Exhibit No.: Accounts Receivable Issue(s): Bank Fees, Materials and Supplies, Insurance Expense, Maintenance Expense, Injuries and Damages, Regulatory Assessments, True-Up Adjustments Witness: Nathan Bailey, CPA Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff Surrebuttal / True-Up *Type of Exhibit:* Direct Testimony Case No.: ER-2024-0189 Date Testimony Prepared: September 10, 2024

# **MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

# FINANCIAL AND BUSINESS SERVICES DIVISION

# **AUDITING DEPARTMENT**

## SURREBUTTAL / TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

### NATHAN BAILEY, CPA

### **EVERGY MISSOURI WEST, INC.,**

d/b/a Evergy Missouri West

CASE NO. ER-2024-0189

Jefferson City, Missouri September 10, 2024

1		SURREBUTTAL / TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY	
2		OF	
3		NATHAN BAILEY, CPA	
4		<b>EVERGY MISSOURI WEST, INC.,</b>	
5		d/b/a Evergy Missouri West	
6		CASE NO. ER-2024-0189	
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
8	А.	My name is Nathan Bailey. My business address is Fletcher Daniels State Office	
9	building, Room 201, 615 East 13th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106.		
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
11	А.	I am a Utility Regulatory Auditor with the Missouri Public Service Commission.	
12	Q.	Are you the same Nathan Bailey who filed direct testimony in this case on	
13	June 27, 2024?		
14	А.	Yes.	
15	<b>EXECUTIV</b>	<u>E SUMMARY</u>	
16	Q.	What is the purpose of your surrebuttal / true-up direct testimony?	
17	А.	I will provide surrebuttal and true-up direct testimony in response to	
18	Evergy N	Aissouri West ("EMW") witness Linda Nunn's rebuttal testimony on account	
19	receivable bank fees. I will also identify adjustments that I updated with true-up data		
20	through June 30, 2024.		

Surrebuttal / True-up Direct Testimony of Nathan Bailey, CPA

1

### **ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE BANK FEES**

Q. How did Staff account for accounts receivable bank fees ("bank fees")?
A. In its direct filing on June 27, 2024, Staff annualized bank fees based on actual
costs incurred using the 12 months ending December 31, 2023, the most recent available data
at that time.

6

7

8

9

10

Q. Does EMW agree with the methodology that Staff used to annualize bank fees?
A. No. Beginning on page 6 of Linda Nunn's rebuttal testimony, she disagrees with
Staff's methodology stating that it would lead to a misstatement of cost of these fees.
Ms. Nunn goes on to state that EMW will evaluate the bank fees at true-up for trends and determine an appropriate methodology.<sup>1</sup>

Q. After reviewing the bank fees through the June 30, 2024, true-up period in this
case, does Staff find that an annualization based on one month is appropriate?

A. No. After reviewing EMW's true-up data, there is no significant trend over the
12 months ending June 30, 2024, the true-up period in this case.<sup>2</sup> Additionally, using a single
month excludes seasonal variability in EMW revenues and receivables sold, in addition to
normal market interest rate fluctuations.

Q. Are there circumstances which would lead Staff to depart from using the most
recent 12 months in favor of using a single month for annualizing bank fees?

A. Yes. During the prior rate case, Case No. ER-2022-0130, Staff agreed with EMW's
 proposed methodology in response to sharp increases in bank fees paid by EMW.<sup>3</sup>
 This was almost wholly due to increases in interest rates which were driven primarily

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Case Nos. ER-2024-0189, Linda J. Nunn, Rebuttal Testimony, page 6-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Case Nos. ER-2024-0189 DR 230.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Case Nos. ER-2022-0130, Antonija Nieto, Surrebuttal Testimony, page 2.

Surrebuttal / True-up Direct Testimony of Nathan Bailey, CPA

1	by the Federal Funds Rate, a component of interest rates. The Federal Reserve Bank					
2	("Federal Reserve") controls the Federal Funds Rate. Please see the chart below for a					
3	comparison of this rate to the rate charged to EMW. At the time, the Federal Reserve's actions					
4	caused Staff to support using the latest, and therefore highest, rate available. These actions					
5	included the following.					
6	1. The Federal Reserve had begun raising the Federal Funds Rate,					
7	2. The Federal Reserve announced further rate increases going forward and,					
8	3. The Federal Reserve's announced intention with these rate increases was to bring					
9	down historically high inflation.					
10	Below is a graph showing the interest rates for EMW in the past 12 months are not as					
11	volatile as in the previous case and how the Federal Funds Rate increases influenced interest					
12	rates charged to EMW. <sup>4</sup>					
	Federal Funds Rate Influence on Bank Fees					
	7.00%					
	6.00%					

5.00% 6/1/2024, ER-4.00% 2024-0189 3.00% 2.00% 5/1/2022, ER-2022-0130 1.00% 0.00% 7/23/2021 2/8/2022 8/27/2022 3/15/2023 10/1/2023 4/18/2024 11/4/2024 – Annual % Interest -Fed Fund Rate -

13

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Federal funds effective rate. FRED. (2024, August 1). https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/fedfunds#

Surrebuttal / True-up Direct Testimony of Nathan Bailey, CPA

1	Due to this unusual situation, Staff agreed with EMW to use the most recent month		
2	available, May 2022, to be more representative of future costs instead of using th	he	
3	previous 12 months of data.		
4	Q. How is the June 30, 2024 situation during the present case different from	m	
5	May of 2022?		
6	A. The interest rate and economic environment are different and all the state	ed	
7	criteria above for using the latest possible month have not been met.		
8	Q. Has Staff determined the net benefit of Accounts Receivable sales to ratepayers	s?	
9	A. Yes. After evaluation, the sales of accounts receivable and associated bank fee	es	
10	paid appear to be, overall, a long-term net benefit to ratepayers as a source of short-term		
11	liquidity to EMW. Staff intends to evaluate the program in future Evergy rate cases.		
12	TRUE-UP ADJUSTMENTS		
12 13	TRUE-UP ADJUSTMENTS         Q.       Did you update any revenue requirement adjustments to reflect data through the second se	he	
		he	
13	Q. Did you update any revenue requirement adjustments to reflect data through the		
13 14	Q. Did you update any revenue requirement adjustments to reflect data through th true up period, 12 months ending June 30, 2024?		
13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Did you update any revenue requirement adjustments to reflect data through the true up period, 12 months ending June 30, 2024?</li> <li>A. Yes. Using the same methodology described in my direct testimony, I update</li> </ul>		
13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Did you update any revenue requirement adjustments to reflect data through the true up period, 12 months ending June 30, 2024?</li> <li>A. Yes. Using the same methodology described in my direct testimony, I update adjustments to reflect the following items at the true-up:</li> </ul>		
13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Did you update any revenue requirement adjustments to reflect data through the true up period, 12 months ending June 30, 2024?</li> <li>A. Yes. Using the same methodology described in my direct testimony, I update adjustments to reflect the following items at the true-up:</li> <li>Insurance Expense</li> </ul>		
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Q. Did you update any revenue requirement adjustments to reflect data through the true up period, 12 months ending June 30, 2024?</li> <li>A. Yes. Using the same methodology described in my direct testimony, I update adjustments to reflect the following items at the true-up: <ul> <li>Insurance Expense</li> <li>Maintenance Expense</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Q. Did you update any revenue requirement adjustments to reflect data through the true up period, 12 months ending June 30, 2024?</li> <li>A. Yes. Using the same methodology described in my direct testimony, I update adjustments to reflect the following items at the true-up: <ul> <li>Insurance Expense</li> <li>Maintenance Expense</li> <li>Materials and Supplies</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

In the Matter of Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service

Case No. ER-2024-0189

### AFFIDAVIT OF NATHAN BAILEY, CPA

)	
)	SS
	) ) )

**COMES NOW NATHAN BAILEY, CPA** and on his oath declares that he is of sound mind and lawful age; that he contributed to the foregoing *Surrebuttal / True-Up Direct Testimony of Nathan Bailey, CPA*; and that the same is true and correct according to his best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

NATHAN BAILEY, CPA

#### JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of <u>Jackson</u>, State of Missouri, at my office in <u>Kansus</u>, on this <u> $5^{\text{M}}$ </u> day of September 2024.

B. L. STIGGER NOTARY PUBLIC - NOTARY SEAL STATE OF MISSOURI MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JANUARY 2, 2028 JACKSON COUNTY COMMISSION #24332661