?
- A. Why he doesn't want to be responsible? He's talked about his wife's health issues; that she doesn't like him continuing to have all the assistance and all this responsibility and just wanting to be done, wanting to be retired.
- Q. You talked about going forward with some enforcement actions that you're involved in with regard to Mr. Blevins' case. Why is DNR pursuing those actions now?
- A. For Charity and for Rolling Hills, we have to have that noncompliant well agreement in order for there to be a way within our rules for him to continue using those wells and to get a permit to dispense which is required for his community water systems. For Misty Mountain, it's the same that we need that noncompliant well agreement but we also have the ongoing E. coli issues at the Topo Drive well which I know is now collapsed. That's now just a different issue that needs to be resolved. So we have to move forward with that enforcement process.

Page 229

Q. So just, you know, short -- sorry. What's led DNR to go down that path? Just all of this combined?

1

2

3 Yeah. So it's not unusual. I mean, for 4 Charity and for Rolling Hills this is the typical 5 process. We identify noncompliant wells, we write a 6 noncompliant well agreement, we get into the system, we 7 do that. That is not an unusual process. We have quite 8 a few systems that we're doing that with right now 9 besides those two. For Misty Mountain, it would just be 10 the noncompliant well agreement if we didn't also have 11 this pressing health issue. So when we first started 12 this enforcement case, citizens or customers were on a 13 boil order and it was an ongoing boil order. We were not 14 able to get consecutive days of clean samples. 15 getting E. coli samples. We were very concerned about 16 the risk to those customers. And so that's where that --17 Misty Mountain started first and then Charity and Rolling 18 Hills have kind of followed with their noncompliant well 19 agreements. It's the ongoing risk to the health of the 20 customers, because even though the Topo Drive well has 21 collapsed and it's not producing water, as 22 Mr. Clos-Versailles described, we weren't -- the system 23 wasn't able to be properly shocked and that Topo Drive 24 well does still exist. It's basically an opening to the 25 groundwater and so that's a potential threat to the

groundwater.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. So what kind of threat to -- Is there a threat to just the residents living there or to the environment?

 What kind of threats are there?
- The risk is ideally when you have a well, you're drawing water from that groundwater. groundwater shouldn't be influenced by the surface. having groundwater that's under the direct influence of surface water can introduce things like E. coli that we've talked about. You-gan have macroinvertebrates. You can have all sorts of things from the surface that shouldn't be there that can end up in that water. Once you've contaminated your actual groundwater, that's really difficult to treat. And at Misty Mountain while the houses are not all very close together, that's part of why there are multiple wells. That's how it was They are still kind of close together. And developed. so you wonder about the risk if there's contamination on Topo Drive to the surrounding wells.
- Q. What kind of consequences does that have to the residents or could it potentially have to the residents?
- A. It's a potential health risk. They could have contamination in their drinking water. It's not even just bacteria. There can also be chemical contamination. It's just that's why we're going to ask for Topo Drive

| well | to | be | p1 | ugged | |
|------|----|----|----|-------|--|
|------|----|----|----|-------|--|

- Q. Is that same reasoning for the other wells to be plugged, the other ones that you discussed?
- A. Yeah. There's one other well at Charity that is not functional and has not been. And so if it's not going to be used for drinking water, it needs to be properly abandoned and that includes properly plugging it.
- Q. So these aren't just -- You said there's some fee issues. Those have been taken care of, correct?
- A. Partially. At the time of the inspection report, the lab fees were overdue. And those have been taken care of. They've been paid for all three systems. Since then the primacy fees have been due. So the laboratory fees cover the laboratory program and administration, so things like the kits and the testing.

 The primacy fee is also required by our regulations. The primacy fee is a fee paid by customers and then remitted to the Department of Natural Resources, and that helps cover us as a primacy agency. And those have not been paid.
- THE COURT REPORTER: So you're saying privacy
 fees?
- THE WITNESS: Sorry, primacy, p-r-i-m-a-c-y.

 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you.

BY MS. KERR:

- O. And how much are those?
 - A. It's a cost per connection. So under a hundred dollars I think for each system, but I haven't calculated it.
 - Q. So it's not thousands of dollars?
 - A. No.
 - Q. And is that something -- That's just something that Mr. Blevins would always -- Is that something he'd always pay in the past ox-is this something new?
 - A. He will have to pay it every year because he's a newly activated system and he was activated in the middle of the year. This was the first year that he owed that fee.
 - Q. Okay. Is there any reason that you know of that he hasn't paid it?
- A. He asked me about it. We were talking about it before this hearing started today. I know that the primacy fee invoice is a little confusing because it shows no balance but that's because the system has to fill in some of the numbers on it. So we have a number of connections that were reported but that may not be the correct number of connections any more. So the system fills in how many connections there were. They multiply it times the cost per connection. They write down how

- 1 many of their connections they billed for, how many paid, 2 and then they use those numbers to calculate how much 3 they owe. So I get it that the fee -- the invoice looks 4 like you don't owe anything. I know that Mr. Blevins 5 talked with our fee section after we had that meeting in 6 person at the beginning of October and they clarified 7 that he does owe those fees and they sent him the 8 invoices again and they still just have not been paid.
 - Okay. Do you think -- Based on his -- He's 0. already admitted as to whether he owns some of those wells or not or do you have any idea?

A. I don't know.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

888-893-3767

- That's fine. So the corrective actions and -the enforcement actions that you're taking, has Mr. Blevins indicated that he's going to comply with them that you know of?
- He has been asking about getting those A. Yes. administrative orders.
- Do you know if he's taken action to -- I'm 0. sorry. I probably already asked that. I'll withdraw Based on your work and your investigations and working with Mr. Blevins, do you have any concerns about his ability to maintain those systems in a safe and adequate manner?
 - Α. I am concerned because at Misty Mountain those

Page 234

customers were on a boil order for eight months. We provided a list of ways to try and troubleshoot that to try and prevent that ongoing boil order. Those actions were not taken. Eight months is a very long time for a boil order. I know you asked Mr. Clos-Versailles to estimate an average time. We're certainly talking about days to weeks, not months. So I am concerned that if that went on for so long that it shows that maybe there is an issue with properly operating and maintaining the systems.

- Q. Sorry. Compared to the other systems that you've dealt with, would you say the systems Mr. Blevins operates, are they better or worse, about the same as some of the other systems that you see at DNR?
- A. Some things are better. His operator does a great job of collecting samples. So the monitoring aspect is not an issue at these systems. I would say some aspects are not as good as the systems such as the followthrough with being directed to do something and getting it done in a timely manner. But I mean, I work in enforcement. Most of the systems I'm dealing with either can't or won't return to compliance. While there are some positives, and I think that's important to note, this is still a system that's in enforcement because of ongoing issues at Misty Mountain.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Q. Ms. Johnson, have I ever refused to do something that you've asked me to do?
- A. That's a good question. You have not told me no, I won't do something, but there have been times you

| • | - | |
|-------|----------|-----|
| haven | done | 7 1 |
| | | |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Okay. Did we talk about maybe the issues involved and something of that nature that maybe there was other issues?
 - A. For some of it.
 - O. For some reason?
- A. Yeah. You've given me reasons sometimes, but there were others where we haven't like for the lab fees.
 - O. The what fees?
- A. The laboratory—fees. You didn't really provide a reason for why you didn't pay those.
- Q. The laboratory fees. Okay. But they are paid now, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. That was brought to my attention that I think I did tell you that I forgot it or did something. My mind doesn't work in the thirties and forties, fifties or sixties any more. The other question I might have. Topo seems to be a real issue and I think in that period of time there was a lot of difficulty, the pitless, the pitless part of it. From your understanding from my inspection of the pitless, there was no damage at the pitless.
 - JUDGE CLARK: Mr. Blevins, is there a question

```
Page 237
 1
               MR. BLEVINS: Yes, sir, there is.
                                                   I'm just
 2
     trying to get up to that point.
 3
               JUDGE CLARK: Okay.
 4
     BY MR. BLEVINS:
 5
          0.
               Did you understand that there is still an issue
 6
     with the pitless or what do you understand the real
 7
     problem was that was discovered and who discovered it?
 8
          Α.
               I understand that when the well failed you did
 9
     some investigation to figure out why, and at that point
10
     in order to see the pitless that must be when you
11
     excavated since it's below the ground. But the way I
12
     understand it is that the well is not functional because
13
     of that crack that went around the casing which allowed
14
     the pump and the pipe to fall down into the well.
15
          0.
               Okay. You've probably been provided with some
16
     erroneous information.
17
               MS. KERR: Objection.
18
                             The question is --
               MR. BLEVINS:
19
               JUDGE CLARK:
                             There's an objection made,
20
     Mr. Blevins.
                   Go ahead.
21
               MS. KERR: He's testifying again.
22
               JUDGE CLARK:
                             She's right.
23
               MR. BLEVINS: I don't mean to testify. I'm
24
     sorry.
```

JUDGE CLARK:

Okay. That objection will be

| - 1 | D. 000 |
|-----|--|
| 1 | Page 238 sustained. If you have a question, you're welcome to ask |
| 2 | questions. You will have an opportunity tomorrow to |
| 3 | choose to testify to tell us whatever you would like to |
| 4 | tell us in regard to what you discovered or believe. |
| 5 | MR. BLEVINS: No further questions. Thank you. |
| 6 | JUDGE CLARK: I want to clarify I'm not trying |
| 7 | to stop you from asking questions. If you have |
| 8 | questions, I want you to ask them. |
| 9 | MR. BLEVINS: I'll just wait until tomorrow. I |
| 10 | understand what you're saying, sir. |
| 11 | JUDGE CLARK: This witness will not be back on |
| 12 | the witness stand. |
| 13 | MR. BLEVINS: I understand that also. |
| 14 | JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Any questions from the |
| 15 | Commission? I hear none. I don't have very many for |
| 16 | you. I do have one. You answered A lot of the ones I |
| 17 | made notes on you actually answered as we went through |
| 18 | which is good. |
| 19 | QUESTIONS |
| 20 | BY JUDGE CLARK: |
| 21 | Q. But if you cap both unused wells right now, the |
| 22 | Topo because it collapsed and the Charity one that is |
| 23 | just not being used and is unnecessary, where does that |
| 24 | place the rest of those three systems in terms of safety |

of the customers using that water?

25

888-893-3767

| | A. The purpose of plugging the well would be to |
|---------|---|
| | try to give them the best chance for safety. I mean, |
| | obviously other things can go wrong with the other wells, |
| 335 | but for now if there's a well that's not being used and |
| * | not being properly maintained, that is a potential risk |
| 38 0000 | to the drinking water and especially if they're connected |
| | to the distribution system. And it's actually built into |
| | the regulations. I think it's 10 CSR 23-3 covers well |
| | construction and the abandonment of wells. And so if a |
| | well is not going to be weed, especially if the pump is |
| | removed, then there are rules for how long that well can |
| | just be essentially abandoned before it has to be plugged |
| | anyways. And so rather than waiting for that time to |
| | occur Like for the Topo Drive well when we met in |
| | person at the beginning of October, Mr. Blevins told me |
| | it is not a priority for him to fix it. He said it more |
| | than once. I repeated it back. |

- Q. Say that again to me. What's not a priority for Mr. Blevins?
- A. Repairing the Topo Drive well. So if it's going to remain nonfunctional, a potential risk, then we're going to ask that it be plugged.
- Q. Okay. Back to my question. I'll reword it a little bit differently. So if those two wells are appropriately plugged and setting aside I'm not going to

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

888-893-3767

- call them non-safety issues but not direct water safety issues such as testing fees and such or lab fees as you indicated, how does that put the safety of those systems? Does that resolve a majority of the issues or does that just resolve those issues with the majority of the safety issues outstanding?
 - A. Okay. Thank you for that clarification. It would be the latter. So these are sort of adjacent issues to the things that we've already identified in the inspection reports. So these are known things that need to be taken care of but are sort of outside of the main priority of the noncompliant well agreement for all three systems and specifically addressing the issues at Misty Mountain related to the Topo Drive well.
 - Say that last part again, please. 0.
 - So we have the three noncompliant well agreements which will address being able to continue using wells that were not built to standards. include requirements in case there are issues with the safety of those samples that are taken from those wells, and then the Topo Drive well is going to be kind of outside of that noncompliant well agreement. We're not considering using that again in the future that that well would be plugged. So as far as the safety of the system going forward, Topo Drive would be removed from the

| 7 | equation and then the extra well that's at Charity that's |
|-----|---|
| 2 | not in use and not functional would also be taken out. |
| 3 | Q. I guess at least in terms of the Misty Mountain |
| 4 | system for those customers that were receiving water from |
| 5 | the Topo well, would they then moving forward be |
| 6 | permanent recipients of water from the Pulaski system? |
| 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | JUDGE CLARK: Okay. Thank you. I don't have |
| 9 | any other questions at this time. Are there any |
| ιο | questions based upon bench-questions? |
| 11 | MS. KERR: No. |
| L2 | JUDGE CLARK: Mr. Blevins? |
| L3 | MR. BLEVINS: One moment. Do you consider the |
| L 4 | Topo well a priority? |
| L5 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| L6 | MR. BLEVINS: Okay. A priority to be plugged |
| L7 | or to be fixed? |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: I don't know how viable it is to |
| 19 | fix that well. |
| 20 | MR. BLEVINS: Okay. No further questions. |
| 21 | Thank you. |
| 22 | JUDGE CLARK: Any redirect from Staff? |
| 23 | MS. KERR: No. |
| 24 | JUDGE CLARK: Thank you, Ms. Johnson. You may |
| 25 | step down. |