BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri)	
Operations Company's Notice of Intent)	
to File an Application for Authority to)	Case No. ER-2012-0174
Establish a Demand-Side Programs)	
Investment Mechanism)	

MOTION TO COMPEL RESPONSES TO DATA REQUESTS

COMES NOW the Office of the Public Counsel and for its Motion to Compel Responses to Data Requests states as follows:

- 1. On March 8, 2012, Public Counsel served seven Data Requests (DRs) on Kansas City Power & Light Company (KCPL) in Case No. EU-2012-0130. The data requests were emailed to Roger Steiner, James Fischer, Karl Zobrist, and Lisa Gilbreath (all attorneys for KCPL) and Tim Rush, KCPL's Director of Regulatory Affairs. A copy of the DRs, and the cover email with Mr. Steiner's response is attached hereto as Attachment 1. As shown in Attachment 1, Mr. Steiner suggested that DRs should be sent to a different email address, but until the afternoon of April 20, that was the only response that Public Counsel received.
- 2. On April 13, having received neither responses nor objections, Public Counsel inquired of GMO as to the status of the DR responses (see Attachment 2). To date, GMO has not responded to or even acknowledged the April 13 email.
- 3. On April 20, as this pleading was being prepared, Public Counsel received responses to four of the seven DRs, but with no explanation of the delay and no explanation of when or even if the remaining three DR responses might be forthcoming. Since the Commission has in the past expressed concern with parties who allow discovery disputes to drag

_

¹ Case No. EU-2012-0130 has since been consolidated into Case No ER-2012-0174.

on without bringing them to the Commission's attention, Public Counsel is not willing to wait to see if additional responses will be forthcoming.

4. On April 19, the Commission issued an order in which it waived the requirements

of 4 CSR 240-2.090(8) and delegated authority to the presiding officer to resolve discovery

matters. The plain implication of the April 19 order is that the Commission intends that

discovery matters be resolved swiftly and without burdensome procedures. Consistent with that

intention, Public Counsel submits that GMO's conduct with respect to these DRs2 has been so

egregious and the proper resolution is so readily apparent, that the presiding officer should order

KCPL to respond to the remaining three DRs without delay.

WHEREFORE, Public Counsel respectfully requests that the Commission issue an order

compelling KCPL to immediately provide responses to DRs 3001, 3003 and 3007 served March

8, 2012 in Case No. EU-2012-0130.

Respectfully submitted,

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

By: /s/Lewis R. Mills, Jr.

Lewis R. Mills, Jr. (#35275)

Public Counsel

P O Box 2230

Jefferson City, MO 65102

(573) 751-1304

(573) 751-5562 FAX

lewis.mills@ded.mo.gov

_

² Although this motion only concerns three outstanding DRs in this case, the Commission is aware that the schedule in Case No. EO-2012-0009 had to be adjusted because of KCPL-GMO's inability to timely answer DRs submitted by the MIEC. Public Counsel faced a similar issue in that case, but was able to resolve it at the eleventh hour after a motion to compel was drafted but before it was filed.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been emailed to all parties this 20th day of April 2012.

Missouri Public Service Commission

Sarah Kliethermes 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 sarah.kliethermes@psc.mo.gov

Natural Resources Defense Council

Henry B Robertson 705 Olive Street, Suite 614 St. Louis, MO 63101 hrobertson@greatriverslaw.org

Renew Missouri

Henry B Robertson 705 Olive Street, Suite 614 St. Louis, MO 63101 hrobertson@greatriverslaw.org

Union Electric Company

James B Lowery 111 South Ninth St., Suite 200 P.O. Box 918 Columbia, MO 65205-0918 lowery@smithlewis.com

United States Department of Energy

Therese LeBlanc 2000 E. 95th St. P.O. Box 419159 Kansas City, MO 64141 tleblanc@kcp.com

Missouri Public Service Commission

Office General Counsel 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 GenCounsel@psc.mo.gov

Praxair, Inc.

Stuart Conrad 3100 Broadway, Suite 1209 Kansas City, MO 64111 stucon@fcplaw.com

Sierra Club

Henry B Robertson 705 Olive Street, Suite 614 St. Louis, MO 63101 hrobertson@greatriverslaw.org

Union Electric Company

Thomas M Byrne 1901 Chouteau Avenue P.O. Box 66149 (MC 1310) St. Louis, MO 63166-6149 AmerenMOService@ameren.com

AARP

John B Coffman 871 Tuxedo Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63119-2044 john@johncoffman.net

City of Kansas City, Missouri

Mark W Comley 601 Monroe Street., Suite 301 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0537 comleym@ncrpc.com

Dogwood Energy, LLC

Carl J Lumley 130 S. Bemiston, Ste 200 St. Louis, MO 63105 clumley@lawfirmemail.com

Kansas City Power & Light Company

James M Fischer 101 Madison Street, Suite 400 Jefferson City, MO 65101 jfischerpc@aol.com

Kansas City Power & Light Company

Karl Zobrist 4520 Main Street, Suite 1100 Kansas City, MO 64111 karl.zobrist@snrdenton.com

Midwest Energy Consumers Group

David Woodsmall 807 Winston Court Jefferson City, MO 65101 david.woodsmall@woodsmalllaw.com

Missouri Department of Natural Resources

Jessica L Blome 221 W. High Street P.O. Box 899 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Jessica.Blome@ago.mo.gov

Consumers Council of Missouri

John B Coffman 871 Tuxedo Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63119-2044 john@johncoffman.net

Kansas City Power & Light Company

Lisa A Gilbreath 4520 Main, Suite 1100 Kansas City, MO 64111 lisa.gilbreath@snrdenton.com

Kansas City Power & Light Company

Heather A Humphrey 1200 Main PO Box 418679 Kansas City, MO 64141-9679 Heather.Humphrey@kcpl.com

Kansas City Power & Light Company

Roger W Steiner 1200 Main Street, 16th Floor P.O. Box 418679 Kansas City, MO 64105-9679 roger.steiner@kcpl.com

Midwest Energy Users' Association

Jeremiah D Finnegan 3100 Broadway, Suite 1209 Kansas City, MO 64111 jfinnegan@fcplaw.com

Missouri Gas Energy

Dean L Cooper 312 East Capitol P.O. Box 456 Jefferson City, MO 65102 dcooper@brydonlaw.com

Missouri Gas Energy

Todd J Jacobs 3420 Broadway Kansas City, MO 64111 todd.jacobs@sug.com

Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers (MIEC)

Diana M Vuylsteke 211 N. Broadway, Suite 3600 St. Louis, MO 63102 dmvuylsteke@bryancave.com

Missouri Joint Municipal Electric Utility Commission

Douglas Healy 939 Boonville Suite A Springfield, MO 65802 doug@healylawoffices.com

By: /s/Lewis R. Mills, Jr.

Mills, Lewis

From:

Seidner, Kendelle

Sent:

Thursday, March 08, 2012 9:01 AM

To:

Meisenheimer, Barb; Mills, Lewis; Buckman, Jere

Subject:

FW: EU-2012-0130 OPC Data Requests to Company

FYI

From: Steiner Roger [mailto:Roger.Steiner@kcpl.com]

Sent: Thursday, March 08, 2012 9:01 AM

To: Seidner, Kendelle

Subject: RE: EU-2012-0130 OPC Data Requests to Company

Kendelle.

For future DRs please send to regulatory.affairs@kcpl.com . I will send this batch to that address.

From: Seidner, Kendelle [mailto:kendelle.seidner@ded.mo.gov]

Sent: Thursday, March 08, 2012 8:50 AM

To: Douglas Healy; General Counsel; James M Fischer; Karl Zobrist; Lisa A Gilbreath; Steiner Roger; Stuart

Conrad; Rush Tim; Williams, Nathan

Cc: Meisenheimer, Barb

Subject: EU-2012-0130 OPC Data Requests to Company

Please refer to the attached data requests.

Kendelle Seidner

Administrative Assistant Office of the Public Counsel 200 Madison Street, Suite 650 Jefferson City, MO 65102 573-751-5561

kendelle.seidner@ded.mo.gov

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST

Requested From:

Tim Rush

Requested By:

Barbara A. Meisenheimer

Date Requested:

March 8, 2012

Information Requested: In the January 11, 2012, Memo regarding the PA LOP Study, Roberta Hunter and Mike Crosby provide the following description of the Study criteria and method and formula for calculating the marginal impact;

The LOP Study uses criteria to change, in this case derated and off line units (also called constrained units) impacted by the flood. PA runs a new production cost study case where constraints are removed and only economical bilateral deals and generating units are utilized to satisfy load, off-system sales and potential "economic" market sales.

555	The margin impact is calculated as f	follows:	
1	Generation No Longer Needed	X	Cost of generation that would not have run.
	Purchased Power No Longer Needed	X	Cost of purchased power that would not have been bought.
3.	Off-System Sales Opportunities Lost	Χ	Off-System sales that would have been economically made if all units and purchases were available.
	Subtotal	sum of X	
	Less: Generation Cost for Derated	Υ	Added cost of running derated units at the cruise MWh limit versus the constraint limits.
U	Margin Impact	X-Y	-

- a) Please quantify the portion of the Marginal Impact associated with maintenance outages accelerated as a direct result of the Missouri River Flooding.
- b) Does the Marginal Impact reflect any offset for scheduled maintenance that would have otherwise occurred after the Study period? If so, please provide a detailed explanation and quantification of the offset.
- c) Please provide a matrix of the hourly market prices used in the Study. If available, please provide the information in Excel or other electronic spreadsheet format. If KCPL believes it has already provided the requested information, please identify the workbook and worksheet in which the information was provided.
- d) Please provide a matrix of the hourly market prices for the same hour and date ranges that were used in the Company's final fuel model run from the Company's last general rate proceeding. If available, please provide the information in Excel or other electronic spreadsheet format. If KCPL believes it has already provided the requested information, please identify the workbook and worksheet in which the information was provided.
- e) Please provide a matrix of the hourly system demands used in the Study. If available, please provide the information in Excel or other electronic spreadsheet format. If KCPL believes it has already provided the requested information, please identify the workbook and worksheet in which the information was provided.

- f) Please provide a matrix of the hourly system demands for the same hour and date ranges that were used in the Company's final fuel model run from the Company's last general rate proceeding. If available, please provide the information in Excel or other electronic spreadsheet format. If KCPL believes it has already provided the requested information, please identify the workbook and worksheet in which the information was provided.
- g) For inputs other than hourly prices and hourly demands, please provide a detailed comparison of each model input used in the PA LOP runs with the model inputs used in the Company's fuel model run in the last rate case.
- h) Do each of the cases modeled in the PA LOP runs reflect identical unscheduled maintenance events? If not, please provide a detailed explanation of why the runs should not reflect identical unscheduled maintenance events.

The information provided to the Office of the Public Counsel in response to the above information request is accurand complete, and contains no material misrepresentations or omissions based upon present facts known to undersigned. The undersigned agrees to immediately inform the Office of the Public Counsel if any matters discovered which would materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the information provided in response to	the
above information.	

Date Received:	Signed By:	
	Title:	

Requested From:	Tim Rush
Requested By:	Barbara A. Meisenheimer
Date Requested:	March 8, 2012
Information Reque CONSERVATION LOS allocation factors appear run in the last rate case.	sted: Regarding the worksheet titled LOS \$ by Jurisdiction in file COAL SUMMARY_FINAL.xls, please provide a comparison of the Kansas jurisdictional ring at cells M9, M19, M30 and M52 to those used in preparing the Company's fuel model
and complete, and cont	d to the Office of the Public Counsel in response to the above information request is accurate ains no material misrepresentations or omissions based upon present facts known to the rsigned agrees to immediately inform the Office of the Public Counsel if any matters are materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the information provided in response to the
Date Received:	Signed By:
	Title:

Requested From:	Tim Rush
Requested By:	Barbara A. Meisenheimer
Date Requested:	March 8, 2012
Information Requestion Company used the MIDA	sted: Please provide a detailed explanation of the extent to which the S model to prepare the PA LOP Study.
and complete, and conta undersigned. The under	I to the Office of the Public Counsel in response to the above information request is accurate ains no material misrepresentations or omissions based upon present facts known to the signed agrees to immediately inform the Office of the Public Counsel if any matters are materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the information provided in response to the
Date Received:	Signed By:

Requested From:	Tim Rush
Requested By:	Barbara A. Meisenheimer
Date Requested:	March 8, 2012
Information Request Company related to the dimodel for the cases reflect	ifference in fuel, purchased power and other costs produced by the MIDAS
and complete, and cont	d to the Office of the Public Counsel in response to the above information request is accurate ains no material misrepresentations or omissions based upon present facts known to the resigned agrees to immediately inform the Office of the Public Counsel if any matters are materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the information provided in response to the
Date Received:	Signed By:
	Title:

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST

Requested From:

Requested By:

Tim Rush

Barbara A. Meisenheimer

Date Requested: Ma	rch 8, 2012
Information Requested: Sheet 1 of file NMOF costs.xls.	
The information provided to the	e Office of the Public Counsel in response to the above information request is accurate
and complete, and contains n undersigned. The undersigned	o material misrepresentations or omissions based upon present facts known to the lagrees to immediately inform the Office of the Public Counsel if any matters are ally affect the accuracy or completeness of the information provided in response to the
Date Received:	Signed By:
	Title:

Requested From:	Tilli Kusii
Requested By:	Barbara A. Meisenheimer
Date Requested:	March 8, 2012
Information Reques each instance in which KC related PCI Post Analysis	ted: For the period April 2007- current, please identify and describe CPL used the PCI Generation Supply Management System (PCI GSMS) and solution. For each, please provide a copy of all reports, results and findings.
and complete, and conta	to the Office of the Public Counsel in response to the above information request is accurate ains no material misrepresentations or omissions based upon present facts known to the signed agrees to immediately inform the Office of the Public Counsel if any matters are materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the information provided in response to the
Date Received:	Signed By:
	Title:

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL DATA REQUEST

Requested From:

Tim Rush

Requested By:

Barbara A. Meisenheimer

Date Requested:

March 8, 2012

Information Requested: At Page 5 of the PCI Post Analysis – Functional Overview, the PCI Post Analysis solution is described as producing four business process solutions:

Reliability Costing: Evaluates the cost of operational inefficiencies by computing the difference between actual and optimal operational cost due to commitment and dispatch deviation from optimality. The key performance indices delivered by this analysis are the Load Following Index, Unit Commitment Index, and Reliability Cost Index.

Transaction Costing: Evaluates the cost of physical transactions (purchases, sales). For each transaction, the key performance indices delivered by this analysis are: Total Cost, Total Revenue, Total Margin, and Cost Contribution by Operational Components (such as Fuel, Startup, O&M, and Emissions), Contributions by Position (Assets, Contracts, and Market).

Lost Opportunity Costing: Evaluates the opportunity cost lost due asset events such as outages or derates. For each asset, the key performance indices delivered by this analysis are: Total Cost of Lost Opportunities, Total Revenue, Total Margin, and Cost Contribution by Operational Components (such as Fuel, Startup, O&M, and Emissions), Contributions by Position (Assets, Contracts, and Market).

Generic Business Analysis: Designed to perform ad-hoc and complex analysis, this process gives the user complete freedom to define the business conditions (represented by different PCI GenTrader studies) and key performance indices. Some examples are Merger Savings Evaluation, Actual vs. Forecast Analysis, and Scenario Analysis.

<sup>a) Does KCPL acknowledge that absent the Missouri River Flooding event the PCI Post Analysis may have identified operational inefficiencies resulting from differences between actual and optimal operational cost due to non flood related factors. If not, please provide a detailed explanation of why it believes no such operational inefficiencies would be identified.
b) Does KCPL acknowledge that absent the Missouri River Flooding event the PCI Post Analysis may have identified opportunity cost lost due to asset events such as outages or derates caused by non flood related events. If not, please provide a detailed explanation of why it believes no such opportunity cost losses would be identified.</sup>

and complete, and contains no made	the of the Public Counsel in response to the above information request is accurate a rial misrepresentations or omissions based upon present facts known to the set to immediately inform the Office of the Public Counsel if any matters are feet the accuracy or completeness of the information provided in response to the
Date Received:	Signed By:
	Title:

Mills, Lewis

From:

Mills, Lewis

Sent:

Friday, April 13, 2012 5:01 PM

To:

'Roger.Steiner@kcpl.com'; 'Rush Tim'

Subject:

FW: EU-2012-0130 OPC Data Requests to Company

Roger and Tim,

Can you please check on these DRs? We have not received any objections or responses.

Lewis

From: Seidner, Kendelle

Sent: Thursday, April 12, 2012 9:12 AM

To: Mills, Lewis

Subject: FW: EU-2012-0130 OPC Data Requests to Company

From: Steiner Roger [mailto:Roger.Steiner@kcpl.com]

Sent: Thursday, March 08, 2012 9:01 AM

To: Seidner, Kendelle

Subject: RE: EU-2012-0130 OPC Data Requests to Company

Kendelle,

For future DRs please send to regulatory.affairs@kcpl.com . I will send this batch to that address.

From: Seidner, Kendelle [mailto:kendelle.seidner@ded.mo.gov]

Sent: Thursday, March 08, 2012 8:50 AM

To: Douglas Healy; General Counsel; James M Fischer; Karl Zobrist; Lisa A Gilbreath; Steiner Roger; Stuart

Conrad; Rush Tim; Williams, Nathan

Cc: Meisenheimer, Barb

Subject: EU-2012-0130 OPC Data Requests to Company

Please refer to the attached data requests.

Kendelle Seidner

Administrative Assistant Office of the Public Counsel 200 Madison Street, Suite 650 Jefferson City, MO 65102 573-751-5561

kendelle.seidner@ded.mo.gov