

ER-2024-0139

The only accurate information given in the Commission's denial of my application is that I filed it late by a threshold of one business day.

There was no criticism of the Regulatory Judge. If anything it was an abundance of factual events and data that prove he is Ameren Missouri's fixer and that is Ameren Missouri and the Commission's go to person to fix Ameren Missouri's mess.

He allowed Ameren along with the Commissioners to use altered, forged, fraudulent and counterfeit documents in a matter of an event that scammed me or extorted me out of \$5,023.27 to a counterfeit document and to a company that openly admitted to altering and editing Ameren Missouri.

I don't know who goes through and grants or deny's applications, but if the Regulatory Judge and Commission both play that part, they can start by telling the truth in which the Commission, Staff, Regulatory Judge and Ameren Missouri were openly invited to a conference call and meeting with Ameren Missouri's prior vendor , in which their prior vendor would have confirmed that the "exhibit" that Ameren Missouri uses a so called "payment agreement" is a counterfeit , forged and altered document from the actual payment agreement.

The Commission, Staff, Regulatory Judge and Ameren Missouri all three times ran. dodged , decided to ghost and decline the opportunity. They declined the opportunity because they were going to be called out by Ameren's prior software vendor and the Commission and Regulatory Judge knew that Ameren Missouri utilized a forged, edited, altered document.

Also if the Commission and Regulatory Judge want to start telling the truth, it starts with the Jurat Affidavit that was given with a certification of showing the document was a counterfeit. Regulatory Judge Clark didn't like that one.

Further, if the Commission want's to start telling the truth the best part would be to tell the inventors and the Office of the Public Counsel Ameren Missouri chose to submit a counterfeit, forged, edited and altered document to an individual who just so happens to own a business that specializes in cellular, wireline, internet, network, ip solutions, data retrieval , cloud services, wan solutions. Document retrieval services.

The counterfeit document was ran through numerous counterfeit and fraud detectionsoftwares such as Oculous, Inscribe, Signifyd. All three of those counterfeit and forgery programs found that Ameren Missouri submitted more than one counterfeit document, they submitted more than 15 counterfeit, forged, altered, edited, modified documents.

While I knew that the Commission wasn't going to approve my application, I fulfilled my job in what I was supposed to do. I got it out there to the public .

The media even ran a story on KSDK about utility disconnection and a certain bill.

My job was to ultimately keep my documents to where they were for the public to view and now the public has that view.

Ameren Missouri and more importantly the Commission, Commissioners, Staff of the Commission took it upon themselves to commit fraud. They committed fraud by capitalizing off the counterfeit document and they gained personally \$5, 023.27 from an individual as a result of those counterfeit documents.

The Office of the Public Counsel is more than welcome to use the documents I uploaded, along with the other inventors that have been granted to intervene in this matter. The public media is more than welcome to the documents as well.

I have nothing to hide, unlike the Commission and the Regulatory Judge want to do which is why they are trying to hide these documents.

Once again, I will openly invited the Commission, Ameren Missouri, the Regulatory Judge to an open conference call with the media and Ameren Missouri's prior software vendor who will openly confirm and address that Ameren Missouri utilized a counterfeit, forged, edited, altered and distributed a false , counterfeit and forged payment agreement for financial gain of \$5,023.27.

As I said before, this is my story and I'm finishing the story. If you want the real truth and real story take a ganders look at the documents I've uploaded.

Who would have ever though that a State Office would have the audacity to allow a utility provider to utilize counterfeit documents for personal self and financial gain?

My story is about telling the truth. The real truth. The one the Commission is failing to do.

Brett Felber
8/15/2024