Exhibit No.:

Issue: Payroll; Payroll Taxes;

Payroll Related Benefits

Witness: Janis E. Fischer

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: True-Up Direct Testimony

Case No.: ER-2001-299

Date Testimony Prepared: August 7, 2001

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

3 ...

TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JANIS E. FISCHER

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2001-299

Jefferson City, Missouri August 2001

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF	
2	TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY OF	
3	JANIS E. FISCHER	
4	PAYROLL	2
5	PAYROLL TAXES	6
6	PAYROLL RELATED BENEFITS	7

1	TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY							
2		OF						
3	JANIS E. FISCHER							
4		THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY						
5		CASE NO. ER-2001-299						
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.						
7	A.	Janis E. Fischer, 3675 Noland Road, Suite 110, Independence, Missouri						
8	64055.							
9	Q.	Are you the same Janis E. Fischer who has previously filed direct,						
10	rebuttal, surrebuttal and supplemental surrebuttal testimony in this case?							
11	A.	Yes, I am.						
12	Q.	What is the purpose of your true-up direct testimony?						
13	Α.	The purpose of my true-up direct testimony is to address the true-up audit						
14	results for The Empire District Electric Company (Empire or Company) relating to							
15	payroll expense, payroll-related taxes, 401(k) plan and other employee benefits.							
16	The true-up period extended the analysis of payroll-related expenses from the end of the							
17	test year, December 31, 2000, to June 30, 2001. Adjustments to the payroll-related							
18	expenses annualized for the test year January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2000 will be							
19	based upon the true-up evaluation of these costs.							
20	Q.	What adjustments are you sponsoring?						
21	A. I am sponsoring the following Income Statement adjustments:							
22 23 24 25		Payroll S-6.1, S-7.1, S-8.1, S-9.1, S-10.1, S-12.1, S-13.1, S-14.1, S-15.1, S-16.1, S-17.1, S-19.1, S-20.1, S-21.1, S-22.1, S-23.1, S-24.1, S-25.1, S-26.1, S-27.1, S-28.1, S-29.1, S-30.1, S-32.1, S-33.1,						

True-up Direct Testimony of Janis E. Fischer

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	S-34.1, S-35.1, S-37.1, S-38.1, S-39.1, S-40.1, S-41.1, S-42.1, S-45.1, S-46.1, S-47.1, S-48.1, S-49.1, S-50.1, S-51.1, S-52.1, S-53.1, S-54.1, S-55.1, S-56.1, S-58.1, S-59.1, S-60.1, S-61.1, S-62.1, S-63.1, S-64.1, S-65.1, S-66.1, S-67.1, S-68.1, S-69.1, S-71.1, S-72.1, S-73.1, S-76.1, S-77.1, S-78.1, S-79.1, S-82.1, S-85.1, S-89.1, S-91.1
10	Payroll Related Taxes S-95.1, S-95.2, S-95.3
11	Other Employee Benefits S-85.3, S-85.8, S-85.9, S-85.10
12	PAYROLL
13	Q. Please explain adjustments S-6.1, S-7.1, S-8.1, S-9.1, S-10.1, S-12.1,
14	S-13.1, S-14.1, S-15.1, S-16.1, S-17.1, S-19.1, S-20.1, S-21.1, S-22.1, S-23.1, S-24.1,
15	S-25.1, S-26.1, S-27.1, S-28.1, S-29.1, S-30.1, S-32.1, S-33.1, S-34.1, S-35.1, S-37.1,
16	S-38.1, S-39.1, S-40.1, S-41.1, S-42.1, S-45.1, S-46.1, S-47.1, S-48.1, S-49.1, S-50.1,
17	S-51.1, S-52.1, S-53.1, S-54.1, S-55.1, S-56.1, S-58.1, S-59.1, S-60.1, S-61.1, S-62.1,

A. These adjustments revise the payroll annualization to include any additional positions that have been filled or vacated based upon employee levels at the end of the true-up audit, June 30, 2001. The true-up payroll annualization captures the most current known and measurable level of employees and related payroll expenses.

S-63.1, S-64.1, S-65.1, S-66.1, S-67.1, S-68.1, S-69.1, S-71.1, S-72.1, S-73.1, S-76.1,

S-77.1, S-78.1, S-79.1, S-82.1, S-85.1, S-89.1 and S-91.1.

These adjustments represent the individual payroll annualizations after the true-up determination was calculated for the various expense accounts (i.e., production, transmission, distribution, customer accounts, customer services, sales and administrative and general expense).

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

11

10

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

- Q. Did the Staff employ the same methodology in the true-up as in the Staff's initial direct filing to determine annualized payroll?
- A. Yes, with some minor exceptions. The methodology is the same except for the following changes:
 - The annualized true-up period payroll is based upon the Company's employee levels at June 30, 2001. In addition, through responses to data requests and discussions with the Company, the Staff was able to reflect additions and terminations to payroll through the end of July 2001. The Company's updated response to Staff Data Request No. 102 listed full-time, part-time and temporary personnel employed by Empire at June 30, 2001. I obtained additional information in Empire's updated response to Staff Data Request No. 235 for employees hired and positions vacated through the completion of the Staff's fieldwork, the end of July 2001.
 - I deducted 40 percent of payroll related costs associated with employees identified through job titles to be directly assigned to the State Line Combined Cycle Unit (SLCC Unit) operation and maintenance expense. The 40 percent deduction represents the Westar Generating, Inc. (Westar) ownership portion of the SLCC Unit. The 40 percent of SLCC Unit payroll costs allocated to Westar will not be included in the determination of Empire's cost of service since Empire will bill these costs to and recover these costs from Westar.
 - I determined an allocation of SLCC Unit overhead costs associated with indirect payroll related costs that should also be allocated to

Westar and excluded from Empire's cost of service. Positions included in the overhead cost allocation include those from Human Resources, Dispatch, and employees whose day-to-day job requirements involve in part the SLCC Unit but are not directly assigned to the SLCC Unit on a 100 percent basis.

- Q. Has the Staff considered the impact of the proposed merger of Empire with UtiliCorp United, Inc. (UtiliCorp), later terminated by UtiliCorp, on the employee levels for Empire at the end of the true-up period?
- A. Yes. The Staff was provided a list of vacant positions and budgeted unfilled positions as part of Empire's updated response to Staff Data Request No. 235. Further discussion with Empire personnel to verify salaries for new hires allowed the Staff to update vacancies through the end of July 2001 as shown on the attached Highly Confidential Schedule 1. The Staff has included the changes through the end of July 2001 to accommodate Empire's request in the rebuttal testimony of Empire witness Myron W. McKinney at page 4:

...that the Staff, and ultimately the Commission, allow inclusion of salaries in situations where Empire can clearly demonstrate that an active search is ongoing, or that a vacancy occurred due to the transfer of an internal applicant to fill an existing vacancy.

- Q. Has the Staff addressed Empire's concern that Staff recognize job transfers within Empire's work force in its true-up payroll annualization?
- A. Yes. Staff Data Request No. 350 requested additional information to identify salary increases related to transfers within Empire's work force for non-union and union employees since January 1, 2001. Over 60 employees have received salary increases related to job transfers or as a result of an employee meeting criteria to advance

to the next skill level since January 1, 2001. These increases have been reflected in the Staff's true-up payroll annualization.

3

Q. Has Empire reached a normal vacancy level?

5

4

7 8

6

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

20

19

21 22

23

A. Yes. The Staff believes that the positions that are currently vacant at Empire reflect a normal level of approximately 3% of the total number of employee positions. Empire has had seven months since the termination of the UtiliCorp merger to fill positions vacated during the merger process. Empire's updated response to Staff Data Request No. 235 shows 14 vacant positions and an additional seven budgeted unfilled positions. (See attached Highly Confidential Schedule 1). Empire's updated response to Staff Data Request No. 296 identifies 54 positions that have been filled since January 1, 2001.

- Has the number of budgeted positions changed from Empire's 1999 level Q. which was used for Empire's calculation of annualized payroll in its direct filing?
- A. Yes. Empire has closed four offices during the true-up period: Columbus, Kansas; Gravette, Arkansas; and Greenfield and Republic, Missouri. The effect of these office closings has been to reduce the number of budgeted positions and to transfer employees to fill other vacant positions. The Staff's true-up annualized payroll reflects these changes and any others that have occurred to employee positions.
- Has the Commission in the past allowed the inclusion of budgeted Q. positions in the determination of annualized payroll expenses?
- In Kansas City Power & Light Company (KCPL) Case No. No. Α. ER-80-48, the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) rejected inclusion in rates of costs associated with KCPL's budgeted level of employees as speculative. The

3 4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

Commission found that the actual employee level at the time of the true-up hearing would be allowed for KCPL's payroll expenses.

- Q. How did the Staff determine the allocation of the total Empire payroll costs among total Company expense, construction expense, retirements expense and clearing accounts within the electric utility, water utility and non-regulated operations?
- A. During the prehearing conference with Empire, the Staff agreed to use an allocation based on the average historical cost distribution of expenses from the years 1996 through 1999. The Staff's direct filing used an allocation based on the five-year average historical cost distribution of expenses from the years 1996 through 2000. The prior to prehearing five-year average results in 71.954% of the payroll distribution being allocated to total Company expense. The agreement to average 1996 through 1999 results in 72.63% of the payroll distribution being allocated to total Company expense.
- Has the Staff applied the total Company expense factor of 72.63% to other Q. payroll related adjustments?
- A. Yes. The Staff also applied this total Company expense factor to other payroll-related adjustments such as Employee 401(k) Retirement Plan, health care costs and other employee benefits, which naturally follow payroll expense.

PAYROLL TAXES

- Please explain adjustments S-95.1, S-95.2 and S-95.3. Q.
- A. The true-up annualization of payroll, which updates all payroll related expenses, also affected these adjustments. Adjustment S-95.1 represents the annualization of the Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA) tax. FICA (Social Security) is comprised of Old-Age, Survivors and Disability Insurance (OASDI) Adjustment S-95.2 annualizes Federal Unemployment taxes and Medicare taxes.

Tax (FUTA). Adjustment S-95.3 annualizes State Unemployment Tax (SUTA). These adjustments reflect the cumulative changes resulting from positions being filled or vacated and salary changes through July 31, 2001.

3

4

PAYROLL RELATED BENEFITS

5

Q. Please explain adjustments S-85.3, S-85.8, S-85.9 and S-85.10.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Α. Adjustment S-85.3 reflects the increase in expenses Employee 401(k) Retirement Plan based upon the percentage election of each employee for employee changes through June 30, 2001. Adjustment S-85.8 reflects the prehearing agreed upon accrual of other post-retirement employment benefits (OPEB) expense agreed upon at prehearing. Adjustment S-85.9 annualizes employee health care costs through the true-up period. Adjustment S-85.10 includes the true-up annualized cost for other employee benefits in FERC 926 accounts. (See attached Schedule 2). The Staff has included group life insurance, accidental death and dismemberment insurance, tuition and education reimbursements, total and permanent disability insurance, fiduciary flowers for employees and flexible benefit expense in liability expense. Adjustment S-85.10. The analysis of these expenses included total expenses in each account for the calendar years 1996 through June 30, 2001. A six-year average extending out through June 30, 2001 was used to normalize the costs if the actual expenses fluctuated over time. Expenses that escalated over the period January 1996 through

19 20

Q. Does this conclude your true-up direct testimony?

June 2001 were annualized during the true-up to include July 2000 through June 2001.

22

21

A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

D SUZIE MANKIN
NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MISSOURI
COLE COUNTY
MY COMMISSION EXP. JUNE 21,2004

SCHEDULE JEF 1

HAS BEEN DEEMED

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

IN ITS ENTIRETY

Empire District Electric Company Case No. ER-2001-299 True-up Analysis of A/C 926 Accts. Posted to G/L 926 Accounts

DR Respons	se 204							
•		Adjustment Basis			True-up	Direct	True-up	
<u>A/C</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u> 2000</u>	5 Yr Avg	<u>2000</u>	<u>True-up</u>	6 Yr Avg	<u>Adjustment</u>	<u>Adjustment</u>
926222	Group Life Insurance	260,895		260,895	271,656		0	10,761
926227	Group Acc Death & Dismemb	3,989	4,076			4,184	87	195
926231	Tuition and Education	12,632	16,566			16, <i>2</i> 57	3,934	3,625
926437	Total & Permanent Disability	42,968		42,968	104,376		0	61,408
926226	Fiduciary Liability Expense	12,132	10,254			11,139	(1,878)	(993)
926230	Flexible Benefits	40,042		40,042	15,848		0	(24,194)
926217	Flowers	1,468			1,907		(1,468)	(1,468)
926219	Other	39,204	45,942			48,646	6,738	9,442
	Total Adjustment S-85.10						7,413	58,775