FILED AUG 15 2024 Missouri Public Service Commission

## Exhibit No. 213

Staff – Exhibit 213 Mark Kiesling Corrected Testimony Rebuttal File No. EO-2023-0136

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's 4th Filing to Implement Regulatory Changes in Furtherance of Energy Efficiency as Allowed by MEEIA

Case No. EO-2023-0136

#### NOTICE OF CORRECTED TESTIMONY

COMES NOW the Staff ("Staff") of the Missouri Public Service Commission, and

for its Notice of Corrected Testimony in this matter, Staff respectfully states:

- 1. On April 26, 2024, Staff filed the Rebuttal Testimony of Mark Kiesling.
- 2. Staff is submitting a corrected version of Mr. Kiesling's rebuttal testimony,

attached hereto as Appendix A.<sup>1</sup>

- 3. The following is addressed in Appendix A:
  - a. Page 7, lines 15-19. Mr. Kiesling's rebuttal originally stated: "Ameren Missouri will have discussions with Stakeholders to help resolve any issues that stakeholders bring up to Ameren Missouri about any proposed 11-Step process. If a resolution to a proposed 11-Step change can't be reached by the stakeholders, then a filing would be made in that current MEEIA Cycle docket requesting a hearing before the Commission for approval."

The corrected testimony states: "The 11-step process as currently constructed is a notification process that defers to the Company's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Staff informed Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri and the Office of the Public Counsel of corrections to Mr. Kieling's testimony via email on June 21, 2024 and during Mr. Kieling's deposition on June 25, 2024.

discretion for changes. Stakeholders can provide feedback, but the Company isn't obligated to incorporate any Stakeholder feedback.";

- b. Page 8, line 1. The portion that reads "While Staff does not oppose the need for 11-Step processes," has been removed; and
- c. Page 9, lines 8-13. Mr. Kiesling's rebuttal originally stated: "Staff believes it can be a beneficial tool if it would be used in a more efficient manner and not one where it is being used to manipulate participation. Staff believes that if incentive ranges would be set at a particular point that would drive participants to purchase higher efficiency products that it will drive continuous participation throughout the cycle year, not just drive participation in the last quarter of a MEEIA year soAmeren Missouri's savings goals can be met and the earnings opportunity can be achieved."

The corrected testimony states: "Consistent with Staff's overall recommendation, Staff recommends rejection of the Amended Application. If the Commission decides to approve the application with modifications, Staff recommends that the Commission reject the 11-step process and require that any program tariff sheet approved include the incentive amount for each measure available through each program.<sup>2</sup> The 11-step process is inconsistent with Staff's recommendation that the Commission reject Ameren Missouri's Appendix D - Incentive Ranges due to the lack of support described in the rebuttal testimony

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Page 40 of the direct testimony of J Luebbert.

of J Luebbert. Absent a Commission approved incentive range, the 11-

step process is unnecessary. Changes and modifications can occur

without the 11-step process."

**WHEREFORE,** Staff submits this Notice and requests such relief as is just and proper under the circumstances.

Respectfully Submitted,

#### <u>/s/ Travis J. Pringle</u>

Travis J. Pringle Missouri Bar No. 71128 Chief Deputy Counsel for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Mo 65102-0360 (573) 751-5700 (Telephone) (573) 526-1500 (Facsimile) (Email) <u>travis.pringle@psc.mo.gov</u>

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by electronic mail, or First Class United States Postal Mail, postage prepaid, on this 19th day of July, 2024, to all parties and/or counsel of record.

#### <u>/s/ Travis J. Pringle</u>

Exhibit No.: Issues: Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit:

Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared: Mark Kiesling MoPSC Staff Corrected Rebuttal Testimony EO-2023-0136 July 19, 2024

## **MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

## INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION

### **ENERGY RESOURCES DEPARTMENT**

## CORRECTED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

## MARK KIESLING

### UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI

CASE NO. EO-2023-0136

Jefferson City, Missouri July 2024

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	CORRECTED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
3	OF
4	MARK KIESLING
5	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY,
6	d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI
7	CASE NO. EO-2023-0136
8	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
9	FREE RIDERSHIP AND POTENTIAL IRA IMPACTS2
10	11-STEP PROCESS
11	EFFICENT PRODUCTS9
12	PROGRAMS10

1	CORRECTED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2	OF
3	MARK KIESLING
4 5	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI
6	CASE NO. EO-2023-0136
7	Q. Please state your name and business address.
8	A. Mark Kiesling, 200 Madison Street, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City,
9	Missouri 65102.
10	Q. Are you the same Mark Kiesling that filed direct testimony on March 1, 2024,
11	in this case?
12	A. Yes, I am.
13	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
14	Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
15	A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to expand on the potential
16	Free Ridership problem once funds from the Federal Inflation Reduction Act ("IRA")
17	become available and how this could impact the cost effectiveness programs within
18	Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's ("Ameren Missouri") proposed Amended
19	and Supplemented Application to Approve DSIM and Demand-Side Management Portfolio
20	and Plan, and Request for Variances ("Amended Application") filed on January 25, 2024.
21	I will also discuss how Ameren Missouri continually uses the 11-Step Process to raise
22	incentives and how contractors and customers are aware of this trend and tend to wait for
23	Ameren Missouri to raise the incentives before participating in programs. Finally, I will

discuss some of the proposed programs in Ameren Missouri's Amended Application and
 how they are duplicative of what is currently available through the IRA.

#### 3

4

Q.

What is free ridership?

FREE RIDERSHIP AND POTENTIAL IRA IMPACTS

A. Free ridership<sup>1</sup> is program participants that would have completed an energy
efficiency upgrade regardless of available programs offering incentives for energy efficiency
upgrades. Staff witness Francisco Del Pozo also discusses free ridership in his rebuttal
testimony in this case.

9

Q. What is the IRA?

A. The IRA is a federally funded program that is funneling millions of dollars
into Missouri to offer rebates to help promote energy efficiency upgrades to residents and
businesses of Missouri. Currently it is projected that more than \$150 million could be
available to Missouri residents to promote energy efficient upgrades in the following areas:
HVAC upgrades, heat pump upgrades, weatherization, and other areas. These federal funds
will be handled by the Missouri State Division of Energy ("DOE").

16

Q. How are the IRA funds potentially being used in Missouri?

A. The IRA funds are being considered for high-efficiency residential electric
upgrades (e.g. heat pumps) in low-to-medium income households.

19

20

Q. Could the rebates being offered from the IRA significantly increase free ridership in Ameren Missouri's MEEIA programs?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Free riders are program participants who would have completed the same energy efficiency upgrade without the program. Per Opinion Dynamics Program Year 2021 Annual EM&V Report, Volume 2: Residential Portfolio Report, pg. 14.

1 2

3

4

5

A. Yes. The rebates being offered from the IRA could potentially have a dramatic impact on free ridership in Ameren Missouri's MEEIA programs.

The rebates being offered through the IRA could be the driving force that will lead individuals and businesses to make energy efficiency upgrades, and not the rebates that are being offered by Ameren Missouri through its MEEIA programs.

Q. How could potential rebates from the IRA impact free ridership participation
7 in Ameren's MEEIA?

8 A. Here is an example of how the IRA rebates could increase free ridership of 9 Ameren Missouri's MEEIA programs. The Missouri Public Service Commission Staff 10 ("Staff") was contacted by an Ameren Missouri customer who had a heat pump heating and 11 cooling system in their house. The heat pump was an older unit and was starting to give the 12 customer some trouble. The homeowner contacted a local heating and cooling company to 13 come out and look at their heat pump unit. This company was a preferred Ameren Missouri 14 Ameren Missouri's residential contractor/vendor for Heating, Ventilation, and 15 Air Conditioning ("HVAC") program. The company informed the homeowner that it would 16 cost approximately \$1,400.00 to repair the old unit with no guarantees of how long the unit 17 would continue to run in the future. While in the middle of the process with his heat pump, 18 the homeowner was having his taxes prepared. The individual that was preparing his taxes 19 asked if he had done any energy efficient upgrades at his home. The homeowner stated that 20 he is in the process of potentially purchasing a heat pump. His tax preparer said that he could 21 be eligible through the IRA for up to a \$2,000.00 tax credit if he went with the new unit. 22 Upon being informed that they would be eligible for this rebate, the homeowner started to 23 calculate the financial impact of getting a new unit versus repairing his existing unit.

1 After their calculations, the homeowner informed the HVAC company that they wanted 2 to go with a new unit versus repairing the existing unit. Once the homeowner made their 3 decision, the HVAC company informed the homeowner that the new unit they chose was 4 eligible for a rebate through Ameren Missouri's Residential HVAC program. The rebate 5 was \$500.00 dollars. The homeowner realized that he needed a new heat pump, but the 6 rebate being offered through the IRA was a very important piece in the decision process. 7 The rebate offered through Ameren Missouri's Residential HVAC program was a not 8 determining factor in this homeowners decision, just a handout that was there for the taking. 9 This is a prime example of how the IRA funding is potentially going to be leading to 10 dramatic free ridership participation in Ameren Missouri's MEEIA programs and potentially 11 millions of dollars going to free riders and not driving energy efficiency upgrades like they are intended to do. 12

13

#### Q. Why did this Ameren Missouri customer contact Staff?

A. This customer contacted Staff because they were familiar with MEEIA and how it is funded and operates, and wanted to express their concerns with Staff how the IRA rebates that are being offered through IRA funding are going to be driving energy efficiency upgrades and not the rebates being offered through MEEIA. They also wanted to express their concerns that ratepayers should not be funding energy efficiency measures/programs that are also being subsidized by federal money available that does not cost ratepayers millions of extra dollars.

21

Q.

How does a scenario like this impact Ameren Missouri's MEEIA programs?

22

A. A scenario like this has multiple impacts on Ameren Missouri's MEEIA

23 programs. The first impact is the free ridership that this creates. This customer is upgrading

their heat pump based on incentives and rebates from a totally different program, but then is also taking advantage of Ameren Missouri offering rebates for something they were going to do regardless of the rebates being offered by Ameren Missouri. Ameren Missouri will get to count savings from the purchase of the new heat pump by the customer simply taking advantage of a larger rebate that is available through a federal program.

6

Q.

What are other impacts from this particular scenario?

7 Another impact from this scenario is Ameren Missouri being allowed to A. 8 claim the savings from this energy efficiency measure. This then counts towards 9 Ameren Missouri's throughput disincentive as discussed further by Staff witness 10 Sarah Lange. This also allows Ameren Missouri to count the savings towards its earnings 11 opportunity, which ultimately will cost rate payers millions of dollars more than they are already paying, for energy efficiency programs that are not actually driving energy efficiency 12 13 upgrades. This is just one scenario of a free rider. Ameren has over 1 million customers, 14 which begs the questions of how prevalent are free riders and how many noneffective rebates 15 are being given out?

16

17

Q. Does Ameren Missouri propose or outline how it might address the impacts the IRA could have on its proposed MEEIA Cycle 4 programs in the Amended Application?

A. No. Staff has conducted a search of Ameren's application and did not find any mention by Ameren or its witnesses that addressed the potential impacts of the IRA or any plan to address the IRA. The IRA is offering energy efficiency upgrades not only with HVAC units, but with weatherization materials, and a host of prescriptive energy efficiency measures. This is concerning because of the potential for huge free ridership which if not accounted for, would mistakenly shows that the programs are having an impact when

in reality they are not. Ameren Missouri does not, at this time, have a plan on how to address 1 2 this or account for this with the IRA or through the Evaluation, Measure, and verification 3 ("EM&V") process.

**11-STEP PROCESS** 4

Q.

5 Does Ameren Missouri have a process in place for MEEIA Cycle 3 to change Q. 6 incentives for measures outside of filing a new MEEIA application?

7 A. Yes. Per Ameren Missouri's tariff sheets for its currently effective MEEIA programs,<sup>2</sup> there is an 11-Step process that it must follow to propose incentive changes 8 9 outside of filing a new MEEIA application.

10

What does the 11-Step process allow Ameren Missouri to do?

11 The 11-Step process allows Ameren Missouri to change the incentive for a A. 12 particular measure that is offered through MEEIA programs without having to file a new 13 application. The 11-Step process can be initiated at anytime in a Cycle year. This is done to drive participation. 14

15

Q. Is there a specific process that has to be followed to initiate an 16 11-Step process?

17 Yes, there are steps needed to initiate an 11-Step process. The 11-Step process A. is as follows:<sup>3</sup> 18

19

20

21

discuss proposed change with evaluator;

Analyze impact on program and portfolio (cost effectiveness, goal achievement, etc.);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> MO.P.S.C Schedule No. 6 1<sup>st</sup> Revised Sheet No. 221.4 thru Original Sheet No. 221.5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> MO.P.S.C Schedule No. 6 1<sup>st</sup> Revised Sheet No. 221.4 thru Original Sheet No. 221.5.

1	• Inform the Staff, Office of the Public Counsel, and the Missouri Department of
2	Economic Development – Division of Energy (DE) of the proposed change, the time
3	within which it needs to be implemented, provide them the analysis that was done and
4	consider recommendations from them that are received within the implementation
5	timeline (the implementation timeline shall be no less than five business days from
6	the time that the Staff, Office of the Public counsel, and the DE are informed and
7	provided the above-referenced analysis):
8	• Take timely received recommendations into account and incorporate them where the
9	Company believes it is appropriate to do so:
10	• File updated web pages and, if appropriate, updated list of Measures and Incentive
11	amounts in File No. EO-2018-0211;
12	• Inform Participants, Program Providers, Program Partners, Trade Allies, etc.
13	Q. Does the Commission approve these proposed changes?
14	A. To date no proposed 11-Step process has gone in front of the Commission for
15	approval. The 11-step process as currently constructed is a notification process that defers to
16	
	the Company's discretion for changes. Stakeholders can provide feedback, but the Company
17	isn't obligated to incorporate any Stakeholder feedback.
17 18	
	isn't obligated to incorporate any Stakeholder feedback.
18	isn't obligated to incorporate any Stakeholder feedback. Q. How often does Ameren Missouri propose an 11-Step process?
18 19	<ul><li>isn't obligated to incorporate any Stakeholder feedback.</li><li>Q. How often does Ameren Missouri propose an 11-Step process?</li><li>A. Since the start of Ameren Missouri's MEEIA Cycle 3, on March 1, 2019,</li></ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> DR 131 response in EO-2023-0136.

after year raising of incentive levels just to drive participation in Ameren Missouri
 MEEIA programs.

3

4

Q. Does Staff believe a particular pattern has developed with using the 11-Step process?

5 Yes, Staff believes that there is a particular pattern that Ameren follows year A. after year with the 11-Step process. Ameren Missouri holds quarterly stakeholders 6 meeting during a MEEIA Cycle to discuss quarterly performance and Cycle year to date 7 8 performance. Year after year, Ameren Missouri shows gradual participation numbers for the 1<sup>st</sup> quarter, 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter, and 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter of each year for MEEIA Cycle 3. Typically, 9 Ameren Missouri will submit an 11-Step process sometime during the late 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter or 10 early 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter to be effective for the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of that cycle year. Then, by the end 11 of the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of the MEEIA year, the participation and savings numbers significantly 12 13 increase. Through discussions in these quarterly meetings, stakeholders have asked 14 Ameren if they feel contractors and trade allies are telling their customers to wait until the 4<sup>th</sup> guarter of a cycle year before starting projects because Ameren will raise its incentive 15 16 levels to drive participation. Ameren has acknowledged that it feels that contractors and trade allies are specifically waiting until the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of cycle years to do projects because they 17 18 know that incentive levels will rise. Several times Ameren has raised incentive levels for programs for a particular quarter, typically the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter, only to drop the incentive back 19 20 down to the previous quarter's level at the beginning of the next MEEIA cycle year.

21

Q.

Why raise it for only one quarter?

A. Why not set it at a level that is going to drive continuous participation.
This does not seem like a productive program that is driving participation and creating

energy efficiency changes, but more just something that people are waiting around to take 1 2 advantage of because they know Ameren will be raise the incentives to achieve its program 3 participation numbers and savings goals so it can achieve its earnings opportunity at the end 4 of each year.

5

О.

Does Staff have any proposed changes to the 11-Step process?

6 A. Consistent with Staff's overall recommendation, Staff recommends rejection 7 of the Amended Application. If the Commission decides to approve the application with 8 modifications, Staff recommends that the Commission reject the 11-step process and require 9 that any program tariff sheet approved include the incentive amount for each measure available through each program.<sup>5</sup>The 11-step process is inconsistent with Staff's 10 11 recommendation that the Commission reject Ameren Missouri's Appendix D -Incentive Ranges due to the lack of support described in the rebuttal testimony of J Luebbert. Absent a 12 13 Commission approved incentive range, the 11-step process is unnecessary. Changes and 14 modifications can occur without the 11-step process.

15

**EFFICENT PRODUCTS** 

16

Does Ameren offer free products to customers? Q.

17 Yes, Ameren Missouri offers free products to customers as part of MEEIA. A. Ameren Missouri customers routinely receive promotional materials in the mail from 18 Ameren Missouri during the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of a MEEIA year stating that they are giving away 19 20 free Nest thermostats until the end of the year. When Staff asked Ameren Missouri in a data request<sup>6</sup> (attached as schedule MBK-R1) if it tracks and verifies if the thermostats that

21

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Page 40 of the direct testimony of J Luebbert.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> DR 122 in Case No. EO-2023-0136.

are given away are installed, Ameren Missouri responded that the thermostats are not 1 tracked, nor is it verified whether the thermostats are actually installed.<sup>7</sup> In the same data 2 3 request ("DR") response, Ameren Missouri stated that the savings from the thermostats are 4 counted as soon as they are shipped to the customer. Ameren Missouri cannot verify that the 5 thermostats have been installed and are helping customers with energy efficiency, but 6 Ameren Missouri is making sure to get savings credit for the thermostats in order to achieve 7 its savings goals. People may be getting these thermostats and selling them on-line or just 8 letting them sit in a closet and never using them, but Ameren Missouri is getting credit for 9 the energy savings associated with these thermostats. This could be costing ratepayers 10 millions of dollars for savings that are not benefitting them at all. Staff would like to see 11 Ameren Missouri discontinue giving away free thermostats or free products through MEEIA programs, especially since the programs are funded using rate payer money. Ameren 12 13 Missouri cannot guarantee installation of certain products but Ameren Missouri is allowed to 14 claim savings for the products without knowing if the products are ever installed.

#### 15 **PROGRAMS.**

16 Q. Is Staff opposed to Ameren's Missouri Amended MEEIA Cycle 417 application?

A. Yes, Staff is opposed to several proposed programs, including but not limited
to the proposed Education Programs in the Residential portfolio, in particular the Building
Science Training program, Residential Code Compliance, Building Operator Certification
program, and Real Estate Education.

22

Why is Staff opposed to these proposed programs?

Q.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> DR 122 response in EO-2022-0136

21

A. Staff is opposed to these programs for a couple of reasons. 1 2 1. Staff believes that it is not Ameren Missouri's place to be setting building codes, 3 and 4 2. The IRA offers free money that can be used to accomplish what Ameren Missouri 5 is proposing with these programs. Staff believes that instead of using ratepayer money, 6 communities in 7 Ameren Missouri's service territory could take advantage of the IRA funding and still 8 accomplish the same things without costing ratepayers money. 9 Q. Are there other proposed Residential programs that Staff is opposed to? Yes, Staff is opposed to the Whole Home New Construction program that is 10 A. 11 being proposed by Ameren. Staff is opposing this program for a number of reasons: 12 1. Individuals and contractors that are building new homes are going to have to 13 install insulation, air conditioners, furnaces, windows, and water heaters. These measures, 14 along with others, are going to be installed regardless of any program offered by 15 Ameren. This is another example of free ridership and allows Ameren to receive payment 16 from ratepayers by claiming savings attributed to the measures installed without actively influencing the customers decision to install various appliances. This is not a prudent use of 17 18 ratepayers' money. 19 2. Another potential issue is a contractor that is building the house could get the 20 rebates associated with the measures being installed in a new home construction and be a

from it. This contractor could collect the rebates and pocket the money and the ratepayers ofAmeren are the ones footing the bill.

non-Ameren customer that is taking advantage of something that is offered and benefitting

Q. Are there other items that Ameren Missouri has proposed that Staff is
 opposed to?

3 Yes, in the proposed Business Program section, Ameren Missouri talks about A. marketing a biz board web connection tool. This tool is a website where businesses can post 4 5 projects and will receive bids from contractors that can provide those services. While Staff is not opposed to the idea of connecting contractors with businesses that are wanting projects 6 7 done, Staff has concerns that this is a way for Ameren Missouri to cherry pick projects and 8 offer rebates for projects that are not being driven by the rebates. This leads to free riders 9 due to Ameren monitoring a web site and then offering rebates for projects that were already 10 going to be done, and then taking credit for potential savings from the projects. This is 11 another example of how other programs and services are generating energy efficient projects and not the rebates or incentives that are being offered through MEEIA programs. Taxpayers 12 13 should not be funding programs that are not providing benefits to them. Ameren Missouri 14 does not state in their application that this is a necessary offering that is warranted.

15

Q.

Is there anything else you would like to discuss?

16 A. Yes, I would briefly like to talk about the technical resource manual ("TRM") 17 a foundational piece for Ameren's Amended Application. Ameren's filed TRM has 18 over 3,500 measures in it. Staff believes that Ameren's TRM needs to be paired down to a 19 certain number of measures (that are actually measures offered in its MEEIA portfolio) and 20 also eliminate any measures that are load building measures. There are several broken or 21 non-functional links that are the basis for deemed savings for measures. These prevent Staff 22 from verifying the accuracy of the deemed savings values which then also hinders Staff from 23 calculating accurate savings for measures. Staff recommends these links be updated and be

fully functional. Staff witness J Luebbert discusses this further in his rebuttal testimony in this case. Staff will expand further on changes that it would like to see in surrebuttal testimony, once Staff has had a chance to review Ameren Missouri's rebuttal testimony for plans to address concerns about the TRM that Staff raised in its Direct Testimony in this case.

6

7

Does this complete your testimony?

A. Yes.

Q.