Notice of Ex Parte Contact

TO:

Data Center

All Parties in Case No. IN.

FROM:

Chairman Jeff Davis

DATE:

November 13, 2007



On November 9, 2007 I received the attached e-mail from Mr. Harvey Rosenberg regarding Ameren. The Commission is currently considering the issues discussed in this document in case **EU-2008-0141**. The Commission is bound by the same *ex parte* rule as a court of law.

Although communications from members of the public and members of the legislature are always welcome, those communications must be made known to all parties to a contested case so that those parties have the opportunity to respond. According to the Commission's rules (4 CSR 240-4.020(8)), when a communication (either oral or written) occurs outside the hearing process, any member of the Commission or Regulatory Law Judge who received the communication shall prepare a written report concerning the communication and submit it to each member of the Commission and the parties to the case. The report shall identify the person(s) who participated in the *ex parte* communication, the circumstances which resulted in the communication, the substance of the communication, and the relationship of the communication to a particular matter at issue before the Commission.

Therefore, we submit this report pursuant to the rules cited above. This will ensure that any party to this case will have notice of the attached information and a full and fair opportunity to respond to the comments contained therein.

cc:

Commissioners

Executive Director

Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

General Counsel

Gregory, Sheryl

From:

Davis, Jeff

Sent:

Friday, November 09, 2007 9:09 AM

To:

Gregory, Sheryl

Subject: FW: 24 Million for AnerenUE

Ex-parte communication. Please file accordingly.

From: Harvey Rosenberg [mailto:harveyrosenberg@hotmail.com]

Sent: Friday, November 09, 2007 9:07 AM

To: Davis, Jeff

Cc: Jane Cunningham

Subject: 24 Million for AnerenUE

Jeff, in the Post Dispatch yesterday it was stated the AmerenUE was trying to recover 24 million dollars related to the January ice storm, they are calling this a 'ACCOUNTING AUTHORITY ORDER', I call this 'CODB' which is the correct accounting heading and this means 'COST OF DOING BUSINESS' if I am correct AmerenUE should have already recovered these so called expenses from their own Insurance Companies. Like I have said to you in the past I am in favor of giving more when more is really needed but wouldn't you like to be able to request from someone else expense dollars every time you had problem which needed a solution! This is the questionable communication which AmerenUE continues too try to use to have us 'CONSUMERS' pay to them to run their business. This is simply a 'COST OF DOING BUSINESS' and should be part of their mark-up structure. Thanks for letting me vent my feelings to you. Harvey Rosenberg.

Windows Live Hotmail and Microsoft Office Outlook – together at last, Get it now!