Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Witness: Sponsoring Party: Date Testimony Prepared:

Advertising Expense, Payroll & Payroll Tax Expense, Severance Fuel Expense, Dues and Donations Benjamin H. Burton MoPSC Staff *Type of Exhibit:* Direct Testimony Case No.: GR-2024-0106 July 18, 2024

# **MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

## **FINANCIAL & BUSINESS ANLYSIS DIVISION**

## **AUDITING DEPARTMENT**

### **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

### OF

### **BENJAMIN H. BURTON**

LIBERTY UTILITIES (Midstates Natural Gas) CORP., d/b/a Liberty

# CASE NO. GR-2024-0106

Jefferson City, Missouri July 2024

\*\* Denotes Confidential Information \*\*

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	<b>BENJAMIN H. BURTON</b>
4	LIBERTY UTILITIES (Midstates Natural Gas) CORP.,
5	d/b/a Liberty
6	CASE NO. GR-2024-0106
7	ADVERTISING
8	PAYROLL
9	PAYROLL TAXES
10	SEVERENCE
11	FUEL EXPENSE
12	DUES AND DONATIONS

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY		
2	OF		
3	BENJAMIN H. BURTON		
4	LIBERTY UTILITIES (Midstates Natural Gas) CORP.,		
5		d/b/a Liberty	
6		CASE NO. GR-2024-0106	
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
8	А.	My name is Benjamin H. Burton, and my business address is 111 North 7 <sup>th</sup>	
9	Street, Suite 105, St. Louis, MO, 63101.		
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
11	А.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as	
12	a Utility Regu	ulatory Auditor.	
13	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?	
14	А.	Yes, I filed testimony as part of Case No. EA-2023-0286.	
15	Q.	Please describe your education.	
16	А.	As reflected in Schedule BHB-d1, attached to this testimony, I graduated from	
17	Lindenwood	University with a Bachelor of Science in Finance. I am currently enrolled in	
18	Lindenwood University's Master's program pursuing my Masters in Business Administration		
19	with an emphasis in Accounting.		
20	Q.	What is the purpose of your direct testimony?	
21	А.	The purpose of this testimony is to provide a detailed explanation for Staff's	
22	recommendat	ions regarding fuel, advertising, payroll and payroll tax, severance, and dues and	
23	donations exp	benses.	
	1		

**ADVERTISING** 

1

2	Q. Does Staff have a process and precedent for allowing recovery of advertising
3	costs?
4	A. Yes, Staff reviews each advertisement issued by the company during the
5	test year, in this case the twelve month period ending December 31, 2022. The ads are
6	examined and classified into one of the five categories defined within Case No. EO-85-185 <sup>1</sup> .
7	They include;
8	• Institutional/Goodwill- promote the name of the utility and boost a positive
9	image of the company to the public.
10	• Promotional- encourages customers to use more of the utility's product or
11	purchase additional services offered by the utility.
12	• Safety- these ads encourage the safe use of the utility's product, in this case gas.
13	• General- information to the general public such as open hours for an office and
14	peak use warnings.
15	If there are no benefits to the rate payer, then the cost incurred will be disallowed from
16	the advertising expense.
17	Q. Are there any specific costs incurred that Staff disallowed?
18	A. Yes. Staff disallowed any advertisement that was considered
19	institutional/goodwill or promotional, based on the standards set within Case No. EO-85-185.
20	Staff also disallowed all rebranding costs that were booked above-the-line, which means that
21	the costs are added into the revenue requirement that are then charged to customers. Rate payers
22	should not pay for the costs associated with rebranding as the decision to rebrand is within the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In The Matter Of Kansas City Power & Light Company Of Kansas City, Missouri, For Authority To File Tariffs Increasing Rates For Electric Service Provided To Customers In The Missouri Service Area Of The Company. (Public Service Commission Reports Vol. 28 Pages 269-276).

utility's control and does not change the service that is ultimately provided to customers and
 thus should not be recovered by customers.

### 3 PAYROLL

Q.

4

Please explain Staff's examination of payroll expense in this case.

5 A. Staff's examination involved many steps in order to calculate payroll expense for Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp., d/b/a Liberty's ("Liberty Midstates"). 6 7 In summary, Staff analyzed a list of employees for both the test year period, 12 months ending 8 December 31, 2022 and the update period, 12 months ending December 31, 2023, that directly 9 charge or allocate time to Liberty Midstates. This information included which Liberty entity 10 the employee reports to, whether the employee is union or non-union, the last known date of 11 employment, the base salary, and the percentage of time that the employee spent working on 12 capital projects. Then, Staff calculated an annualized payroll level that included all active 13 employees at their most current salary as of December 31, 2023.

14

Q. How was overtime expense calculated?

A. Staff calculated overtime by reviewing a history of overtime hours actually experienced and utilized a three-year average of years 2021, 2022, and 2023. Staff then reviewed a history of cost incurred for the overtime hours on a historical basis and determined that utilizing an average overtime rate was appropriate to apply to the normalized level of overtime hours. The normalized amount of overtime was applied for each employee who directly charged or allocated overtime costs to Liberty Midstates during 2023. The normalized overtime amount was then added to base payroll to determine a total level of payroll expense.

### Direct Testimony of Benjamin H. Burton

1	Q.	How did Staff know what capitalization rates to apply to each employee?	
2	А.	Staff received capitalization rates <sup>2</sup> that were broken down by each employee	
3	separately, who directly charges or allocates a charge to Liberty Midstates'. This differs with		
4	the calculation that Company uses in which each employee from the same allocation level		
5	utilizes the same capital rate.		
6	Q.	Did Staff exclude employee labor related to lobbying from Staff's proposed	
7	payroll expen	se?	
8	А.	Yes. In Liberty Midstates' response to Staff data request <sup>3</sup> , it states that a certain	
9	employee had	I no time allocated to Missouri. However, after further research, Staff discovered	
10	that the employee's salary related to lobbying work was included into payroll expense, so Staff		
11	has removed	the whole base salary of the employee.	
12	Q.	Does Staff agree with Company that vacant positions should be recovered	
13	in rates?		
14	А.	No, Staff believes that the filling of vacant position salaries are not known and	
15	measurable a	nd no cost has been expensed. Therefore they are not recoverable.	
16	Q.	Have any other employee's labor costs been removed from Staff's payroll	
17	calculation?		
18	А.	Yes. Staff has removed three employees for business development. Business	
19	development'	s <sup>4</sup> ** <b>.</b> ** Those costs should not be recovered by the	
20	ratepayer bec	ause **	
21		· **	
	2 Confidential D		

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Confidential Response to Staff Data Request No. 0108.1.
 <sup>3</sup> Confidential Response to Staff Data Request No. 0102.
 <sup>4</sup> Confidential Response to Staff Data Request No. 0330.

### 1 PAYROLL TAXES

Q.

2

Did Staff annualize payroll tax expense?

A. Yes. Staff utilized the 2023 payroll tax rates and applied them to Staff's
annualized payroll to determine the annualized payroll tax expense.

### 5 **SEVERANCE**

Q. What is severance and how does Staff examine severance costs for possible
inclusion in customer rates?

8 A. Severance is a compensation package that can be paid to an employee who has 9 been discharged from employment. When considering whether to include severance costs in 10 customer rates, Staff must determine if the "savings" the utility experiences from discharge of 11 the employee (i.e. the payroll, payroll taxes, employee benefits that are no longer being paid) 12 since the time of the employee's discharge through the effective date of new rates, exceeds the 13 cost of the severance paid out. If it does, the utility is believed to have recovered the severance 14 costs and any inclusion in rates would be considered double recovery. If the "savings" does 15 not exceed the severance amount paid out, Staff would need to determine the excess severance 16 amount (i.e. the amount over severance that exceeds "savings") and determine an appropriate 17 amount to include in rates, if any.

18

Q. Has Liberty Midstates incurred severance expense since its last rate case?

A. Yes. Liberty incurred severance for several employees whose labor cost wasallocated and direct charged to Liberty Midstates.

21

Q.

What is Staff's recommendation on severance in this case?

1 A. Staff's position is to remove severance since it has been fully recovered in 2 savings as described above. 3 Q. Has the Commission ruled on severance in past general rate cases? 4 A. Yes, in its Report and Order in Case No. ER-2012-0166 the Commission 5 recognized that utilities benefit from the cost savings associated with severance outside of a general rate case.<sup>5</sup> 6 7 **FUEL EXPENSE** 8 Q. Please explain fuel expense? 9 A. Fuel expense is the cost of gasoline and diesel fuel incurred by a utility for 10 owning their own fleet of vehicles. 11 Q. What is Staff's recommendation for fuel expense? 12 Staff recommends an adjustment to normalize fuel expense due to the levels of A. 13 increase in costs seen in 2022 by Liberty Midstates. The level of cost experienced during the 14 test year was the highest year recorded since 2018. 15 What was the process that Staff utilized in order to determine its Q. 16 recommendation for fuel expense? 17 A. Staff analyzed the number of vehicles owned and utilized by the utility, number of gallons by fuel type used and the amount of dollars spent on fuel by month from 18 19 January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2023. Staff analyzed the price and gallons of fuel, 20 and found that calendar year 2022 was the highest amount recorded during the time period 21 under review. In order to normalize fuel expense to include in the cost of service,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Case No. ER-2012-0166, Commission Report and Order, page 61.

# Direct Testimony of Benjamin H. Burton

1	Staff determin	ned the average price of a gallon of each fuel type for each month over the	
2	five year period examined was appropriate. That price was then applied to the normalized		
3	amount of gallons experienced in 2023. This methodology was applied to regular and diesel		
4	fuel, since the data tends to fluctuate.		
5	DUES AND I	DONATIONS	
6	Q.	Did Staff disallow a portion of Liberty Midstates' dues expense?	
7	А.	Yes. Staff disallowed a portion of dues for American Gas Association (AGA)	
8	incurred durin	ng the test year for Liberty Midstates because a portion of the costs are attributed	
9	to lobbying activities.		
10	Q.	What percentage of the dues go to lobbying?	
11	А.	5.1 % of AGA dues are identified as lobbying costs. That is the amount that	
12	Staff proposes be disallowed.		
13	Q.	Does this conclude your direct testimony?	
14	А.	Yes it does.	

#### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

#### **OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Request of Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty to Implement a General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service in the Missouri Service Areas of the Company )

Case No. GR-2024-0106

#### **AFFIDAVIT OF BENJAMIN H. BURTON**

)

STATE OF MISSOURI	)	
CITY OF ST. Laus	)	SS.

**COMES NOW BENJAMIN H. BURTON** and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing *Direct Testimony of Benjamin H. Burton*; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

**BENJAMIN H. BURTON** 

#### JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the City of <u>St. Laus</u>, State of Missouri, at my office in <u>St. Laus</u>, <u>MO</u>, on this  $16^{H}$  day of July 2024.



### **Benjamin H. Burton**

#### **Present Position:**

I am a Utility Regulatory Auditor, Auditing Department, Financial & Business Analysis Division of the Missouri Public Service Commission. As a Utility Regulatory Auditor, I assist in research and analysis of the financial aspects of public utility operations.

#### **Educational Credentials and Work Experience:**

I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Finance from Lindenwood University in May 2023, and currently enrolled in Lindenwood University's Masters of Business Administration (MBA) degree program working toward an MBA with an emphasis in Accounting. I have been employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission since June 2023.

Prior to my present position I worked part-time as an intern for the Commission's St. Louis Unit from March 2023 through June 2023. My duties during this time period primarily consisted of compiling large volumes of data into Microsoft Excel spreadsheets for review and analysis as part of a large formal water and sewer rate case. From June 2022 through August 2022 I also completed an internship as a data analytics consultant with New York Life Insurance Company while working in New York City, NY. My duties as an intern included creating Microsoft Excel documents that were presented to a New York Life management team on a daily, monthly and quarterly basis.

Company Name	Case No.	<u>Issue(s)</u>
Union Electric Company dba Ameren Missouri	EA-2023-0286	General Ledger and Recordkeeping (Renewable Solutions Program and Boomtown Order)

#### **Past Rate Case Proceedings:**