Exhibit No.:

Issues: SFAS 106

Pension Tracker

Mechanism

Witness:

John A. Davis

Sponsoring Party:

Missouri Gas Energy

Case No.:

GR-2009-0355

Date Testimony Prepared:

September 28, 2009

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MISSOURI GAS ENERGY

CASE NO. GR-2009-0355

FILED²

NOV 0 9 2009

Missouri Public Service Commission

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JOHN A. DAVIS

Jefferson City, Missouri

September 2009

Exhibit No.

Date 10 - 26 - 09 Rptr 49

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

JOHN A. DAVIS

CASE NO. GR-2009-0355

SEPTEMBER 2009

INDEX TO TESTIMONY

		Number
1.	SFAS 106/OPEBS ISSUE	2
2.	PENSION EXPENSE	4

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

JOHN A. DAVIS

CASE NO. GR-2009-0355

September 2009

1	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS?	
2	A.	My name is John A. Davis, and my business address is 3420 Broadway, Kansas City,	
3		Missouri 64111.	
4			
5	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?	
6	A.	I am the Vice President, Controller of Missouri Gas Energy ("MGE" or "Company"), a	
7		division of Southern Union Company.	
8			
9	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND.	
10	A.	I received a BBA in 1987 with concentrations in both Finance and Accounting from The	
11		University of Texas at Austin. I also received an MBA from the University of Texas at	
12		Austin in December 2003.	
13			
14	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND.	
15	A.	I began my career with Arthur Andersen as a staff auditor in 1988 in Houston, Texas. In	
16		1991 I received my certification as a Certified Public Accountant. In 1992 I left Arthur	
17		Andersen as an experienced senior auditor and moved to Austin to work for Southern	
18		Union as a corporate accountant. I worked for Southern Union in various capacities	

including financial reporting, gas accounting, customer billing and general ledger accounting and finally as controller for the Southern Union Gas division in Austin, Texas until it was sold in 2002. In 2003 I was controller of Energy Worx, a subsidiary of Southern Union Company until I accepted the controller position at Missouri Gas Energy, a division of Southern Union Company.

A.

7 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS TESTIMONY?

I will respond to portions of the Staff Report- Cost of Service regarding the Company's treatment of Other Post-Employment Benefits ("OPEBs") under the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 106 ("SFAS106") as well as Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC") witness Ted Robertson's direct testimony on this issue. I will also respond to Staff's comments on MGE's pre-paid pension asset and pension tracker language from the Staff Report – Cost of Service, which begins on p. 56.

SFAS 106/OPEBS ISSUE

- 17 Q. HOW DO YOU ADDRESS TESTIMONY THAT THE COMPANY FAILED TO
 18 PROPERLY FUND ITS EXTERNAL TRUST FUND MECHANISMS FOR OPEBS
 19 CALCULATED ACCORDING TO SFAS 106 (PAGES 6 AND 94 OF THE STAFF
 20 REPORT AND PAGE 3 OF OPC WITNESS ROBERTSON'S DIRECT
 21 TESTIMONY)?
- A. First, I have been advised by counsel that contrary to the assertions of the Staff and OPC witness Robertson the Missouri statute on the topic of SFAS106, as applicable to MGE, does not require any particular funding level.

Second, the Company recognized the proper amounts pertaining to SFAS106 related obligations on its books according to the actuarial reports provided by the Company's actuary. In other words, the Company has consistently booked a liability for each dollar that is owed to the Trust Fund in accordance with SFAS 106. The basis for the actuarial analysis is described in the testimony and attached schedules sponsored by MGE witness Michael Muth. The expense and liability of OPEBs have been - and continue to be presented in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") and are subjected to external audit each year by the Company's outside auditors, Pricewaterhouse Coopers. Additionally, OPEBs are funded to the external trust fund mechanism as these benefits are required to be paid regardless of what the level of rate relief might be received.

Third, although MGE has not funded the full extent of its SFAS 106 liability, it is not at all clear how much the Company has received in rates, which makes it difficult to compare that to the amount which was funded. In other words, in some rate cases the amount of SFAS 106 being included in rates is known, but in the case of GR-2001-292 which was a total "black box" settlement the money that MGE received from customers to pay for operating expenses has not been earmarked as to how much was intended to be applied toward OPEBs. Moreover, in most years, MGE's actual earnings fell short of its Commission-authorized level such that all of its costs, including SFAS 106 costs, were being under-recovered to some degree. It appears that both the Staff and OPC ignore this situation.

Q.	IS IT ACCURATE TO SUGGEST THAT THE COMPANY RECEIVED	
	EXACTLY \$23.7 MILLION IN RATES PERTAINING TO SFAS106 BENEFITS?	
A.	No. As stated previously in my rebuttal testimony, some rate cases are "black box"	
	settlements and a number is not attached to a particular expense or rate base item. In the	
	most recent cases, the Commission did not specify the level of recoveries pertaining to	
	SFAS106 benefits in the Report and Order because there was no difference in the Staff	
	reconciliation of the issues between Company and Staff for SFAS 106 expense.	
	Therefore, it is difficult to ascertain what specific amount is theoretically recovered in	
	rates.	
Q.	WOULD A TRACKER MECHANISM, AS PROPOSED IN THE STAFF'S	
	TESTIMONY, BE A MORE APPROPRIATE METHOD FOR RECOVERY OF	
	SFAS106 EXPENSES?	
A.	Yes, a tracker mechanism that is based upon an agreed to level of recovery in rates and ar	
	agreed to level of expense for the Company would be the most appropriate way to ensure	
	amounts recovered through rates are reconciled back to the amounts expensed per the	
	books. The Company uses similarly structured tracker mechanisms for its gas cos	
	filings.	
	PENSION EXPENSE	
	A. Q.	

PURPOSES OF RATE RECOVERY?

21

22

23

Q. HOW DOES MGE CURRENTLY TRACK PENSION EXPENSES FOR

1 A. The Company currently uses a "pension tracker" that was established by stipulation in a prior rate case, GR-2004-0209.

4 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE CURRENT PENSION

TRACKER LANGUAGE?

- Yes, in response to Staff's testimony filed in its Staff Report-Cost of Service on this
 issue, Staff and MGE engaged in discussions regarding possible revisions to MGE's
 current pension tracker mechanism. MGE would agree to the following revised language
 to the pension tracker mechanism:
 - 1. The parties agree that the rates established in this case for MGE include an allowance of \$10,000,000 for pension expense, exclusive of the amortizations of the prepaid pension asset and tracker mechanism regulatory assets/liabilities. (All amounts are stated prior to the transfer rate.) The Company shall be authorized to record as a regulatory asset/liability, as appropriate, the difference between the pension expense used in setting rates and pension expense as recorded for financial reporting purposes as determined in accordance with GAAP pursuant to FAS 87 and FAS 88 (or such standard as the FASB may issue to supersede, amend or interpret the existing standards), and that such difference shall be subject to recovery from or return to customers in future rates. The difference between the amount of pension expense included in MGE's rates and the amount funded by MGE shall be included in the Company's rate base in future rate proceedings.
 - 2. The Company shall be allowed rate recovery for contributions it makes to its pension trust that exceed the ERISA minimum for the purpose of avoiding Pension Benefit Guarantee Corporation (PBGC) variable premiums. Additional contributions made pursuant to this paragraph will increase MGE's rate base by increasing the prepaid pension asset and/or reducing the accrued liability, and will receive regulatory treatment as described in paragraph 1 of this Agreement. MGE shall inform the Staff and Public Counsel of contributions of additional amounts to its pension trust funds pursuant to this Paragraph in a timely manner.
 - 3. The provisions of FAS 158 require certain adjustments to the prepaid pension asset and/or accrued liability with a corresponding adjustment to equity (i.e., decreases/increases to Other Comprehensive Income). The Company will be allowed to set up a regulatory asset/liability to offset any adjustments that would otherwise be recorded to equity caused by applying the provisions of FAS 158 or

1	any other FASB statement or procedure that requires accounting adjustments to
2	equity due to the funded status or other attributes of the pension plan. The parties
3	acknowledge that the adjustments described in this paragraph will not increase or
4	decrease rate base.
5	
6	4. Due to the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (PPA), MGE may be required to
7	make contributions in excess of the ERISA Minimum amount in order to avoid
8	benefit restrictions under the PPA. Such contributions will be examined in the

4. Due to the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (PPA), MGE may be required to make contributions in excess of the ERISA Minimum amount in order to avoid benefit restrictions under the PPA. Such contributions will be examined in the context of future rate cases and a determination will be made at that time as to the appropriate and proper level recognized for ratemaking as a Net Prepaid Pension Asset.

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

14 A. Yes, at this time.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Missouri Gas Energy's Tariff Sheets Designed to Increase Rates for Gas Service in the Company's Missouri Service Area.) Case No. GR-2009-0355)	
AFFIDAVIT OF JOHN	A. DAVIS	
STATE OF MISSOURI)		
COUNTY OF JACKSON) ss.		
John A. Davis, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.		
	JOHN A. DAVIS	
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of	SEATEABER 2009. Kin W. Wenze Notary Public	
My Commission Expires: 2-3-//	KIM W. HENZI Notary Public – Notary Seat STATE OF MISSOURI Jackson County Commission Number 07424654 My commission expires February 3, 2011	