Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Incentive

Compensation, Employee Benefits,

Pensions & OPEBs

Witness: Jane C. Dhority

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.: GR-2024-0106

Date Testimony Prepared: August 22 2024

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FINANCIAL AND BUSINESS ANALYSIS DIVISION AUDITING DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JANE C. DHORITY

LIBERTY UTILITIES (Midstates Natural Gas) CORP., d/b/a Liberty

CASE NO. GR-2024-0106

Jefferson City, Missouri August 2024

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
3	JANE C. DHORITY
4	LIBERTY UTILITIES (Midstates Natural Gas) CORP.,
5	d/b/a Liberty
6	CASE NO. GR-2024-0106
7	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY1
8	INCENTIVE COMPENSATION1
9	ERROR CORRECTIONS3

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2		OF
3 4 5		JANE C. DHORITY LIBERTY UTILITIES (Midstates Natural Gas) CORP., d/b/a Liberty
6		CASE NO. GR-2024-0106
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	A.	My name is Jane C. Dhority and my business address is 111 North 7th Street,
9	Suite 105, St.	Louis, MO 63101.
10	Q.	Are you the same Jane C. Dhority who prepared and filed direct testimony in
11	this case?	
12	A.	Yes, I am.
13	EXECUTIVI	E SUMMARY
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
15	A.	The purpose of this testimony is to describe corrections made to Staff's direct
16	filing and to p	resent updates to Staff's direct position on incentive compensation based on more
17	complete Data	a Request responses recently submitted by Liberty Midstates.
18	INCENTIVE	COMPENSATION
19	Q.	Why is it necessary to update Staff's direct position on incentive compensation?
20	A.	Liberty failed to provide complete and timely answers to Staff's Data Requests
21	pertaining to	the issue. The lack of information prevented Staff from presenting its
22	case-in-chief	n direct testimony.
23	O.	What information was missing from Liberty's responses to these Data Requests?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Data Requests 0103 and 0103.1, attached as Confidential Schedule JCD-r1 and Schedule JCD-r2 respectively, asked for Liberty's incentive compensation information including payout amounts and all documentation relied upon to calculate compensation amounts for plan years 2017 through 2022 (paid out in years 2018 through 2023). The 2018 and 2019 payout amounts for short-term incentive compensation provided by the Company in response to Data Request 0103.1 lacked sufficient detail for Staff to address each plan payout Additionally, Liberty provided division scorecards but not central region separately. scorecards, even though both scorecards are utilized to calculate short-term incentive compensation.
- Q. How did the missing information affect Staff's adjustments for incentive compensation?
- A. Staff applied a calculated earnings-based compensation percentage to all short-term incentive compensation paid out in 2018 and 2019 to determine the amount of capitalized earnings-based incentive compensation to be adjusted for each year. In Staff's direct testimony, due to the absence of the central region scorecards, Staff calculated the percentage of incentive compensation tied to earnings based on division scorecard data only.
- Q. Has Staff now received all of the information needed to complete its incentive compensation adjustment?
- A. Yes. Liberty provided Staff with the requested central region scorecards and short-term compensation payout detail for 2018 and 2019.
 - Q. How has this updated information changed Staff's adjustments?
- A. As discussed in its direct testimony, Staff did not intend to adjust payouts to incentive compensation for union employees because it is part of a collective bargaining

Rebuttal Testimony of Jane C. Dhority agreement between the Company and the union¹. Now that Staff has been provided adequate 1 2 details regarding 2018 and 2019 short-term incentive payouts, Staff has amended those 3 adjustments such that no capitalized union incentive compensation is removed. 4 Staff also finalized its calculation of earnings-based incentive compensation payouts to 5 be removed from years 2018 through 2023. 6 Q. How does Staff's finalized incentive compensation adjustment differ from 7 Staff's direct position? 8 A. Incorporating the missing information into Staff's calculations results in a 9 decrease in the amounts of incentive compensation removed from expense, plant, and 10 depreciation reserve accounts. 11 **ERROR CORRECTIONS** Did Staff make any corrections to its direct filing? 12 Q. 13 Yes. A. Please list the corrections Staff made to its direct filing. 14 Q.

- A. Staff's error corrections are as follows:
 - Staff amended its adjustment to employee benefits to include the correct capitalization rate. The capitalization adjustment was further updated to only apply to Staff's adjustment for employee benefits, rather than Staff's total recommended amount.
 - Staff updated its adjustment to pensions to capitalize only the service cost portion of the 2023 net periodic pension benefit costs. The capitalization

16

17

18

19

20

21

¹ Jane C. Dhority Direct, pg. 8, ll. 10-17

adjustment was further updated to include the correct capitalization rates for
each pension plan, and the adjustments were switched from debits to credits.
Staff also made adjustments to include the prepaid pension and prepaid other
post-employment benefit assets erroneously excluded from rate base in Staff's
direct filing.
Staff updated its property tax adjustment to include invoices that were missing
in Staff's direct adjustment. The correction increases the amount of annualized
property tax expenses included in rates. The correction also increases Staff's
recommended base amount for Liberty's property tax tracker to \$2,344,449.

- Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Request of Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty to Implement a General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service in the Missouri Service Areas of the Company AFFIDAVIT OF JANE C. DHORITY	-0106
AFFIDAVII OF JANE C. DIIORITI	
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF ST LOUIS)	
COMES NOW JANE C. DHORITY and on her oath declares that she is o	of sound mind and
lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony of Jane C.	
	Dhor uy, and mat
the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.	
Further the Affiant sayeth not. JANE C. DHORITY	
JURAT	
Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary	Public, in and for
the County of St. Lovis, State of Missouri, at my office in St. Lo	
	, 011
this day of August 2024.	
LISA M. FERGUSON Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for St. Louis County My Commission Expires: June 23, 2028 Commission Number: 16631502	

Case No. GR-2024-0106

SCHEDULE JCD-r1

HAS BEEN DEEMED

CONFIDENTIAL

IN ITS ENTIRETY

Case No. GR-2024-0106

SCHEDULE JCD-r2

HAS BEEN DEEMED

CONFIDENTIAL

IN ITS ENTIRETY