Missouri Public Service Commission

Judge or Division:	Appellate Number:
Appellant: Brett Felber	Missouri Public Service Commission File Number: EC-2023-0315
	Effective Date of Commission Decision: 11-29-2023
	Date of Application for Rehearing Filed: 11/29/2023
vs.	Date Application for Rehearing Ruled On: 1/10/2024
Respondent: Ameren Missouri	

(Date File Stamp)

Notice of Appeal to Missouri Court of Appeals– Public Service Commission

District: ☐ Western ☒ Eastern ☐ Southern

Notice is given that Brett Felber appeals from a Public Service Commission order or decision entered in this action on 11/29/2023 (date).

The notice of appeal shall include the appellant's application for rehearing, a copy of the reconciliation required by 386.420.4, RSMo, a concise statement of the issues being appealed, a full and complete list of the parties to the commission proceeding, all necessary filing fees, and any other information specified by the rules of the court. The appellant(s) must file the original and two copies and pay the docket fee required by court rule to the appellate court within the time specified by law. **Please make checks or money orders payable to the Missouri Court of Appeals.** At the same time, appellant must serve a copy of the Notice of Appeal on the commission and parties to the commission proceeding in accordance with section 386.515, RSMo, attorneys of record of all parties other than appellant(s), and on all parties not represented by an attorney.

Appellant Name / Bar Number:	Respondent's Attorney / Bar Number:
Pro Se / Brett Felber	Eric Banks / Banks Law LLC
Address: [redacted]	Address: 1824 Chouteau Ave St. Louis MO 63103
[redacted]	E-mail Address: [redacted]
	Telephone: [redacted] Fax:

Brief Description of Case (May be completed on a separate page)

Ameren Missouri committed an illegal disconnection of services on May 19, 2023. Payment agreement (see attached) was for May 22, 2023. Ameren Missouri was allowed to use a counterfeit agreement (see attached / exhibit 1) page 2 is the counterfeit document Ameren used with a bogus email address that is affixed to a website as www2.ameren.com. Payment agreement terms are invalid etc.
Ameren Missouri and counsel admitted during evidentiary hearing to altering documents and that they didn't keep a copy of the agreement.

I was denied any due process, as the Regulatory Judge denied any reconnection of services after Ameren Missouri openly admitted to altering documents and I raised awareness of the documents that were confident.

I was denied any and all motions for discovery. During the evidentiary hearing not only did ~~staff~~ lie, but also Ameren Missouri. Ameren used an audio document to seek proof of payment agreement which failed to state a date of payment and amount. Ameren Missouri changed the sourcing link of audio date labeled May 15, 2023 and when further questioned by I about the audio Ameren Missouri. Ameren Missouri along with PSC Staff openly admitted that the audio didn't have the actual date or amount due.

Attached is also a letter from Send Grid's Parent Company Twilio, stating that the payment agreement I submitted was the actual payment agreement. (see attached document with Notary Affidavit)

Ameren Missouri, along with the employees, their legal counsel, commission, staff, Regulatory Judge had the option to render the correct decision, but they enacted themselves to fraud, more importantly counterfeit documents. To defraud, Mislead, misrepresent the Complainant

Judge Clark is as big of a con artist as Ameren Missouri's legal counsel. When Ameren Missouri would get the exact discovery requested he would purposly delay the evidentiary hearing because Ameren Missouri wasn't satisfied

Issues Expected To Be Raised On Appeal (May be completed on a separate page. Appellant is not bound by this list.)

All of Complainant's Paperwork submitted is on legal letterhead from every vendor utilized in showing proof.

If you look at all Ameren's submissions, none of their paperwork comes from their software vendors and it is typed up on blank paper stock with none of their vendors information attached

Docket Fee Information

☒ The docket fee in the amount of $70.00 is being tendered with this notice of appeal.

☐ No docket fee is being tendered because:

☐ a docket fee is not required by law pursuant to _____ (cite specific statute or other authority).

☐ a motion to prosecute the appeal in forma pauperis has been or will be filed.

☐ a docket fee in the amount of $70.00 cannot be tendered at this time but will be submitted at a later date or this appeal will be subject to dismissal pursuant to Rule 84.08(a).

Signature of Attorney or Appellant	Date
Pro SE 7/21/24	7/21/24

Certificate of Service on Persons other than Registered Users of the Missouri Court's eFiling System

I certify that on _____ (date), a copy of the foregoing was sent to the following by facsimile, hand-delivery, electronic mail or U.S. mail postage prepaid to their last known addresses.

SENT Through EFIS on Monday July 22nd, 2024
Sent to Eric Banks & Jennifer Fernandez
on Sunday July 21, 2024 via email.

7-21-24

Appellant or Attorney for Appellant

Directions to Commission

Unless otherwise ordered by the court of appeals, the commission shall, within 30 days of the filing of the notice of appeal, certify its record in the case to the court of appeals.

I was denied due process. Judge Clark Purdosley stalked out the appeal process with unfactual information. I believe they did that because they knew Ameren was in the wrong

~~Even's~~ Even Ameren Missouri prior software vendor states in a letter affidavit to me that Ameren committed fraud.

(see attached document)

Ameren Missouri, Aubrey Kremar, Jermaine Grubbs, Terri Engelbrecth, Eric Banks, Judge John Clark, Sarah Fontaine are all fraudsters committed fraud in this matter.

Attached is a bank letter that I have in my possession.

Until Ameren Missouri, Aubrey Kremar, Jermaine Grubbs, Engelbrecht, Banks, Clark, Fontaine are punished and prosecuted, by the courts they are going to continue to defraud many more.

You will also see in the report and order where Staff admitted Ameren committed a disconnect violation with failing to send out the proper letter for disconnection, but never enacted a violation

They are the biggest Con Artists in Missouri

I'm asking the appeals court to reverse the Commissions decision. I'm asking the appeals court to render a judgment against Ameren Missouri and against their legal counsel Eric Banks Aubrey Kramer, Terri Engelbrecht, Sarah Fontaine, Jermaine Grubbs, Jennifer Hernandez, and Judge John clark in the amount of $[redacted] Billion Dollars (total assets) for reimbursement to all victims that have had the same exact rendered decision?

In addition I'm seeking with the appeal that this matter is forwarded to the United States District Attorney for prosecution purposes against the parties names listed

EC-2024-0372

Brett Felber & Lisa Lambert
VS
Ameren Missouri

If only undersigned counsel could actually tell the truth that would be great.

Mrs. Hernandez is merely upset because I'm filing a Notice of Appeal in case EC-2023-0395, with the Missouri Court of Appeals. See attached.

There was no check that was returned. Ameren Missouri's treasury department failed to respond to my bank regarding the payments. Mrs. Krcmar and Mrs. Hernandez were giving a screenshot copy showing the payment was deducted from my bank (Discover Bank) in April.

Maybe Mrs. Hernandez could start being truthful and attach the copy of the screenshot I gave her and her and Aubrey Krcmar that shows the payment cleared. The same payment that Ameren Missouri's treasury department failed to respond to.

As for the certified letter. That was sent to official demand from Ameren Missouri therefore I could start the process of civil and criminal litigation from them. I simply needed to send a copy of materials, that in which Ameren Missouri further failed to respond to. Showing a refusal. Ameren refused to accept responsibility for the counteffeit document and other numerous documents that were inserted.

In fact. Mrs.Hernandez should post all pages of the certified letter, therefore we can compare which pages she is leaving out. Yes it was in fact addressed to Martin Lyons and Mrs. Hernandez. Two of the biggest Con Artists out there.

Notice Mrs. Hernandez deflects the part of meter tampering as well, because they know it's the truth.

I really wish the Commission would recommend once in a while factual data to be insterted into these things, suchs as daily meter readings that can be done via smart meters. That is the whole concept of smart meters. To give better transparency. Something Mrs. Hernandez doesn't want.

I'll post a copy of the filing that I intend to send off to the Court of Appeals Monday.

Maybe Mrs. Hernandez can kinbdly submit a copy of the email that was sent to her and Aubrey Krcmar, along with the document that showed from my bank that is cleared and was cashed by Ameren Missouri. It was sent in an email directly to them.

In addition Mrs. Herandez should post all pages of the certified letter.

Mrs. Hernandez bringing up the counterfeit document is her praying that the Commission once again protects them.

I have a feeling that there will be a different outcome for EC-2023-0395 once the document in question gets examined by the Appeals Court.

In fact if she really wants, we can also have the Appeals Court tackle on matter EC-2024-0372 and they can examine all the paperwork that shows my payments cleared and that Ameren Missouri tampered with their own meter to upsell higher service charges.

As an FYI, Ameren Missouri openly admitted in their asnwer that they have no intention to settle what I've disputed, yet instead of resolving the issue, they demand payment for it.

That isn't how a dispute works.

Last, if Mrs. Hernandez read properly when I dismissed EC-2024-0217 it didn't further allow them to put any deposits etc, back on my account without solving them. Since there was no decision handed down by the Commission regarding EC-2024-0217, any matters that weren't satisfied or resolved by Ameren Missouri and I doesn't consitute Ameren being able to add those back to my8 account.

Maybe Mrs. Hernandez would like me to refresh her memory on EC-2024-0217 on May 10, 2024.

"Ameren Missouri must still decided on that. However if Ameren Missouris continues to submti counterfeit documents, continues to try and bill me for the deposit after the closure of my dismissed complaints and continues with their threats against me or harasses me wfor unfair balances, I won't file a Commission Complaint, but I will file a lawsuit in Circuit Court to have them dergulated and file suit agains the Commission to have their authority taken away."

May 13, 2024, another filing on my end was uploaded through EFIS. I specifically states.

"Ameren Missouri has 30 days fromt hte date of this letter to reach out to me or I will use all legal remedies and strategies in maintaingmy best interest under applicable State and Federal Statutes in any further recourse.

If anything Ameren Missouri in their answers has openly admitted that they failed and purposely ignored any and all disputes that have arised.

I think its time for Ameren Missouri and their legal counsel to actually tell the truth. I know I've threatened lawsuits in the past, but this time I really mean it. I think Ameren Missouri needs stern prosecution and that their legal dozers are going to continue the same roundabout of illegal tactics until the Court of Appeals gets involved.

If the Commission and Ameren see better we can just package both EC-2023-0395 and the counterfeit documents that Ameren utilized along with theri fraudulent meter tampering and along other matters brought up in EC-2024-0372 to the Appeal Court and let them make a position or ruling on that?

Brett Felber
7/21/2024