Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Depreciation
Witness: Amanda Coffer

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.: GR-2024-0106
Date Testimony Prepared: August 22, 2024

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

INDUSTRY ANALYIS DIVISION

ENGINEERING ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

AMANDA COFFER

LIBERTY UTILITIES (Midstates Natural Gas) CORP., d/b/a Liberty

CASE NO. GR-2024-0106

Jefferson City, Missouri August 22, 2024

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
3	AMANDA COFFER
4	LIBERTY UTILITIES (Midstates Natural Gas) CORP.,
5	d/b/a Liberty
6	CASE NO. GR-2024-0106
7	DEPRECIATION STUDY1
8	CONCLUSION4

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		AMANDA COFFER
4		LIBERTY UTILITIES (Midstates Natural Gas) CORP.,
5		d/b/a Liberty
6		CASE NO. GR-2024-0106
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	A.	My name is Amanda Coffer. My business address is 200 Madison Street,
9	Jefferson Cit	y, Missouri 65101.
10	Q.	Are you the same Amanda Coffer that filed Direct Testimony in this case?
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
13	A.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to update Staff's recommended
14	depreciation	rate schedule.
15	DEPRECIA	TION STUDY
16	Q.	Why is Staff changing its recommended depreciation rates in rebuttal?
17	A.	As previously stated in my direct testimony, Staff was unable to perform a
18	depreciation	study at the time due to technical difficulties with its depreciation software. These
19	issues have si	nce been resolved and Staff was able to move forward with its depreciation study.
20	Q.	Did Staff conduct its own depreciation study?
21	A.	Yes. Staff conducted its own depreciation study for the capital assets of Liberty
22	Utilities (Mic	dstates Natural Gas) d/b/a Liberty ("Liberty Midstates") using the straight-line
	II .	

method¹, broad group-average life procedure², and whole life technique³. For each account examined, Staff estimated the average service life and net salvage rate. Staff's recommendation is informed by statistical analysis of plant retirements as described below. Staff also relied on its experience and judgment to prepare recommended rates. Staff used available data from the Company's depreciation study and workpapers, along with the Company's responses to Data Requests to prepare estimates of service life and net salvage for each account.

Staff conducted statistical analysis of retirements using Powerplan depreciation analysis software to prepare survival curves for plant accounts. Survival curves describe the amount of plant in an account, expressed as a percent that is still in service, at various ages. For an account in which all plant is retired, the average service life can be calculated as the area under the curve. Because there is surviving plant in these accounts, the curves produced are partial and are called stub curves.

In order to estimate average service life, Staff fitted an Iowa curve to the stub curve for each account. Iowa curves are model curves widely used among depreciation experts as depictions of the life characteristics of utility plant. Staff also used the Powerplan software to assist in mathematical and visual fitting of the stub curves to Iowa curves. Average service lives for these accounts were drawn from the fitted Iowa curves.

In addition, Staff determined the net salvage rates. This is the net salvage cost, including gross salvage and cost of removal, of retired plant for an account divided by the book cost of that plant.

¹ The straight-line method of depreciation calculates the depreciation rate as the cost less net salvage, divided by the useful service life in years. Net salvage is the difference between the amount received from the retirement of property and the cost of removal.

² In the broad group-average life procedure, all units of plant within a particular account or subaccount are considered to be one group. This procedure utilizes the average life of the broad group in its calculation.

³ Whole life technique means that the depreciation rate is based on the entire average service life of plant.

These estimates of average life and net salvage were used in Powerplan to calculate the depreciation rates. In addition to the analysis of statistics, Staff's recommended rates are informed by judgment and previous orders of the Commission. Staff's updated recommended depreciation rates are included as Schedule AC-r1.

Q. Please explain the differences between Staff's recommended depreciation rates and the Company's.

A. While Staff has utilized the whole-life depreciation method, the Company utilized the remaining life depreciation method, which calculates the depreciation rate based on the remaining life of plant rather than the average life. This difference in technique does not constitute a major discrepancy in how life and salvage parameters are determined, but rather how depreciation rates are calculated using those parameters. In addition to using the whole life method in this depreciation study, Staff has consistently used this method in its other depreciation studies, including the Company's last rate case, GR-2018-0013. Accounts without a probable retirement date can reasonably be assumed to remain in use over the economic life of the utility, with a continual cycle of retirement of plant from accounts, and acquisition of plant into the accounts. By using the remaining life for these accounts, new investments could accrue depreciation at a faster or slower rate than if the whole life method were used. This can lead to the accounts being over or under accrued and lead to more fluctuations in the calculated depreciation rates in the future⁴. Another major difference between the whole life method and remaining life method is that the reserve balances, which Staff has seen fluctuate greatly

⁴ See Case No. ER-2022-0129, Rebuttal Testimony of David T. Buttig, P.E., pg 2, lines 13-19.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

between the last rate case and this filing, are not used in calculating the depreciation rates when
 using the whole life method.⁵

Additionally, there were multiple accounts for which the Company utilized generic life curves due to insufficient data; for these accounts Staff is recommending the continued use of the previously ordered depreciation rates.

- Q. Can you provide an example of an account where Staff's recommended depreciation rate differs from the Company's?
- A. Yes. For example, in account 381, Meters, The Company requested the depreciation rate be changed from the previously ordered 4.82% to 7.65%. On analysis of this account Staff recommends that the depreciation rate should be set at 6.85%.

CONCLUSION

- Q. In conclusion, what are Staff's recommendations?
- A. Staff is recommending the use of the depreciation rates prepared by staff and attached in Schedule AC-r1.
- Q. Does this conclude your Rebuttal testimony?
- 16 A. Yes it does.

⁵ Account 381, Meters, had a balance of about \$556 k at the end of the last rate case and currently has a balance of -\$1.6 M before adjustments.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Request of Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty to Implement a General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service in the Missouri Service Areas of the Company) Case No. GR-2024-0106))
AFFIDAVIT OF A	AMANDA COFFER
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE)	
	on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and ag Rebuttal Testimony of Amanda Coffer; and that st knowledge and belief.
Al	Amarla Coffee
JU	(RAT
Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly co the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my of of August 2024.	nstituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for ffice in Jefferson City, on this day
D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025 Commission Number: 12412070	Dhuillankin otary Public

Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp.

Schedule of Depreciation Rates

GR-2024-0106

Account	GR-2024-0106 Plant	Depreciation	Average	Net Salvage
Number	Description	Rate	Service Life	Rate
366.00	Structures and Improvements	2.10%	50	-5%
	Structures and Improvements (T&D other	2.1070	30	370
366.10	structures)	2.10%	50	-5%
367.00	Mains - Transmission - Cathodic Protection	1.64%	61.05	0%
367.10	Mains - Transmission - Steel	1.44%	70	-25%
367.20	Mains - Transmission - Plastic	1.57%	70	-10%
369.00	Measuring & Regulating Station Equipment	1.91%	52	0%
370.00	Communication Equipment	4.35%	23	0%
375.00	Structures and Improvements	2.22%	45	0%
376.00	Mains - Distribution - Cathodic Protection	1.97%	68	-34%
376.10	Mains - Distribution - Steel	1.97%	68	-34%
376.20	Mains - Distribution - Plastic	1.92%	64	-23%
	Measuring & Regulating Station Equipment-			
378.00	General	3.13%	44	-38%
379.00	Measuring & Regulating Station Equipment- City Gate	2.78%	45	-25%
380.00	Services	2.98%	45	-35%
381.00	Meters	6.85%	17	-26%
382.00	Meter Installations	5.40%	25	-35%
383.00	House Regulators	2.27%	44	0%
384.00	House Regulators Installations	2.27%	44	0%
385.00	Industrial Measuring & Regulating Station Equipment	2.83%	45	-28%
387.00	Other Equipment	4.55%	22	0%
390.00	Structures and Improvements	2.56%	39	0%
390.10	Structures and Improvements - Structure Frame	2.56%	39	0%
390.20	Structures and Improvements - General Improvements	0.00%	-	0%
390.30	Structures and Improvements - Improvements Leased Premises	2.56%	39	0%
391.00	Office Furniture and Equipment	4.55%	22	0%
392.00	Transportation Equipment	8.66%	10	10%
392.10	Transportation Equipment less than 12,000 lbs.	8.66%	10	10%
393.00	Stores Equipment	4.35%	23	0%
394.00	Tools, Shop, and Garage Equipment	5.56%	18	0%
395.00	Laboratory Equipment	3.57%	28	0%
396.00	Power Operated Equipment	6.83%	12	18%
396.10	Power Operated Equipment - Ditchers	6.83%	12	18%
396.20	Power Operated Equipment - Backhoes	6.83%	12	18%
396.30	Power Operated Equipment - Welders	0.00%	-	-
397.00	Communication Equipment	6.25%	16	0%
397.10	Communication Equipment - Mobile Radios	6.25%	16	0%
397.20	Communication Equipment - Fixed Radios	6.25%	16	0%
397.30	Communication Equipment - Telemetering	6.25%	16	0%
397.50	Communication Equipment	0.00%	-	-
398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	5.00%	20	0%
399.00	Other Tangible Property	4.76%	21	0%
399.30	Other Tangible Prop - Network - H/W	12.50%	8	0%
399.40	Other Tangible Prop - PC Hardware	14.29%	7	0%
399.50	Other Tangible Prop - Software	12.50%	8	0%