## Public Version

Exhibit No.:

Issue: NUCOR; Maintenance Expense;
Witness: Linda J. Nunn
Type of Exhibit: Tru-Up Rebuttal Testimony
Sponsoring Party: Evergy Missouri West
Case No.: ER-2024-0189

Date Testimony Prepared: September 18, 2024

#### MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

**CASE NO.: ER-2024-0189** 

#### TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

**OF** 

LINDA J. NUNN

ON BEHALF OF

**EVERGY MISSOURI WEST** 

Kansas City, Missouri September 2024

## TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

### **OF**

## LINDA J. NUNN

## **CASE NO. ER-2024-0189**

1		I. INTRODUCTION
2	Q:	Please state your name and business address.
3	A:	My name is Linda J. Nunn. My business address is 1200 Main, Kansas City,
4		Missouri 64105.
5	Q:	Are you the same Linda J. Nunn who submitted direct testimony on February
6		2, 2024, rebuttal testimony on August 6, 2024, and surrebuttal/true-up direct
7		testimony on September 10, 2024?
8	A:	Yes.
9	Q:	On whose behalf are you testifying?
10	A:	I am testifying on behalf of Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West
11		("EMW" or the "Company").
12	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?
13	A:	The purpose of my testimony is to respond to the true-up direct testimonies of the
14		following Staff witnesses and topics:
15		<ul> <li>Justin Tevie - NUCOR</li> </ul>
16		<ul> <li>Nathan Bailey - Maintenance</li> </ul>
17		Please note that the Company has attempted to address all substantive issues raised
18		by Staff, OPC, or other parties which the Company contests. If the Company
19		inadvertently failed to address an issue raised by any party, the absence of a

1		response does not constitute agreement by the Company with the party, and the			
2		Company may respond on the topic at hearing.			
3		II. NUCOR			
4	Q:	Please explain Staff's proposed true-up adjustment for NUCOR.			
5	A:	Staff witness Mr. Tevie annualized Schedule SIL revenues at June 30, 2024, the			
6		new rates stipulated in Schedule SIL-1, and EMW's billing determinants. Based			
7		on this calculation, Mr. Tevie proposes a true-up increase of \$231,792 to Schedule			
8		SIL revenues.			
9	Q:	Once Mr. Tevie annualized Nucor revenues, did he use those revenues in his			
10		calculation of whether Nucor revenues covered its costs?			
11	A:	No, he did not.			
12	Q:	Did Mr. Tevie use the normalized/annualized net purchased power costs			
13		associated with Nucor in the analysis on whether Nucor revenue covered its			
14		costs?			
15	A:	No.			
16	Q:	How did Staff calculate the over/under associated with Nucor revenues and			
17		costs?			
18	A:	Although Staff annualizes and normalizes both Nucor's revenues and net purchased			
19		power expenses, it does not use those levels in the calculation of whether Nucor's			
20		revenues cover its costs. Therefore, the level of revenue and expense included in			
21		base rates is not considered when analyzing whether Nucor was able to cover its			
22		costs. This is a mismatch.			
23		Whether Nucor has covered its costs in between rates cases has no impact			
24		on EMW's other customers. While Nucor activity is removed from the FAC,			

further event tracking is performed prior to each semi-annual rate update to ensure non-Nucor customers are not adversely impacted from Nucor operations. Adjustments are made within the FAC calculation only on an as-needed basis based on the analysis performed. It is appropriate to true-up to the current normalized/annualized revenues and expenses.

Witness Tevie provided the support for the annualization of revenues and EMW provided work papers to support the fuel and purchased power levels used by the Company to analyze whether Nucor's revenues exceed its costs. I included as a schedule (Confidential Schedule LJN-10) attached to my testimony below the appropriate calculation showing that Nucor's revenues cover all of its costs. Exhibit 1 includes the summary calculation, the calculation of Nucor's purchased power costs, as calculated in the Company's true-up workpapers provided to Staff, as well as the support for the lack of capacity purchases need, as discussed in Company Witness, JP Meitner's Rebuttal and Surrebuttal testimonies.

#### III. MAINTENANCE EXPENSE

- 16 Q: Please describe Staff witness Nathan Bailey's proposed maintenance expense
   17 true-up adjustment.
- 18 A: With the exception of Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") account
  19 593, Mr. Bailey used a three-year average of 2021, 2022, and 2023 maintenance
  20 expenses to calculate Staff's true-up adjustment rather than including costs through
  21 the true-up period of June 30, 2024.
- 22 Q: Do you agree with Staff's calculation?

A: No, I do not agree with Staff's calculation.

## 1 Q: Why do you disagree?

- A: We are currently in a very volatile inflationary period. We've requested a storm reserve which would help to mitigate some of the uncertainty. Staff opposes the reserve but then also limits our ability to cover increased costs by not updating
- 5 through the true-up period.
- 6 Q: What is the appropriate calculation of the true-up adjustment for maintenance
- 7 expenses?
- A: The true-up period is through June 30, 2024. Updating the averaging to include costs through the true-up period would allow the capture of the most current costs available just as was done with account 593.
- 11 Q: Does that conclude your testimony?
- 12 A: Yes, it does.

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Evergy Mis Evergy Missouri West's Red	)	Case No. ER-2024-0189	
Implement A General Rate l	Increase for Electric	)	
Service		)	
	AFFIDAVIT OF L	INDA	J. NUNN
STATE OF MISSOURI	)		
	) ss		

Linda J. Nunn, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

- 1. My name is Linda J. Nunn. I work in Kansas City, Missouri, and I am employed by Evergy Metro, Inc. as Manager Regulatory Affairs.
- 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my True-Up Rebuttal Testimony on behalf of Evergy Missouri West consisting of four (4) pages, having been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-captioned docket.
- 3. I have knowledge of the matters set forth therein. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded, including any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Linda I Nunn

Subscribed and sworn before me this 18th day of September 2024.

Notary Public

My commission expires: 4/2u/w25

COUNTY OF JACKSON )

ANTHONY R. WESTENKIRCHNER
NOTARY PUBLIC - NOTARY SEAL
STATE OF MISSOURI
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES APRIL 26, 2025
PLATTE COUNTY
COMMISSION #17279952

# SCHEDULE LJN-10 CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC.

## ORIGINAL FILED UNDER SEAL.

## Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West

Docket No.: ER-2024-0189 Date: September 18, 2024

#### CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

The following information is provided to the Missouri Public Service Commission under CONFIDENTIAL SEAL:

Document/Page	Reason for Confidentiality from List Below		
Schedule LJN-10	1, 3, 4, and 6		

Rationale for the "confidential" designation pursuant to 20 CSR 4240-2.135 is documented below:

- 1. Customer-specific information;
- 2. Employee-sensitive personnel information;
- 3. Marketing analysis or other market-specific information relating to services offered in competition with others;
- 4. Marketing analysis or other market-specific information relating to goods or services purchased or acquired for use by a company in providing services to customers;
- 5. Reports, work papers, or other documentation related to work produced by internal or external auditors, consultants, or attorneys, except that total amounts billed by each external auditor, consultant, or attorney for services related to general rate proceedings shall always be public;
- 6. Strategies employed, to be employed, or under consideration in contract negotiations;
- 7. Relating to the security of a company's facilities; or
- 8. Concerning trade secrets, as defined in section 417.453, RSMo.

9.	Otner (specify)	
----	-----------------	--

Should any party challenge the Company's assertion of confidentiality with respect to the above information, the Company reserves the right to supplement the rationale contained herein with additional factual or legal information.