1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	Page 1
2	STATE OF MISSOURI	
3	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
4	LOCAL PUBLIC HEARING	
5	To the Matter of December	
6	In the Matter of Evergy) Missouri West, Inc., d/b/a)	
7	Evergy Missouri West's) Request for Authority to) File No. Implement a General Rate) ER-2024-0189	
8	Implement a General Rate) ER-2024-0189 Increase for Electric) Service.)	
9	Service.	
10		
11	TUESDAY, JULY 30, 2024 6:00 p.m.	
12	Icala Cummit North High Cabool	
13	Lee's Summit North High School Performing Arts Center 901 NE Douglas	
14	Lee's Summit, MO	
15	VOLUME 4	
16	VOLIOME 4	
17	KAROLIN WALKER, Presiding Regulatory Law Judge	
18	KAYLA HAHN, Chair	
19	GLEN KOLKMEYER, JOHN P. MITCHELL,	
20	JASON R. HOLSMAN,	
21	MADIA COLEMAN (remotely), COMMISSIONERS	
22	Poport od Pri	
23	Reported By: Jill A. Bleskey, RPR Illinois CSR #084-004430	
24	Missouri CCR #1467 Lexitas Legal Midwest	
25	1.800.280.3376	



Page 2 1 APPEARANCES 2 Appearing on behalf of Evergy: 3 Mr. Darrin Ives, Vice President Regulatory Affairs 4 Mr. Ron Klote, Senior Director Regulatory Affairs 5 Mr. Roger W. Steiner, Corporate Counsel **EVERGY** 6 1200 Main Street Kansas City, Missouri 64105 7 816.556.2314 roger.steiner@evergy.com 8 Appearing on behalf of Office of Public Counsel: 9 Mr. John Clizer 10 STATE OF MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE & INSURANCE 11 OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL Governor Office Building, Suite 650 12 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 13 573.751.5324 john.clizer@opc.mo.gov 14 Ms. Carolyn Kerr 15 STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STAFF 16 Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 17 573.522.9061 18 TESTIMONY BY: PAGE: 19 5 Gregg Ochoa 7 2.0 Michelle Arps Preston Smith 11 21 Chad Buck 15 19 Matt Roberds 2.2 Patricia Harms 2.0 23 Scott Howe 23 Justin Racette 24 David Northcraft 26 24 25



JUDGE WALKER: Welcome everyone. Let's go on the record. First, I would ask that everybody mute themselves unless you're speaking and that will cut down on the noise level and the potential audio feedback.

2.2

Today is July 30th, 2024. The Missouri Public Service Commission has set this time for a local public hearing for members of the public to have a chance to comment about Evergy Missouri West's generation rate increase application which is File Number ER-2024-0189.

The Commission regulates the rates charged by public utility companies in Missouri to ensure that those rates are just and reasonable. The Commission also regulates the quality of the service and the safety of the operations of public utilities. There are five commissioners, they are appointed by the Governor and confirmed by the senate. The commissioners employed a staff of engineers, accountants, attorneys, financial analysts, and other specialists in the field of utility regulation.

My name is Karolin Walker and I am the regulatory law judge that will preside over this hearing. With me today is Chair Kayla Hahn to my left, Commissioner Madia Coleman who is with us



1	remotely, Commissioner Jason Holsman who's at the end
2	of the table here, Commissioner Glen Kolkmeyer to my
3	left again, and Commissioner John Mitchell.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The commissioners have not made any decisions in this case and they cannot answer any questions today because they have to remain impartial until all the evidence has been presented. So right now we'll go through entries of appearance and then we'll start with Evergy Missouri West.

MR. STEINER: My name is Roger Steiner and I'm attorney for Evergy Missouri West. My contact information has been provided to the court reporter.

JUDGE WALKER: Commission staff.

MR. CLIZER: I believe she might be in the restroom.

JUDGE WALKER: We'll come back to her. The Office of the Public Counsel.

MR. CLIZER: John Clizer on behalf of the Missouri Office of the Public Counsel. My contact information is with the court reporter.

JUDGE WALKER: Okay. The process for this hearing will be to call the names that are listed on the sign up sheet in the order that they appear. When I call your name, go ahead and come to the podium. I'll place you under oath and ask you to

	state and sperr your name and then you can orrer your
2	comments to the Commission.
3	We would like for people to, as well as
4	identifying themselves, know that you can submit your
5	comments to the Commission on the website. If you
6	hear a comment that's been made you don't have to
7	make it again and usually limit comments to five
8	minutes.
9	So the first person to testify is Gregg,
10	it looks like Ochoa.
11	And I can't see so if you could go ahead
12	and state your name and spell it.
13	MR. OCHOA: Sure. My first name is Greg
14	G-R-E-G-G, last name is O-C-H-O-A.
15	JUDGE WALKER: Okay.
16	* * * *
17	GREGG OCHOA,
18	The witness, having been first duly sworn
19	upon his oath, testified as follows:
20	* * * *
21	JUDGE WALKER: You may proceed with your
22	comments.
23	MR. OCHOA: Thank you. May it please the
24	Commission. They've been saying that this rate case
25	is about recovery of costs, I don't have a problem



1	with that. But I just wanted to bring a service
2	reliability issue to your attention. I live in Lee's
3	Summit and the power at my house has gone out about
4	nine times this year, including three times this past
5	Sunday. One of the outages this year lasted for
6	28 hours, it was over Memorial Day weekend, May 25th
7	to May 26th. Since 2022 there have been 20 outages
8	at my home. Most of the time there were no weather
9	involved, no high wind, anything of that nature.
10	I contacted Evergy, received text messages
11	from them as the power outages would go out and come
12	back on and some of the explanation was wildlife,
13	debris, unknown, and equipment failure. So I
14	understand, you know, with a lot of modern technology
15	20 outages over that period of time seems a lot. So
16	again, I just wanted to bring this reliability issue
17	to your attention. I have contacted Evergy and have
18	the times and dates of the outages. If the
19	Commission would like that, I would submit that as an
20	exhibit.
21	JUDGE WALKER: Thank you.
22	MR. OCHOA: Should I give that to the
23	court reporter?
24	JUDGE WALKER: Sure.
25	MR. OCHOA: And that's all I have. Thank

Τ	you very much for your time.
2	JUDGE WALKER: Thank you for your
3	comments. Michelle Arps. Can you state and spell
4	your name for the record, please.
5	MS. ARPS: Absolutely. It's Michelle,
6	M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E, last name is Arps, A-R, P as in
7	Paul, S as in Sam.
8	* * * *
9	MICHELLE ARPS,
10	The witness, having been first duly sworn
11	upon her oath, testified as follows:
12	* * * *
13	JUDGE WALKER: Please begin.
14	MS. ARPS: Yes. Thank you. So I have a
15	list that I submitted to the Public Service
16	Commission. I've still yet to hear back on any of
17	those. But the first one that I have, the first
18	email and I will limit this. The first one is
19	there's no meter number that is present on your bill,
20	in their app, or on their full website any longer
21	since the time of use came into effect. So there's
22	no meter number, there's no previous read for your
23	bill and no last read for your bill on the billings.
24	Okay. That's the first thing I have.
25	The second thing I have is that their



quarterly report that they have of public record on Business Wire, you know, was stating 13.42 percent plus a .57 rate increase of fuel starting in 2025 for the Evergy Metro West service.

2.2

January 9th when they changed their rates. And then also we had additional increases per that same Business Wire. But transparency seems to be a concern. Per Business Wire 2-3 of '24 their bar chart shows previous rate increases for Evergy Metro West of 7.6 percent. The chart is very hard to read and if you do not reference the note, Number 4, you will miss it, it's very -- it's down way far in the documentation there. But they were trying to put that out there that there hasn't been any rate increases since 2017. Okay.

Also, another concern I have. I know that a lot of this rate increase has to do with going away from coal and going to the gas fired plants.

However, we -- the Sibley plant closed in 2018,

Montrose closed in 2018. Lake Road decreased their capacity in two -- you know, 2020. So we're asking -- you know, they're asking for, you know, rate increase for gas fired plants but this is only after they've taken away the majority of what at least

feeds our peak in relation to, you know, the coal.

So in that -- those seem to be what just feeds this area, the Evergy Metro West.

2.2

So my biggest question on that is is why would we allow knowing -- you know, it's public knowledge that everybody knows that -- and even the government talks about it on the federal level that, you know, the aging infrastructure. And we understand that as customers. However, the concern I have is we get rid of our source of power and then we come back and demand a rate increase so we can do something different. So that's a concern.

And, you know, up until this meeting there wasn't, you know, a Senate Bill 757 that was out there to stop this very thing of closing your source of power whether it's -- it doesn't say what source, doesn't necessarily say coal, but any source of generation then that needs to be -- you know, they need to show due diligence that they have another source before they decommission our sources of power. 'Cause right now we're not even getting our power from this region, you know, our peak is supposedly coming from Mississippi, okay. So that's a concern.

But also we wonder, as a customer, how it could be that -- this is hard to say. But as a



```
1
     customer how can it be that if you're going try and
 2
    be energy efficient, and we've gotten more energy
 3
     efficient, we're trying to become more energy
     efficient but then whenever we become more energy
 4
 5
     efficient and we lower the amount of our usage then
 6
     the rates get increased because they need to get a
 7
              So that seems like a counterproductive
    profit.
 8
                 You know, you use less and so they make
 9
     less so they have to increase their rates, okay.
10
     that's part of it.
11
                And then another thing, you know, if you
12
     go solar with Evergy -- you know, you can read that
13
     12 page document online. If you go solar with them
14
     you give up your energy credits to them.
                                                So then
15
     they get to use that then as bargaining to get the
16
     things that they want and need. So that's a concern.
17
                            It's time.
                MODERATOR:
18
                           It's time?
                                        Okay.
                                               Thank you.
                MS. ARPS:
19
                JUDGE WALKER:
                               Thank you for your
20
     comments.
21
                MS. ARPS:
                           Appreciate your consideration.
2.2
                JUDGE WALKER:
                              Marvin Rollinson.
                                                   Preston
23
     Smith.
24
                                         I'm Preston Smith.
                            Thank you.
                MR. SMITH:
25
     That's P-R-E-S-T-O-N, S-M-I-T-H, I'm from Blue
```



1	Springs.						Paç
2		*	*	*	*	*	
3			PRES'	ION S	MITH,		

The witness, having been first duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows:

* * * *

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JUDGE WALKER: You may begin.

MR. SMITH: Okay. In this rate increase Evergy says that they have nothing to do with solar and wind power, nothing with renewable energy But my contention is that you cannot sources. separate this -- the company or the resources that easily because simply because they are having a shortfall in the solar and energy production that's why in this rate increase they're asking to go out and purchase a natural gas factory -- a plant in Pleasant Hill. There's a shortfall. Also it's because that -- there are so many different aspects of this company that I think that you can't just have a standalone product to separate themselves.

So I'd like to talk briefly about the Evergy's overall goals. And it says from the press release from April of 2021 their goal is to reduce carbon emissions by 70 percent by 2030 and achieve net zero carbon emissions by 2045. There was no



evidence that this ever appeared in any company document or integrate a source plan to the Public Service Commission before 2021. Out of their \$11.6 billion new capital investments they have in the company they're talking \$2.1 billion there's going to be primary renewable sources.

2.2

If you look at their other aspects of their business, Evergy has not done well in this renewable area. For example, in western Oklahoma the Persimmon Creek Wind Farm, 17,000 wind turbines purchased for \$250 million and a 20 year payback of at most \$191 million, 61 -- \$60 million shortfall.

And I believe it's the shortfall is what is going to be on the backs of customers like me and people that live in this area because we're having to make up that difference.

And if you look a little bit closer, it's only been in the last few years that Evergy's taken this approach. For example, just in the last couple of years they went to a group out in Palo Alto, California called the Electric Power Research Institute -- and this is from their investor documents that they have on their own website from Evergy. And the group that they went to, the name of the seminar was Understanding Climate Scenarios and



Goal Setting Activities. And you know what, perhaps
they were able to set these goals of what they had
wanted to achieve in this net zero carbon emission.
And during the Q&A I asked them directly twice if
given the choice between effective and cheap carbon
based fuels versus the renewable fuels which one
would you choose and I couldn't get a straight
answer.

2.2

So I would ask the Commission perhaps you can get a straight answer from them because I think that's really the guts of what we're talking about. Because we're talking about one rate increase here tonight. At the rate they're going and when they close a coal plant that produces 4.5 megawatts they close that one, along with all the other coal plants that shut down, it's not going to be the last rate increase that we're going to talk about because that is the trend of where this company is headed.

And so I'm just telling you the research that I've seen the kind of rate increases they're talking about here for us is really unprecedented around this country. I saw one rate increase in California, around 25 percent, another one in Oregon, Portland, I think it was about 20 percent. But for the Midwest, my goodness, I think the next highest



largest -- the next largest increase that I could find was in Wisconsin at about five percent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Now they say, gee, it's been a long time since we've had a rate increase. Well, no, we've been pelted just a little bit at a time and this is the first time we've had this formal hearing. So here again, I fully dispute the total overall percentages they're talking about tonight because I think we've had other increases that we haven't been able to pinpoint.

MODERATOR: You have one minute.

My last thing I All right. MR. SMITH: wanted to say that it looks like from the estimates based on when they shut the coal plant there's going to be a short term capacity gap because there are some needs here locally but they're going to be not only buying from elsewhere but their total renewable fuel from wind and solar over the next 20 years they're saying over five megawatts. So this is a disturbing trend it's -- I think it's a bad policy and it's also making us pay a whole lot more for something that is just outrageously expensive when we had cheaper alternatives in the past. So essentially they've gone woke and they're making us broke. Thank you.

Τ	JUDGE WALKER: Thank you for your
2	comments. Now I would like to give the Staff of the
3	Public Service Commission another opportunity to
4	enter their appearance for the record.
5	MS. KERR: Thank you. My name's Carolyn
6	Kerr, I'm attorney for Staff Public Service
7	Commission.
8	JUDGE WALKER: Thank you, Ms. Kerr. Chad
9	Buck.
10	MR. BUCK: My name is Chad Buck, B-U-C-K.
11	* * * *
12	CHAD BUCK,
13	The witness, having been first duly sworn
14	upon his oath, testified as follows:
15	* * * * *
16	JUDGE WALKER: Please proceed.
17	MR. BUCK: Thank you for your time
18	tonight, Commission, and for the opportunity to
19	appear before you. Earlier I spoke and attended
20	tonight not thinking I would speak tonight. But I
21	came tonight asking a question of in a previous
22	Q&A session about the to Evergy about the demand
23	loads associated with the hyperscale data centers
24	that fall within the Missouri West district. Much of
25	this tax or much of this increase and one of the

questions that was asked was how much of that load in usage is forecasted for these type of companies. These are multi-billion dollar companies that are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

looking to expand.

Because of the need for AI data centers are a growing industry and they're coming to Missouri and Kansas region, and the Midwest, coming from the lakes of Loudoun County, Virginia. And I would encourage you guys to take a look at what's gone on in the power sources of Loudoun County, Virginia and some of the concerns that those citizens have had as it related to the invasion of data centers into our community.

While they're necessary -- they're necessary in our current lifestyle and our future lifestyle that we operate in with AI and the need for data storage the thing I ask of this commission is that they take time and consider about the property owners and the citizens and the people that live in the rural portions of Missouri. That they consider that the power lines and the infrastructure and the wind and solar that is being placed as a need to power these facilities has done so in consideration of people that have staked their whole lives in many of these small rural communities that are now looking

to be faced with eminent domain or a large power pole placed right in the middle of their front yard or their farmland where they've raised their families and attempted to leave a legacy and a family for their children are at stake.

2.2

The need for additional power has come,

Evergy has asked -- or has said that. They're here
today asking to approve a rate increase because of
their purchase of a Dogwood gas facility in Pleasant
Hill, Missouri in Cass County.

In addition to those expenditures the moneys that are needed for future growth may be those required for a single user, the Googles of the world, the Metas of the world and the data center providers and we ask that that be done in transparency. I live in an area where my parents live right behind -- within 50 feet of where a hyperscale data center is being proposed to be placed without any setbacks.

Because of the loose zoning laws that you'll find in many of these small jurisdictions Evergy and the state has -- I'll just say, the power and the solar and wind have massive amounts of money with attorneys to go into these small communities and to take liberties with their commissions and their citizenry.

And I ask that the Commission would place

a moratorium on power needs for future data center growths until there can be such a time and a comprehensive plan to look how data centers fit within our identity of our society.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Much like the landfill measure which took up just recently in the State of Missouri which we were a part of that decision required that now landfills be within -- not be within one mile of the residential or city center. That was a smart legislative move. Data centers are much like that. Most people think data centers are harmless but quite honestly they're not, the research is out there. we ask that you guys take the time and understand it's not about this one source of entity, it's about all the power, infrastructure, the peaking stations, the substations and additional things that will come to feed these behemoths of power. The requests of power -- the request for power is likening of one gigawatt of power, that's 750 homes of power. a lot of power that's going to be deployed in a very small set of assets and are they paying their fair share. These billion dollar companies are asking for us, the citizens of Missouri, to pay for these at cost and our property rights and our quality of life Thank you for your time. being protected.



Dogo 10

1	Page 1 JUDGE WALKER: Thank you. I want to see
2	if Marvin Rollinson is here. No. All right. Is
3	there anyone else who'd like to offer testimony
4	today? Come to the podium, please.
5	MR. ROBERDS: Good evening. My name is
6	Matt, M-A-T-T, Roberds, R-O-B-E-R, D like David, S.
7	* * * *
8	MATT ROBERDS,
9	The witness, having been first duly sworn
10	upon his oath, testified as follows:
11	* * * *
12	JUDGE WALKER: You may proceed.
13	MR. ROBERDS: I'm Matt Roberds, I am an
14	Evergy customer in Blue Springs. My concern tonight
15	is related to this rate increase is with
16	reliability. I've had a couple of outages earlier
17	this year, one of them was in the middle of April,
18	one of them was it was a little later on, it was
19	end of May, first of June and, you know, I'm used to
20	short outages when we have a storm or something the
21	power goes out for an hour, that's not that big of
22	deal. These two outages were eight plus hours each,
23	they involved pretty much all of my subdivision, 150
24	plus homes. The power did come back on but, you

25

I had to -- and I didn't, you know, have any --

Τ	suffer a loss. I had to go buy some ice, put that in
2	the freezer, things like that.
3	But as it relates to the rate increase I
4	would hope that Evergy would be able to show more
5	service reliability in order to get their rate
6	increase approved. You know, don't keep me in the
7	dark for a long time and then ask for money, you
8	know, fix the reliability first and then ask for
9	money after that. So that's the only consideration I
10	have. Thank you.
11	JUDGE WALKER: Thank you. I don't see
12	anyone else. Is there a hand?
13	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: Yes, there is.
14	JUDGE WALKER: Come up.
15	MS. HARMS: Good evening. I'm Patricia
16	Harms, H-A-R-M-S. And I'm speaking to you this
17	evening
18	JUDGE WALKER: Let me swear you in before
19	you talk.
20	MS. HARMS: I'm sorry.
21	* * * *
22	PATRICIA HARMS,
23	The witness, having been first duly sworn
24	upon her oath, testified as follows:
25	* * * *

JUDGE WALKER: You may proceed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. HARMS: Thank you. I'm speaking tonight as a residential customer for, golly -- well, all my life, 60 plus years of KCPL and various subsets of purchases and merged corporations, My concern with this most recent rate increase, and from the handout that was provided tonight, is that there seems to be confusion within Evergy on how many rate increases they've actually had because as a homeowner I can tell you that I have reduced the overall usage of my residence over the past 10 years by 20 to 25 percent yet the bill keeps going up and there's not just one line item on there for charge it is customer charge, this rate group, this rate group, this rate group, this RES RAM something, this other category. Now there's a recovery fee for the winter storm of February whenever it was that I didn't use additional electricity because my power was out, surprise.

So my concern is that when they're asking for another rate increase it's not well documented what exactly is the reason. We're buying natural gas facilities to do what? I thought the goal was we're supposed to be reducing usage of fossil fuels and then they talk about well, no, this doesn't have



1	anything to do with fossil fuels. Well, yes, it
2	does.
3	The communications coming from Evergy have
4	been confusing to say the least. Over the past
5	minimum 10 years for every three months there's a
6	rate increase. We've been granted an increase in
7	this little junk fee, this little junk fee, this
8	little junk fee, and this little junk fee. Seriously
9	there are these 15 line items that comprise the
10	monthly bill now that's a fee for something. And
11	quite honestly I don't believe that Evergy has fully
12	figured out how to do a correct bill since the
13	rollout of time of use plans. That's all I have to
14	say.
15	JUDGE WALKER: Thank you. Is there anyone
16	else who would like to comment? All right. Seeing
17	none
18	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: There's somebody.
19	JUDGE WALKER: There's somebody coming?
20	I'm sorry. I can't see.
21	COMMISSIONER HOLSMAN: You're staring in
22	the lights.
23	MR. HOWE: Good evening. My name is Scott
24	Howe, S-C-O-T-T, H-O-W-E. Did you need address or
25	just a resident?



1	JUDGE WALKER: Just your name is fine.
2	MR. HOWE: Okay.
3	JUDGE WALKER: Let me swear you in before
4	you start speaking, please.
5	MR. HOWE: Okay.
6	* * * *
7	SCOTT HOWE,
8	The witness, having been first duly sworn
9	upon his oath, testified as follows:
10	* * * *
11	JUDGE WALKER: Okay. Go ahead.
12	MR. HOWE: Good evening. Thank you for
13	your time. I've got basically two things that I'd
14	like to discuss or bring up. First, given that
15	Evergy, during the question and answer period earlier
16	this evening, they did state that with the new rate
17	plan that came out last summer, the time of use plan,
18	they haven't had enough time to evaluate the benefit
19	of that to them as far as whether or not that was
20	actually increasing their revenue or a break even.
21	They speculate or believe it will be kind of a break
22	even but they don't know.
23	So I would suggest or argue that given
24	that being the case, them saying they need more time

25

to analyze that, that it be analyzed before another

1	rate hike takes place because I know family of four,
2	kids getting home from school, getting home from work
3	between four and eight, impossible to not use
4	electricity. We're trying to do laundry, we're
5	trying to get ready to eat dinner, get ready for the
6	next day, kind of an impossible request to cut back
7	power usage during that time. So our bills have gone
8	up significantly.
9	And then my next piece would be in regards
LO	to the large scale data centers or other large scale
L1	corporate users such as Google. That the load letter
L2	be provided to the Commission and made public before
L3	any rate increases be allowed for that. And also,
L4	imminent domain not be allowed to be used for adding
L5	new transmission lines to provide power to large
L6	scale users such as the hyperscale data centers or
L7	even any single user. That's all I have. Thank you.
L8	JUDGE WALKER: Thank you. I'm going to
L9	ask again if there's anyone who would like to
20	comment. And I'm going to be more patient.
21	MR. RACETTE: My name's Justin Racette,
22	R-A-C-E-T-T-E.
23	* * * *
24	JUSTIN RACETTE,
25	The witness, having been first duly sworn

1	upon his oath, testified as follows:
2	* * * * *
3	JUDGE WALKER: You may proceed.
4	MR. RACETTE: I'll make it quick. Based
5	off of some of the information that Evergy laid out
6	and some of the information they have on their
7	website as well, they like to brag about going carbon
8	neutral, which just happens to coincide with the year
9	2030, I think we all know what the significance of
10	that is. They like to brag about ESG. It's well
11	known that ESG is not a money maker, it does not help
12	companies on the bottom line and they're going in the
13	renewable you know, wind energy, solar energy, I'm
14	fine with hydro. But these are not money makers,
15	these are bad, poor business decisions for the bottom
16	line and they should have to eat that cost instead of
17	making us pay for it. So just like I believe his
18	name was Preston said, they're going woke and they
19	need to eat it themselves.
20	JUDGE WALKER: Is there anyone else who
21	would like to comment?
22	MR. NORTHCRAFT: My name is David
23	Northcraft, N-O-R-T-H-C-R-A-F-T.
24	* * * * *
25	DAVID NORTHCRAFT,



The witness, having been first duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows:

2.2

* * * * *

MR. NORTHCRAFT: I have two comments, technical comments. I question the wisdom of a decision made some time ago that is reflected in this rate increase proposal of transmitting our electricity from 500 miles away. I think that that is -- was a bad decision. I think our sources of electricity need to be closer for no other reason than winter storms and/or these days terrorist attacks.

The other thing is I want -- I've been involved in the manufacture and sales of antiterrorist barrier systems in the past. We are vulnerable, our infrastructure is vulnerable to terrorist attack. I petition the Commission to insist upon a rate increase that they protect our infrastructure, the electrical infrastructure from possible terrorist and vandalism.

For example, some of the larger transformers in the world are not made in this country and it takes three to four years to make one of them and these people -- the malevolent, they know where they are and they can knock from Clarksdale --

1	Clarksdale, Mississippi to here is 500 miles.
2	There's got to be some high transmission, high
3	voltage lines between here and there.
4	The other thing last thing I want to
5	say is I would insist the Commission provide some
6	vehicle for investigation of newer design nuclear
7	power plants. For example, it's the physics is
8	beyond me but the Four M design to me seems pretty
9	feasible. It's an older design, was never used, but
LO	you can run up to a gigawatt in small amounts,
L1	there's very little waste when it's done. Like I
L2	said, the physics is beyond me but I think that needs
L3	to be investigated. Thank you.
L4	MR. CLIZER: Your Honor. Your Honor, may
L5	I pose a question?
L6	JUDGE WALKER: Pardon me? Sure.
L7	MR. CLIZER: Sir, really quick. You
L8	mentioned transmitting energy from 500 miles away.
L9	Can you specifically what you're referencing there?
20	MR. RACETTE: Well, my map app here says
21	from Clarksdale, Mississippi to Lee's Summit is
22	500 miles.
23	MR. CLIZER: Okay. Thank you.
24	JUDGE WALKER: Anyone else wishing to
25	comment? Okay. I'd like to thank all of the

participants today for your testimony. If you have
additional comments or there's someone who could not
be present that you know would like to comment, you
may make written comments by going to the Missouri
Public Service Commission's web page. If you have
questions of the Office of the Public Counsel go to
MOPCO at OPC dot Mo dot Gov.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I'd like to ask if the Commissioners have any questions or comments before we adjourn.

CHAIRMAN HAHN: I just want to thank those that came and participated in the public hearing I know that you have other things that you tonight. could do with your time in the evening whether that's spend time with your family, you know, work at your job or any other host of things. But without your feedback and comments we wouldn't have any other way of being alerted to your thoughts about the Company's And so I just appreciate your time and request. appreciate your comments and I look forward to learning more. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KOLKMEYER: I just want to echo the Chairman's comments and thank everyone for coming out and giving us their comments this evening. Thank you again.

COMMISSIONER MITCHELL: Not from me,



Public Hearing July 30, 2024

Page 29 Judge. All right. We will adjourn JUDGE WALKER: the hearing. Thank you everyone for coming. Good evening. (Hearing was concluded at 8:09 p.m.)

Page 30 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 STATE OF MISSOURI ss. 3 CITY OF KANSAS CITY 4 5 6 7 I, JILL A. BLESKEY, a Registered 8 Professional Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter 9 (IL), and Certified Court Reporter (MO), do hereby 10 certify that the foregoing proceeding was duly taken 11 by me to the best of my ability and thereafter 12 reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am 13 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any 14 of the parties to the action in which this hearing 15 was taken, and further that I am not a relative or 16 employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the 17 parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action. 18 19 ill a. Bleskey CSK RPR 2.0 21 Jill A. Bleskey, RPR, CSR, CCR 2.2 23 24 25



	Public	Hearing July 3	30, 2024Index: \$11.6begin
	28 6:6	Absolutely 7:5	approve 17:8
———		accountants 3:20	approved 20:6
\$11.6 12:4	3	achieve 11:24	April 11:23 19:17
\$191 12:12	30th 3:6	13:3	area 9:3 12:9,15
\$2.1 12:5		Activities 13:1	17:16
\$250 12:11	4	adding 24:14	argue 23:23
\$60 12:12	4 8:12	addition 17:11	Arps 7:3,5,6,9,14 10:18,21
1	4.5 13:14	additional 8:7 17:6 18:16 21:18 28:2	aspects 11:18
10 21:12 22:5	5	address 22:24	assets 18:21
12 10:13	50 17:17	adjourn 28:9 29:2	attack 26:17
13.42 8:2	500 26:8 27:1,18,	aging 9:8	attacks 26:12
15 22:9	22	ahead 4:24 5:11	attempted 17:4
150 19:23	57 8:3	23:11	attended 15:19
17,000 12:10	6	AI 16:5,16	attention 6:2,17
		alerted 28:17	attorney 4:11 15:6
2	60 21:4	allowed 24:13,14	attorneys 3:20
2-3 8:9	61 12:12	alternatives 14:23	17:22
20 6:7,15 12:11	7	Alto 12:20	audio 3:4
13:24 14:18 21:12		amount 10:5	В
2017 8:16	7.6 8:11	amounts 17:22 27:10	
2018 8:20,21	70 11:24	analysts 3:20	B-U-C-K 15:10
2020 8:22	750 18:19	analyze 23:25	back 4:16 6:12 7:16 9:11 19:24
2021 11:23 12:3	757 9:14	analyzed 23:25	24:6
2022 6:7	8	and/or 26:11	backs 12:14
2024 3:6		antiterrorist 26:15	bad 14:20 25:15
2025 8:3	8:09 29:5	app 7:20 27:20	26:9
2030 11:24 25:9	9	appearance 4:8	bar 8:9
2045 11:25		15:4	bargaining 10:15
24 8:9	9th 8:6	appeared 12:1	barrier 26:15
25 13:23 21:12		application 3:10	based 13:6 14:14 25:4
25th 6:6		appointed 3:17	basically 23:13
26th 6:7	A-R 7:6	approach 12:19	begin 7:13 11:7



behalf 4:18	carbon 11:24,25	closed 8:20,21	Company's 28:17
behemoths 18:17	13:3,5 25:7	closer 12:17 26:10	comprehensive
benefit 23:18	Carolyn 15:5	closing 9:15	18:3
big 19:21	case 4:5 5:24	coal 8:19 9:1,17	comprise 22:9
biggest 9:4	23:24	13:14,15 14:14	concern 8:9,17
bill 7:19,23 9:14	Cass 17:10	coincide 25:8	9:9,12,23 10:16 19:14 21:6,20
21:12 22:10,12	category 21:16	Coleman 3:25	concerns 16:11
billings 7:23	center 17:14,17 18:1,9	comment 3:9 5:6 22:16 24:20 25:21	concluded 29:5
billion 12:4,5 18:22	centers 15:23	27:25 28:3	confirmed 3:18
bills 24:7	16:5,12 18:3,10, 11 24:10,16	comments 5:2,5, 7,22 7:3 10:20	confusing 22:4
oit 12:17 14:5	Chad 15:8,10,12	15:2 26:4,5 28:2,	confusion 21:8
Blue 10:25 19:14	Chair 3:24	4,9,16,19,22,23	consideration 10:21 16:23 20:9
bottom 25:12,15	CHAIRMAN 28:10	commission 3:7, 12,15 4:13 5:2,5,	contact 4:11,19
orag 25:7,10	Chairman's 28:22	24 6:19 7:16 12:3	contacted 6:10,17
break 23:20,21	chance 3:9	13:9 15:3,7,18 16:17 17:25 24:12	contention 11:11
briefly 11:21	changed 8:6	26:17 27:5	corporate 24:11
bring 6:1,16 23:14	charge 21:14	Commission's	corporations 21:5
broke 14:24	charged 3:12	28:5	correct 22:12
Buck 15:9,10,12, 17	chart 8:10,11	Commissioner	cost 18:24 25:16
ousiness 8:2,8,9	cheap 13:5	3:25 4:1,2,3 20:13 22:18,21 28:21,25	costs 5:25
12:8 25:15	cheaper 14:23	commissioners	Counsel 4:17,19
ouy 20:1	children 17:5	3:17,19 4:4 28:8	28:6
buying 14:17	choice 13:5	commissions	counterproductive
21:22	choose 13:7	17:24	country 13:22
	citizenry 17:24	communications 22:3	26:23
California 12:21	citizens 16:11,19 18:23	communities 16:25 17:23	County 16:8,10 17:10
13:23	city 18:9	community 16:13	couple 12:19
call 4:22,24	Clarksdale 26:25	companies 3:13	19:16
called 12:21	27:1,21	16:2,3 18:22	court 4:12,20 6:23
capacity 8:22 14:15	Climate 12:25 Clizer 4:14,18	25:12	credits 10:14
_	27:14,17,23	company 11:12,19 12:1,5 13:18	Creek 12:10
capital 12:4	close 13:14,15	12.1,0 10.10	current 16:15



	Public	Hearing July 30	, 2024Index: customerf
customer 9:24	dispute 14:7	emissions 11:24,	expensive 14:22
10:1 19:14 21:3, 14	district 15:24	25	explanation 6:12
	disturbing 14:20	employed 3:19	
customers 9:9 12:14	document 10:13	encourage 16:9	F
cut 3:4 24:6	12:2	end 4:1 19:19	faced 17:1
	documentation	energy 10:2,3,4,14	facilities 16:23
D	8:14	11:10,14 25:13 27:18	21:23
dark 20:7	documented 21:21	engineers 3:19	facility 17:9
data 15:23 16:5,	documents 12:23	ensure 3:13	factory 11:16
12,17 17:14,17	Dogwood 17:9	enter 15:4	failure 6:13
18:1,3,10,11	dollar 16:3 18:22	entity 18:14	fair 18:21
24:10,16	domain 17:1 24:14	entries 4:8	fall 15:24
dates 6:18	dot 28:7	equipment 6:13	families 17:3
David 19:6 25:22, 25	due 9:19	ER-2024-0189	family 17:4 24:1
day 6:6 24:6		3:11	28:14
days 26:11	duly 5:18 7:10 11:4 15:13 19:9	ESG 25:10,11	Farm 12:10
deal 19:22	20:23 23:8 24:25	essentially 14:23	farmland 17:3
debris 6:13	26:1	estimates 14:13	feasible 27:9
decision 18:7	E	evaluate 23:18	February 21:17
26:6,9		evening 19:5	federal 9:7
decisions 4:5	earlier 15:19 19:16	20:15,17 22:23	fee 21:17 22:7,8,
25:15	23:15	23:12,16 28:13,23	10
decommission	easily 11:13	29:4	feed 18:17
9:20	eat 24:5 25:16,19	Evergy 3:9 4:9,11 6:10,17 8:4,5,10	feedback 3:5 28:16
decreased 8:21	echo 28:22	9:3 10:12 11:9	
demand 9:11 15:22	effect 7:21	12:8,24 15:22 17:7,20 19:14	feeds 9:1,2
	effective 13:5	20:4 21:9 22:3,11	feet 17:17
deployed 18:20	efficient 10:2,3,4,5	23:15 25:5	field 3:21
design 27:6,8,9	Electric 12:21	Evergy's 11:22	figured 22:12
difference 12:16	electrical 26:19	12:18	File 3:10
diligence 9:19	electricity 21:19	evidence 4:7 12:1	financial 3:20
dinner 24:5	24:4 26:8,10	exhibit 6:20	find 14:2 17:19
directly 13:4	email 7:18	expand 16:4	fine 23:1 25:14
discuss 23:14	eminent 17:1	expenditures 17:11	fired 8:19,24
	emission 13:3		



	r ublic	Hearing	July 30, 2024Index: fitjudg
fit 18:3	goodness 13:25	hike 24:1	increased 10:6
fix 20:8	Google 24:11	Hill 11:17 17:10	increases 8:7,10,
forecasted 16:2	Googles 17:13	Holsman 4:1	16 13:20 14:9 21:9 24:13
formal 14:6	Gov 28:7	20:13 22:18,21	increasing 23:20
forward 28:19	government 9:7	home 6:8 24:2	industry 16:6
fossil 21:24 22:1	Governor 3:18	homeowner 21:10	information 4:12,
freezer 20:2	granted 22:6	homes 18:19 19:24	20 25:5,6
front 17:2	Greg 5:13	honestly 18:12	infrastructure 9:8
fuel 8:3 14:18	Gregg 5:9,17	22:11	16:21 18:15
fuels 13:6 21:24	group 12:20,24	Honor 27:14	26:16,19 insist 26:18 27:5
22:1	21:14,15	hope 20:4	Institute 12:22
full 7:20	growing 16:6	host 28:15	
fully 14:7 22:11	growth 17:12	hour 19:21	integrate 12:2 invasion 16:12
future 16:15 17:12 18:1	growths 18:2	hours 6:6 19:22	investigated
10.1	guts 13:11	house 6:3	27:13
G	guys 16:9 18:13	Howe 22:23,24	investigation 27:6
G-R-E-G-G 5:14	Н	23:2,5,7,12	investments 12:4
gap 14:15	LI A D M C 20.40	hydro 25:14	investor 12:22
gas 8:19,24 11:16	H-A-R-M-S 20:16 H-O-W-E 22:24	hyperscale 15:23 17:17 24:16	involved 6:9 19:23
17:9 21:22			26:14
gee 14:3	Hahn 3:24 28:10	I	issue 6:2,16
generation 3:10	hand 20:12	ice 20:1	item 21:13
9:18	handout 21:7	identifying 5:4	items 22:9
gigawatt 18:19 27:10	hard 8:11 9:25	identity 18:4	J
give 6:22 10:14	harmless 18:11	imminent 24:14	
15:2	Harms 20:15,16, 20,22 21:2	impartial 4:6	January 8:6
giving 28:23	headed 13:18	impossible 24:3,6	Jason 4:1
Glen 4:2	hear 5:6 7:16	including 6:4	job 28:15
goal 11:23 13:1	hearing 3:8,24	increase 3:10 8:3,	John 4:3,18
21:23	4:22 14:6 28:11	5,18,24 9:11 10:9	judge 3:1,23 4:13,
goals 11:22 13:2	29:3,5	11:8,15 13:12,17,	16,21 5:15,21 6:21,24 7:2,13
golly 21:3	high 6:9 27:2	22 14:1,4 15:25 17:8 19:15 20:3,6	10:19,22 11:7
Good 19:5 20:15	highest 13:25	21:7,21 22:6 26:7,	15:1,8,16 19:1,12 20:11,14,18 21:1



	Public	Hearing J	luly 30, 2024Index: Julymute
22:15,19 23:1,3,	lasted 6:5	14:21 18:20	Metas 17:14
11 24:18 25:3,20 27:16,24 29:1,2	laundry 24:4	Loudoun 16:8,10	meter 7:19,22
July 3:6	law 3:23	lower 10:5	Metro 8:4,5,10 9:3
June 19:19	laws 17:19		Michelle 7:3,5,9
junk 22:7,8	learning 28:20	M	middle 17:2 19:17
jurisdictions	leave 17:4	M-A-T-T 19:6	Midwest 13:25
17:20	Lee's 6:2 27:21	M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E	16:7
Justin 24:21,24	left 3:25 4:3	7:6	mile 18:8
	legacy 17:4	made 4:4 5:6 24:12 26:6,22	miles 26:8 27:1, 18,22
K	legislative 18:10	Madia 3:25	million 12:11,12
Kansas 16:7	letter 24:11	majority 8:25	minimum 22:5
Karolin 3:22	level 3:4 9:7	make 5:7 10:8	minute 14:11
Kayla 3:24	liberties 17:24	12:15 25:4 26:23	minutes 5:8
KCPL 21:4	life 18:24 21:4	28:4	Mississippi 9:23
Kerr 15:5,6,8	lifestyle 16:15,16	maker 25:11	27:1,21
kids 24:2	lights 22:22	makers 25:14	Missouri 3:6,9,13
kind 13:20 23:21	likening 18:18	making 14:21,24 25:17	4:9,11,19 15:24 16:6,20 17:10
24:6	limit 5:7 7:18	malevolent 26:24	18:6,23 28:4
knock 26:25	lines 16:21 24:15	manufacture	Mitchell 4:3 28:25
knowing 9:5	27:3	26:14	Mo 28:7
knowledge 9:6	list 7:15	map 27:20	MODERATOR
Kolkmeyer 4:2 28:21	listed 4:22	Marvin 10:22 19:2	10:17 14:11
20.21	16:19 17:15,16	massive 17:22	modern 6:14
L	lives 16:24	Matt 19:6,8,13	money 17:22 20:7, 9 25:11,14
laid 25:5	load 16:1 24:11	measure 18:5	moneys 17:12
Lake 8:21	loads 15:23	meeting 9:13	monthly 22:10
lakes 16:8	local 3:8	megawatts 13:14 14:19	months 22:5
landfill 18:5	locally 14:16	members 3:8	Montrose 8:21
landfills 18:8	long 14:3 20:7	Memorial 6:6	MOPCO 28:7
large 17:1 24:10,	longer 7:20	mentioned 27:18	moratorium 18:1
15	loose 17:19	merged 21:5	move 18:10
larger 26:21	loss 20:1	messages 6:10	multi-billion 16:3
largest 14:1	lot 6:14,15 8:18		mute 3:3



		,	'
N	OPC 28:7	people 5:3 12:14 16:19,24 18:11	17,18,19,20 19:21,24 21:19
	operate 16:16	26:24	24:7,15 27:7
N-O-R-T-H-C-R-A- F-T 25:23	operations 3:16	percent 8:2,11	present 7:19 28:3
name's 15:5 24:21	opportunity 15:3,	11:24 13:23,24 14:2 21:12	presented 4:7
names 4:22	order 4:23 20:5	percentages 14:8	preside 3:23
natural 11:16	Oregon 13:23	period 6:15 23:15	press 11:22
21:22	outages 6:5,7,11,	Persimmon 12:10	Preston 10:22,24
nature 6:9	15,18 19:16,20,22	person 5:9	11:3 25:18
necessarily 9:17	outrageously	petition 26:17	pretty 19:23 27:8
needed 17:12	14:22	physics 27:7,12	previous 7:22 8:10 15:21
net 11:25 13:3	owners 16:19	piece 24:9	
neutral 25:8	P	piece 24.9 pinpoint 14:10	primary 12:6 problem 5:25
newer 27:6		place 4:25 17:25	problem 5:25
noise 3:4	P-R-E-S-T-O-N	24:1	15:16 19:12 21:1
Northcraft 25:22,	10:25	plan 12:2 18:3	25:3
23,25 26:4	p.m. 29:5	23:17	process 4:21
note 8:12	Palo 12:20	plans 22:13	produces 13:14
nuclear 27:6	Pardon 27:16	plant 8:20 11:16	product 11:20
number 3:11 7:19,	parents 17:16	13:14 14:14	production 11:14
22 8:12	part 10:10 18:7	plants 8:19,24 13:15 27:7	profit 10:7
0	participants 28:1 participated 28:11	Pleasant 11:17	property 16:18 18:24
O-C-H-O-A 5:14	past 6:4 14:23	podium 4:25 19:4	proposal 26:7
oath 4:25 5:19	21:12 22:4 26:15	pole 17:1	proposed 17:18
7:11 11:5 15:14 19:10 20:24 23:9	patient 24:20	policy 14:20	protect 26:18
25:1 26:2	Patricia 20:15,22	poor 25:15	protected 18:25
Ochoa 5:10,13,17,	Paul 7:7	portions 16:20	provide 24:15
23 6:22,25	pay 14:21 18:23 25:17	Portland 13:24	27:5
offer 5:1 19:3	payback 12:11	pose 27:15	provided 4:12 21:7 24:12
Office 4:17,19	paying 18:21	potential 3:4	providers 17:14
28:6	peak 9:1,22	power 6:3,11 9:10,	public 3:7,8,13,16
Oklahoma 12:9	peaking 18:15	16,20,21 11:10	4:17,19 7:15 8:1
older 27:9	pelted 14:5	12:21 16:10,21,23	9:5 12:2 15:3,6
online 10:13	poited 14.0	17:1,6,21 18:1,15,	24:12 28:5,6,11



·		<u> </u>	2024Index: purchasesol
purchase 11:16	reason 21:22	24:6 28:18	Scott 22:23 23:7
17:9	26:10	requests 18:17	seminar 12:25
purchased 12:11	reasonable 3:14	required 17:13	senate 3:18 9:14
purchases 21:5	received 6:10	18:7	separate 11:12,20
put 8:14 20:1	recent 21:6	RES 21:15	service 3:7,15 6:1
	recently 18:6	research 12:21	7:15 8:4 12:3
	record 3:2 7:4 8:1	13:19 18:12	15:3,6 20:5 28:5
Q&a 13:4 15:22	15:4	residence 21:11	session 15:22
quality 3:15 18:24	recovery 5:25 21:17	resident 22:25	set 3:7 13:2 18:21
quarterly 8:1	reduce 11:23	residential 18:9 21:3	setbacks 17:18
question 9:4	reduced 21:11	resources 11:12	Setting 13:1
15:21 23:15 26:5		restroom 4:15	share 18:22
27:15	reducing 21:24 reference 8:12	revenue 23:20	sheet 4:23
questions 4:6 16:1 28:6,9			short 14:15 19:20
quick 25:4 27:17	referencing 27:19	rid 9:10	shortfall 11:14,17
quio k 20.127.17	reflected 26:6	rights 18:24	12:12,13
R	region 9:22 16:7	Road 8:21	show 9:19 20:4
	regulates 3:12,15	Roberds 19:5,6,8,	shows 8:10
R-A-C-E-T-T-E 24:22	regulation 3:21	Roger 4:10	shut 13:16 14:14
R-O-B-E-R 19:6	regulatory 3:23		Sibley 8:20
Racette 24:21,24	related 16:12	Rollinson 10:22 19:2	sign 4:23
25:4 27:20	19:15	rollout 22:13	significance 25:9
raised 17:3	relates 20:3	run 27:10	significantly 24:8
RAM 21:15	relation 9:1	rural 16:20,25	simply 11:13
rate 3:10 5:24 8:3,	release 11:23	10.20,20	single 17:13 24:17
10,15,18,23 9:11	reliability 6:2,16 19:16 20:5,8	S	Sir 27:17
11:8,15 13:12,13, 16,20,22 14:4	remain 4:6	S-C-O-T-T 22:24	situation 10:8
17:8 19:15 20:3,5	remotely 4:1	S-M-I-T-H 10:25	small 16:25 17:20,
21:6,9,14,15,21	renewable 11:10		23 18:21 27:10
22:6 23:16 24:1, 13 26:7,18	12:6,9 13:6 14:17	safety 3:16	smart 18:9
rates 3:12,14 8:6	25:13	sales 26:14	Smith 10:23,24
10:6,9	report 8:1	Sam 7:7	11:3,8 14:12
read 7:22,23 8:11	reporter 4:12,20	scale 24:10,16	society 18:4
	6:23	Scenarios 12:25	solar 10:12,13
10:12		school 24:2	11:9,14 14:18



26:2

testify 5:9

20:24 23:9 25:1

source 9:10,15,16, 17,20 12:2 18:14
sources 9:20 11:11 12:6 16:10 26:9
speak 15:20
speaking 3:3 20:16 21:2 23:4
specialists 3:21
specifically 27:19
speculate 23:21
spell 5:1,12 7:3
spend 28:14
spoke 15:19
Springs 11:1 19:14
staff 3:19 4:13 15:2,6
stake 17:5
staked 16:24
standalone 11:20
staring 22:21
start 4:9 23:4
starting 8:3
state 5:1,12 7:3 17:21 18:6 23:16
stating 8:2
stations 18:15
Steiner 4:10
stop 9:15
storage 16:17
storm 19:20 21:17
storms 26:11
straight 13:7,10
subdivision 19:23

Publi
submit 5:4 6:19
submitted 7:15
subsets 21:5
substations 18:16
suffer 20:1
suggest 23:23
summer 23:17
Summit 6:3 27:21
Sunday 6:5
supposed 21:24
supposedly 9:22
surprise 21:19
swear 20:18 23:3
sworn 5:18 7:10 11:4 15:13 19:9 20:23 23:8 24:25 26:1
systems 26:15

systems 26:15
Т
table 4:2
takes 24:1 26:23
talk 11:21 13:17 20:19 21:25
talking 12:5 13:11 12,21 14:8
talks 9:7
tax 15:25
technical 26:5
technology 6:14
telling 13:19
term 14:15
terrorist 26:11,17,
testified 5:19 7:11 11:5 15:14 19:10

testimony 19:3 28:1
text 6:10
thing 7:24,25 9:15 10:11 14:12 16:17 26:13 27:4
things 10:16 18:16 20:2 23:13 28:12, 15
thinking 15:20
thought 21:23
thoughts 28:17
time 3:7 6:8,15 7:1,21 10:17,18 14:3,5,6 15:17 16:18 18:2,13,25 20:7 22:13 23:13, 17,18,24 24:7 26:6 28:13,14,18
times 6:4,18
today 3:6,24 4:6 17:8 19:4 28:1
tonight 13:13 14:8 15:18,20,21 19:14 21:3,8 28:12
total 14:7,17
transformers 26:22
transmission 24:15 27:2
transmitting 26:7 27:18
transparency 8:8 17:15
trend 13:18 14:20
turbines 12:10

type 16:2 U understand 6:14 9:9 18:13 **Understanding** 12:25 unknown 6:13 unprecedented 13:21 usage 10:5 16:2 21:11,24 24:7 user 17:13 24:17 users 24:11,16 utilities 3:16 utility 3:13,21 V vandalism 26:20 vehicle 27:6 versus 13:6 **Virginia** 16:8,10 voltage 27:3 vulnerable 26:16 W **Walker** 3:1,22 4:13,16,21 5:15, 21 6:21,24 7:2,13 10:19,22 11:7

15:1,8,16 19:1,12 20:11,14,18 21:1 22:15,19 23:1,3, 11 24:18 25:3,20 27:16,24 29:2 **wanted** 6:1,16 13:3 14:13



waste 27:11 weather 6:8 **web** 28:5 **website** 5:5 7:20 12:23 25:7 weekend 6:6 West 4:9,11 8:4,5, 11 9:3 15:24 **West's 3:9** western 12:9 who'd 19:3 wildlife 6:12 wind 6:9 11:10 12:10 14:18 16:22 17:22 25:13 winter 21:17 26:11 Wire 8:2,8,9 Wisconsin 14:2 wisdom 26:5 wishing 27:24 woke 14:24 25:18 work 24:2 28:14 world 17:13,14 26:22 written 28:4 Υ yard 17:2 year 6:4,5 12:11 19:17 25:8 years 12:18,20 14:18 21:4,12 22:5 26:23 Ζ **zoning** 17:19

