Exhibi	t No.: _	
Iceno.	Custom	or Fire

Issue: Customer First Witness: Colin Penny

Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony Sponsoring Party: Liberty Utilities (Missouri Water) LLC d/b/a Liberty

Case No.: WR-2024-0104

Date Testimony Prepared: October 2024

# Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

**Surrebuttal Testimony** 

of

**Colin Penny** 

on behalf of

Liberty Utilities (Missouri Water) LLC d/b/a Liberty

October 24, 2024



### SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF COLIN PENNY LIBERTY UTILITIES (MISSOURI WATER) LLC D/B/A LIBERTY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. WR-2024-0104

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Colin Penny. My business address is 354 Davis Road, Suite 100, Oakville,
3		Ontario, LJ6 2X1.
4	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
5	A.	I am employed by Liberty Utilities (Canada) Corp. ("Liberty Utilities") as Chief
6		Information Officer.
7	Q.	On whose behalf are you testifying in this proceeding?
8	A.	I am testifying on behalf of Liberty Utilities (Missouri Water) LLC d/b/a Liberty
9		("Liberty" or the "Company").
10	Q.	Please describe your professional background.
11	A.	I have worked in Information Technology for the past twenty-eight years in various
12		capacities. Early in my career I built and delivered technology solutions within the
13		utilities sector in North America while working for Andersen Consulting (now
14		Accenture) and Black & Veatch. Prior to joining Liberty Utilities, I worked at Hydro
15		One where I held multiple roles, including Senior Vice President Technology & Chief
16		Information Officer. I joined Liberty Utilities in 2019 as Vice President, IT
17		Transformation, became Executive Vice President, IT and Digital Transformation in
18		2021, and in March 2024, was appointed Chief Information Officer. I hold a Bachelor's
19		Degree, Electrical Engineering from Queen's University in Canada.
20	Q.	What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony in this proceeding before the
21		Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission")?

1	A.	I am adopting the direct testimony of Lauren Preston filed herein on behalf of the
2		Company, as well as responding to certain aspects of the rebuttal testimony of
3		Commission Staff ("Staff") witness Charles Tyrone Thomason. I also address certain
4		aspects of the rebuttal testimony of Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC") witness
5		Angela Schaben with respect to the information technology infrastructure systems
6		referred to by Ms. Preston as Liberty's "Customer First" initiative.
7	Q.	OPC witness Schaben and Staff witness Thomason address Liberty's switch to
8		Customer First. What is Customer First?
8	A.	Customer First. What is Customer First?  As was described in the direct testimony of Liberty witness Lauren Preston, Customer
	A.	
9	A.	As was described in the direct testimony of Liberty witness Lauren Preston, Customer
9	A.	As was described in the direct testimony of Liberty witness Lauren Preston, Customer First is a corporate-wide initiative to replace a series of backbone information
9 10 11	A.	As was described in the direct testimony of Liberty witness Lauren Preston, Customer First is a corporate-wide initiative to replace a series of backbone information technology systems and processes used by subsidiaries of Algonquin Power & Utilities





### 2 Q. Did this change only impact Liberty in Missouri?

- 3 A. No. This was an enterprise-wide initiative that replaces antiquated and disparate systems that existed across our business.
- 5 Q. Please describe why APUC made the switch to these new systems.
- A. Prior to adopting this SAP-based platform of information technology systems, APUC's subsidiaries relied on a variety of systems that were not compatible and did not allow for analysis and reconciliation of data and information across the business. In addition,

many of the systems did not reflect current technology exposing the Company to undue
risks of disruption and data loss in this currently challenging cybersecurity
environment. By adopting leading technology from top system providers, we believe
that we are future proofing our business as well as creating a platform that will enhance
access to key business information.

- 6 Q. In the absence of Customer First, could Liberty's Missouri subsidiaries have
  7 continued indefinitely on their existing systems?
- 8 A. No. Missouri Water had key business and accounting systems that were unsupported 9 at the time of the Customer First implementation. As I stated above, this increases the 10 risks of data loss, security vulnerabilities, and compatibility concerns.
- 11 Q. Was the deployment of Customer First conducted at the same time across all of APUC's businesses?

A. No. We began adopting the new systems in mid-2020 when we began introducing some of the six new systems into our business. For example, two of the six systems – Employee Central (used for employee timekeeping and other Human Resource functions) and Procure to Pay (our procurement/invoicing system) were adopted across our enterprise at the same time. The other four systems were phased in over time. For example, our Network Design and Operations system provides GIS services to our water utilities was phased in for Missouri Water in the first quarter of 2022. Our eCustomer system, used for the online "MyAccount" feature that enabled customers to pay their bills online using a cell phone or tablet and allowed for digital channels for customer contact and self-service enablement, was implemented for Missouri Water in the fourth quarter of 2021. Finally, our Customer (CIS), Finance (ERP), and Operations (EAM) systems were implemented by Missouri Water in April 2024.

1	Q.	Since the rollout of these systems across the business, was there sharing of lessons
2		learned across those companies in APUC's business that cutover to systems earlier
3		than Missouri Water?
4	A.	Yes, after each cutover we considered lessons learned across the program and
5		implemented them into the next release, supporting a continuous learning environment.
6		This ensured that we took advantage of any improvements we could make to the
7		employee and customer experience. Lessons learned included areas such as early
8		training for Customer Service Representatives and participating in training in earlier
9		implementations. We also were able to provide day in the life demonstrations to all
10		Missouri Water field employees based on experiences at other Liberty utilities with the
11		new Customer First systems. Also, any system improvements identified by companies
12		using the systems were applied to the benefit of Missouri Water customers.
13	Q.	Are you familiar with the testimony of OPC witness Schaben (Schaben Reb., p.
14		11, 12) and Staff witness Thomason (Thomason Reb., pp. 2-7) who are critical of
14 15		11, 12) and Staff witness Thomason (Thomason Reb., pp. 2-7) who are critical of the Company's implementation of these new systems?
	A.	
15		the Company's implementation of these new systems?
15 16		the Company's implementation of these new systems?  Yes. I have reviewed their testimony and understand their concerns as to our
15 16 17		the Company's implementation of these new systems?  Yes. I have reviewed their testimony and understand their concerns as to our customers' experience with respect to billing and meter set issues. With any technology
15 16 17 18		the Company's implementation of these new systems?  Yes. I have reviewed their testimony and understand their concerns as to our customers' experience with respect to billing and meter set issues. With any technology conversion of this size, which reflects the complete replacement of all the utility's
15 16 17 18 19		the Company's implementation of these new systems?  Yes. I have reviewed their testimony and understand their concerns as to our customers' experience with respect to billing and meter set issues. With any technology conversion of this size, which reflects the complete replacement of all the utility's backbone information technology systems, there will be post-conversion challenges as
15 16 17 18 19 20		the Company's implementation of these new systems?  Yes. I have reviewed their testimony and understand their concerns as to our customers' experience with respect to billing and meter set issues. With any technology conversion of this size, which reflects the complete replacement of all the utility's backbone information technology systems, there will be post-conversion challenges as employees learn to use the new systems and adapt to new processes and it may be
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		the Company's implementation of these new systems?  Yes. I have reviewed their testimony and understand their concerns as to our customers' experience with respect to billing and meter set issues. With any technology conversion of this size, which reflects the complete replacement of all the utility's backbone information technology systems, there will be post-conversion challenges as employees learn to use the new systems and adapt to new processes and it may be necessary to have further modifications to the system to address post-cutover concerns.

1		address these issues and return to billing performance levels representative of historic
2		periods.
3	Q.	Were any parts of the implementation process unique to the Missouri companies?
4	A.	Yes. Liberty Utilities (Missouri Water) LLC ("Missouri Water") was included in the
5		Customer First Release 4.2, with The Empire District Electric Company and The
6		Empire District Gas Company, which were all transitioned from the same legacy
7		systems. With the completion of each prior release, the transition team evaluated what
8		went well, where there were opportunities for improvement and what lessons should
9		be applied to future releases. However, the companies included in Release 4.2,
10		including Missouri Water, were all transitioned to Customer First from the same legacy
11		systems (e.g., Customer Watch), which were not utilized by the other Liberty
12		companies. While previous lessons learned were applied to Release 4.2, some of the
13		specific and technical issues experienced in prior releases may not have been applicable
14		to Missouri Water and the other companies that used different legacy systems.
15	Q.	OPC witness Schaben recommends that "the Commission disallow at least half of
16		the cost of Customer First, with the caveat that if the Company is able to correct
17		these issues before its next rate case, the Company can begin to recover all of the
18		investment at that time." Schaben Reb., p. 12. As of the date of this testimony,
19		what is the status of the Customer First implementation?
20	A.	As I described earlier in my testimony, many of the information technology systems
21		that comprise the Customer First program have been in service and used to the benefit
22		of Missouri Water customers since as early as 2021. Ms. Schaben's recommendation
23		- that the Commission either deny entirely or delay cost recovery of the Customer First
24		initiative – is a severe position given that all six systems are in service to customers

and used every day to provide utility service. While the Company recognizes the challenges the new system transition has had on our customers and is working diligently to address, I do not believe that the position being proposed by OPC would be the right result as it would be an extreme penalty to address a system transition issue that is anticipated to be relatively limited in scope and time.

# 6 Q. What long term benefits for customers do you believe will result from the 7 Customer First implementation?

These new systems will serve our customers for many years to come and will allow the Company to have real time access to much more detailed information about our business. For example, we can now access information about vendors across the entirety of our business which should provide opportunities for bulk purchasing that accrue benefits to smaller utilities like Missouri Water. Customers have access to online accounts, accessible either by computers as well as smart phones, that allow them to pay their bills and check the status of their account and usage at any time. Customers are now able to choose how they receive notifications from Missouri Water regarding billing and service orders and can select from multiple automatic payment options. Customers will also benefit from reduced operations costs through avoided software and maintenance costs of legacy systems. In addition, the new Asset Management systems are improving asset visibility and performance, improving employee safety; and improving tracking of maintenance activities for water distribution assets, improving reliability.

#### 22 Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?

23 A. Yes.

A.

## **VERIFICATION**

I, Colin Penny, under penalty of perjury, on this 24th day of October, 2024, declare that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

/s/ Colin Penny