

ER-2024-0319

I'm not going to argue or entertain the argument of Ameren's Motion filing in which they seek that my application to intervene is denied by the Commission. However, I will point out to parties, my application to intervene simply bases two key things. Corrupt business practices that are being done by Ameren Missouri and the possibility of the Regulatory Judge allowing Ameren Missouri to utilize counterfeit or fraudulent paperwork designed with AI to skew numbers that don't exist.

It is no secret and as Ameren Missouri and I have a long history of not getting along, however, Missourians and residents and the general public have the right to understand all the paperwork that gets filed and could potentially impact ratepayers in whole and their utility services on an increase that shouldn't exist.

I was a victim to Ameren Missouri's bullying system last year, I was even bullied by the Regulatory Judge. Despite numerous documents with letterhead of vendors showing proof, I was ultimately forced into paying Ameren a substantial amount of money. My paperwork proved my claim and Ameren couldn't have one software vendor submit a detail piece of paperwork with factual data. Instead they submitted paperwork with their own opinions on it. Ultimately it came down to a counterfeit payment agreement that Ameren Missouri decided to utilize, which I've brought up on numerous occasions, in which to the date the same Regulatory Judge or Ameren have yet to file a response to.

I'm going to post the factual. Fact in EC-2023-0395, Ameren Missouri utilized exhibit D page 2 to resemble a payment agreement, however, they say the email came from www2.ameren.com. First and foremost no payment agreement would have ever come from www2.ameren.com, because it's not an email address. It would have bounced back immediately.

Second under the payment agreement terms Ameren Missouri failed to encode the actual terms of installments and months and the amount per month of installment. Instead they listed those as table border, cellpadding, cellspacing, color, wutable.

The payment agreement will show other inventors that filed applications and the Office of the Public Counsel that Ameren utilized a bogus payment agreement in that matter.

In fact I offered the Honorable Judge Clark along with Ameren Missouri and their legal counsel to have a conference call with Twilio, SendGrids parent company. They denied that on more than one occasion.

To be fair, the Commissioners themselves are partially to blame.

This document shows that Ameren Missouri is willing to commit fraud, forgery, produce, manipulate, deceive and distribute counterfeit documents in order to force customers to pay costs that they shouldn't be liable for.

What other counterfeit documents do they have hiding on their servers?

Second, attached as well you will see a copy of my Certificate Of Incorporation for my Non-Profit Consumer Energy Concept Solutions. While I have not had a lawyer enter on behalf of my Non-profit I shouldn't have to. After I was taken advantage of I organized this Non-Profit to educate and inform Missourians of their rights against predatory utility Corporations

Third, I predicted this raise back during the Rush Island matter in which Ameren wanted to secure bonds to decommission the Rush Island plant. Attached is the page that the Commission decided not to publish or stand in my filing which clearly shows I predicted that Ameren would raise rates anywhere from \$10-\$17 on average per customer. I predicted this months ago. However, instead of allowing my filing to stand public, they decided to remove it, so the public couldn't see the imposed costs.

Fourth, you can publicly see the matter in which what the Honorable Judge Rodney Sippel said about Ameren Missouri on March 28, 2024 and in the report and order that was required by Ameren to file to the PSC in the Rush Island matter.

These are all facts that cannot be disputed at all. Not one opinion, all facts.

The reason I filed my Application to Intervene is because Ameren Missouri is misleading the public on their filing for their rate hike. Ameren Missouri was ordered by law to decommission the Rush Island Energy plant with their own vested money. They bold face lied to the Commission and told the Commission different. Even Mr. Birk who submitted testimony, his testimony differed from the United States vs Ameren Missouri and the evidentiary hearing.

In addition, Ameren Missouri failed to get and apply for permits required, permits required from the Missouri Public Service Commission and which the Public Service Commission failed to impose or levy any fines on.

While it is true Ameren Missouri filed for a rate hike. The rate hike filed is misleading from what they have publicly filed in recent filings with the SEC and what the real reason the rate hike is imposed for. The rate hike is imposed for the actual decommissioning of Rush Island and bonds, not an actual increase of surplus charges for tariffed service to consumers.

When a Corporation files a misleading and deceiving rate hike to manipulate the application to intervene becomes any interested party not just bound by Commission rules. Ameren and the Commission still have to abide by rules,

regulations State and Federal Statutes. They cannot just simply close the door and deny an application, especially when the Corporation filing for the rate hike is deceiving and using this as a force tactic to deceive and manipulate rates.

In fact, which bring to a bigger question, what has Ameren Missouri done with all the money in those prior rate hikes they've received? Ameren talks so much about how they've vested into the "future" yet our power grid is on the brink of failing? Where have all those other rate increases that Ameren Missouri pushed for to improve and perform necessary crucial upgrades? That money sits in their executives, board members and share holders pockets.

In fact, that's all this is about. It's a force manipulator and it's being imposed by not only Ameren Missouri, but the Commission itself. These have been Ameren Missouri's exact words. "To reduce stress on the grid, we recommend setting your thermostate to 78 degrees." We don't know if our grid can handle much more unfortunately.

This is exactly what Ameren Missouri has been doing during this heat wave.

Ameren Missouri wants you to pay your bill, but then force you what to set your thermostat at and then tell you that their grid can't handle the overload.

Ameren Missouri wants to start using more AI generation, yet the grid can't handle it.

I don't expect my Application to be granted. I really don't however, as a Missourian I will also fight for what is best and stand up to Corporate bullies such as Ameren Missouri.

I'm asking the other inventors that seeked intervention and the Office of the Public Counsel, is this what you want from a utility company? A company that neglected their own grid and gave executives, board members, heck even lobbying Commissioners money from prior rate hikes, instead of doing the jobs they are supposed to do? They neglected the grid on their own and failed to invest all those prior rate hikes back into the grid .

Do you want a utility company that has produced counterfeit documents and used counterfeit documents to force hard working Missourians out of money they shouldn't have come out of?

The facts are in front of everyone. I have no reason to lie and lying wouldn't do me any good. The paperwork submitted to you shows the truth and the whole truth.

I want to ask those other inventors and the Office of the Public Counsel does the Commission really work on behalf of seeking fair and just for the

customers, or do they really work for the utility provider. Because clear evidence shows they work for the utility provider.

As for my own personal matter. i hold no grudges in that. The past is the past and the present is the present, however the Regulatory Judge in this matter and the utility provider in this matter are crooked. Judge Clark is a crooked Judge who allowed a crooked utility provider to produce counterfeit documents in my matter. In addition the crooked utility company openly admitted that their regulatory department altered documents. When I expressed that to the Honorable Judge Clark, he took no action. He brought it upon himself to show that he was crooked, himself.

If my Application to Intervene is denied, all the exhibits are free for the other inventors in this matter and for the Office of the Public Counsel to use in testimony to show why a rate increase shouldn't be imposed.

Brett Felber 8-1-2024



691 Trade Center Blvd
Suite RRR
Chesterfield, Mo 63005
912-501-9391

Submitted in
EF-2024-0021

I ask the Commission, Staff, Ameren Missouri and Judge Clark where in the constitution does it specifically state that rate payers are liable for the bad apples of a product and repeat offender to violations.

I strongly encourage the Commission, Staff of the Commission, Commissioners and Regulatory Judge in this matter to re-think and ask themselves is this the best interest for rate payers or is this only benefiting Ameren Missouri. Because approving these utility bonds sends that message that Ameren is going to continue to repeatedly violate and stack violation upon violation and continue further violating until they face sanctions for their actions.

While I'm not a lawyer, I still look out for the best of interest of all our hard-working Missourians. What Ameren Missouri is asking the Commission to do is essentially steal more money out of hard-working Missourians bank accounts, while their fixer at the Missouri Public Service Commission once again, cleans up Ameren Missouri's fraudulent and deceptive business practices.

As for the little increase that Ameren Missouri states will be imposed on rate payers, I encourage Ameren to not skew those numbers and actually tell the real financial burden it imposes. The average rate payer increase Missourians will see is more realistically anywhere from \$10-\$17 and will probably add another 4% increase on everyone's property taxes.

It's time for Ameren Missouri to face legal responsibility for their actions and it starts with all Missourians standing up and telling the Commission to deny Ameren's utility bond request.

I encourage the Staff of the Commission to respectfully ask the Commission to deny Ameren Missouri's request, along with the Commissioners.

It's time to put a stop to the corporate bullies and say no.

Ameren Missouri's real plan is a further burden imposed upon rate payers. Instead, Ameren would rather produce skewed numbers to make it look appealing.

A senior software engineer was able to provide the values that were inserted by the system into the email template once it was entered, as shown below.

Payment Agreement Email:

TX_WEBAPI_SUB_KEY	TX_WEBAPI_SUB_VALUE
473755489	68134237 %AccountNumberEnding% 8149
473755490	68134237 %PAGDueBySentence% Your required payment of \$2,509.00 is due by 5/18/2023 in order t
473755491	68134237 %PAGAmountDue% \$2,509.00
473755492	68134237 %PAGDueDate% 5/18/2023
473755493	68134237 %PAGAmtDeferred% \$2,509.25
473755494	68134237 %PAGTerms% <table border='0' cellpadding='2' cellspacing='2' color='#444444' id='wutable' w
473755495	68134237 %PAGFirstParagraph% Your account will be updated when the required payment has been received. Failur
473755496	68134237 %PrimaryEmailAddress% bfelber14@gmail.com
473755497	68134237 %CurrentYear% 2023
473755498	68134237 %ExternalHostName% www2.ameren.com

Not an email

The senior software engineer also advised that if a customer downloaded the payment agreement confirmation email, they would have the ability to edit the message.

Based on the data that we have, the email Mr. Felber received on 05/18/23 reflected the required payment date of 05/18/23 and does not reflect a required payment date of 05/22/23.

Not from Sendgrid or
Template

STATE OF MISSOURI



John R. Ashcroft
Secretary of State

CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION


WHEREAS, Articles of Incorporation of

CONSUMER ENERGY CONCEPT SOLUTIONS
N001693609

have been received and filed in the Office of the Secretary of State, which Articles, in all respects, comply with the requirements of Missouri Nonprofit Corporation Law;

NOW, THEREFORE, I, JOHN R. ASHCROFT, Secretary of State of the State of Missouri, do by virtue of the authority vested in me by law, do hereby certify and declare this entity a body corporate, duly organized this date and that it is entitled to all rights and privileges granted corporations organized under the Missouri Nonprofit Corporation Law.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and cause to be affixed the GREAT SEAL of the State of Missouri. Done at the City of Jefferson, this 31st day of July, 2023.


Secretary of State

