March 29, 2016



MAR 2 9 2016

Missouri Public Service Commission

Scott Stefankiewicz Gerald Fuemmeler 611 East Patterson Ave. Salisbury, MO 65281

To:

Morris L. Woodruff, Secretary Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street, PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

Re:

File No. EO-2016-0194 Response

Per your order issued March 16, 2016 directing any party who wishes to respond to the Staff Recommendation by March 30, 2016, we are drafting the following response.

Prior to making our original "Application for change of electric service provider," I contacted your office via telephone (573) 751-3234. I discussed the issue we faced with an associate in your office and was instructed to submit the application even though I wasn't wishing to change providers. Based on our conversation, if I didn't submit that application, then the Commission would not review my case.

In your Staff's response, Mr. Mark Johnson indicated our request should be rejected because it did not constitute a change of electric supplier under 4 CSR 240-3.140(1). We apologize that our request does not meet the criteria for a change of electric supplier, however as my letter attached to the application indicated, we do not wish to change suppliers, but add an additional one due to the specific circumstances relating to our situation. If there was a request we could have completed to address our situation, we would have competed it rather than the change of electric supplier one.

Mr. Johnson also indicated that the City of Salisbury cannot legally provide service to our grain bins because the newly constructed bins are located outside their corporate boundaries. Although that may be true, there is a provision under section 394.312 relating to an approved territorial agreement that may allow Salisbury to provide the three-phase electricity we require.

In their previous response, KCPL indicated the project would cost us approximately \$385,000 not including taxes to bring three-phase to our location, and that they did not object to the transfer. KCPL agreed that under the unique circumstances of this situation, they concur with the request to allow the City of Salisbury to serve our structures requiring three-phase electrical service. Additionally, the City of Salisbury agreed to provide the three-phase service we require at no cost to us.

In section 9 of Mr. Johnson's staff response he stated:

"Although it may well be that allowing The City of Salisbury to provide service to the newly constructed grain bins would be in the public interest, Staff is of the opinion that an application for a change of electrical suppliers is not the appropriate vehicle for effecting the desired change."

Based on the responses from both providers relating to our unique situation, we request that the commission direct KCPL and the City of Salisbury to enter into a discussion to see if a territorial agreement can be reached on our behalf. If approved, we believe that a territorial agreement would be the safest, most cost effective way to address our needs in this specific situation rather than annexation.

We appreciate the time, attention and consideration from all parties involved as they relate to our small agriculture business electrical needs. We, as member of the "public" appreciate the role the Missouri Public Service Commission serves to help all citizens of the State of Missouri. We look forward to hearing your response and obtaining a beneficial resolution for all.

Respectfully,

Scott Stefankiewicz

Gerald Fuemmeler