

EC-2025-0165

Also, I would highly recommend that Ameren stops it with the numerous counterfeit documents that have been forensically examined and further submitted. While Ameren Missouri was asking for “protections,” from the PSC, I’ve been busy building a civil case, on top of another civil case against them.

Each counterfeit document, eventually gets sent off to any “pending” litigation matter I have within the court system against them.

Fake document+fake email address= an counterfeit document that has no originating source or sending capability and never existed.

Whether Ameren wants to talk about it or not, it’s only going to be brought up , again most likely in another litigation matter.

As an FYI, I only yelled in my last matter because I respectfully asked the Commission numerous times to respectfully restore my utility services. I was denied well over 6 filed motions for restoration over a document that didn’t even get sent to me, because it has no email address. (Possibly even more.)

I have no reason to yell at this point. Ameren Missouri’s system backfired and imploded on themselves. Every statement they made was a fabricated and pathological lie. Ameren Missouri is delusional.

I have no reason to lie either. If I filed a lawsuit, I can easily attach every ones contradicting statements to that and since the PSC isn’t an actual “court” I can use the same documents against parties in personal and business litigation matters.

It would be really stupid for me to lie, knowing that I’ve filed these SAME documents with other government entities and an actual civil court system.

Apparently my intelligence is under-estimated by Ameren and they take me for a “fool.” The only people that believe in Ameren’s delusional stories, is Ameren, because they have no other people to believe them.

They switched software vendors to hide a document that agreed with my document. Only to commit fraud within the software of that vendor. It is just a matter of time before Ameren does the same thing with their current vendor. Oh wait, they already did.

But www2.ameren.com is an email address Ameren right? It would have sent to me, even though there was no @ symbol, right Ameren? Because Ameren doesn’t need to use a @ symbol for their email address?

But there’s no lawsuit filed against Ameren either, even though the document clearly shows that too?

Brett Felber  
12-18-2024

