EC-2025-0165

This will be my last filing until Staff files a report. I do promise and I plan to make my promise. I ask of one thing from the Commission, Regulatory Judge, Commissioners, Regulatory Judge Clark.

Read Please look at page 16 of the Commission Rules Regulations and Tariffs. Please also take a copyof the payment agreement dated November 1, 2024 from Ameren Missouri at 12:03 AM.

Read paragraph 1) When a utility company and the customer mutually satisfactory settlement of any disputed or customer doesn't dispute liability to the utility but claims inability to pay the outstanding bill in full, a utility and the customer may enter into a settlement agreement. A settlement agreement which extends beyond ninety (90) days shallbe in writing and mailed or otherwise delivered to the customer.

Look at the "settlement" agreement, as the Commission calls it in promulgate Commission rules, regulations and tariffs, off settlement agreement & payment agreement 20 CSR 4240-13.060 Paragraph (1) as the reference.

Look at the "settlement" agreement. Look at the amount of installementsthere are 12 installments.

This is a "settlement" agreement, as defined in Commission Rules, Regulations and Tariffs 20 CSR 4240-13.060

Settlement agreement- is a legally binding contract that resolves a dispute that resolves disputes between parties.

This agreement meets the legal standard to immediately rule Judgment in favor of the Complainant and enforce Ameren Missouri to abide by the legal terms set forth in the "settlement" agreement in paragraph 1 of Commission rule, regulations and tariffs 20 CSR 4240-13.060 Paragraph 1.

Now in same Commission Rule, Regulation and Tariff 20 CSR 4240-13.060 Paragraph 2- Every Payment Agreement (Notice it starts with Payment Agreement) resulting from the customers inability to the outstanding bill in full shall provide that service will not be discontinued if the custoer pays the amount of the outstanding bill specified in the agreement and agrees to pay a reasonable portion of the remaining outstanding balance in installments until the bill is paid. For purpose of determining reasonableness, the parties shall consider the following: the size of deliquent account, the customer's ability to pay, the customers payment history, the time that the debt has been outstanding, and any other relevant factors relating to the customer's service. Such a payment agreementshall not exceed twelve (12) Months duration, unless the customer and utility agree to a longer period.

Lookl specifically in Paragraph 2 Where it specifically says a "payment agreement Shall.

Look at the Payment Agreement from November 1, 2024 at 12:03 AM and you will notice certain terms and conditions. Notice 12 installments.

By the Commissions direct regulations this is also defined in the defintion and is a payment agreement, not a pending agreement.

Now please read directly accross from the top of the page were it specifically states

#20 CSR 4240-13.060 Settlement Agreement & Payment Agreement

THe Commission by Commission Rules, Regulations and Tariffs specifically under those clearly states these are exactly what they mean. Settlement & Payment Agreements, not "pending" agreements like Ameren chooses to alter to.

Under Commission Rules, Regulations & Tariffs we respectfully ask the Commission under 20 CSR 4240-13.060 Paragraph enforce the contract into force and order Ameren Missouri to oblige to Commission Rule, Regulations & Tariffs 20 CSR 4240-13.060, as this is a legal binding contract under the promulgated rule under settlments and Ameren Missouri breached the legal binding status of settlments under the Commission Rule, Regulations & Tariffs.

Complainant respectfully asks for any late fees, wanton damages and all wanton damages listed in the Complainants filed awarded to the Complainant, as a result of their breach of contract.

In addition, Complainat respectfully asks the Commission to enforce Ameren Missouri the Payment Agreements, such as exhibited in the Complainants, complaint under Commission Rules, Regulation & Tariffs 20 CSR 4240-13.060 Settlement & Payment Agreements Paragraph (2)

The payment agreement sent to Mr. Felber from Ameren on November 1, 2024 at 12:03 AM, is a payment agreement by Commission Rules, Regulations and Tariffs as defined anything not to exceed 12 months. Complainants payment agreement is at 12 months, as defined by the Commission rule.

Complainant respectfully asks for a Default Judgment against Ameren Missouri immediately and the contract or payment agreement be automatically be enforced and ordered Ameren to oblige to the contract. Complainant resepctfully asks that any damages listed in the matter, be awarded to the Complainat for Ameren Missouri's

default and violations under 20 CSR 4240-13.060.

In addition, Complainant Resepctfully asks the Commission to re-open EC-2023-0395, deem the Commissioners order null and void, and enforce the legal binding contract in EC-2023-0395, that Ameren Missouri sent to Mr. Felber, as under Commission Rule Regulations & Tariffs,

The agreement Mr. Felber had in that matter, meets the SAME criteria, as the document in EC-2025-0165, and Ameren Missouri breached the contract as the Commission refers to Settlment Agreement, as the agreement was a legal binding contract between the Complainant & Ameren Missouri. It Both a settlement & payment agreement, as it was over 90 days, meeting settlement agreement was established. In addition it was no more than 12 months which specifically states in Commission Rules, Regulations & Tariffs 20 CSR 4240-13.060

There are no material facts to argue or dispute and Ameren Missouri not only breached the agreement, defined under Settlement Agreements, but also payment agreements.

There is no metnion under 20 CSR 4240-13.060 that states the words "pending" in the Rule, Regulation and tariff. The word "pending" is a word that Ameren freely chooses to add, without it being added to 20 CSR 4240-13.060

Under the Commisson Rule 20 CSR 4240-13.060, Settlement Agreement & Payment Agreement, there is no mention or no rule of pending, making any claim of "pending" invalid. It is a word, Ameren chooses to freely alter, manipulate, swap without any promulgate rulemaking develop by the Commission defining "pending payment agreements."

In addition, by paragraph (3) of 20 CSR 4240-13.060 Paragraph (3),

In settlement agreement and payment agreement dated November 1, 2024, Complainant had an agreed date for the settlment and payment agreement for November 20, 2024. On November 19, 2024, Ameren Missouri breached both the settlement and payment agreement definied clearly in Commission rules and illegally started the process of trying to disconnect Mr. Felber's utility service, prior to any payment being due.

Ameren Missouri would also be in violation of their discontinuance Commission rule, regulation and tariff.

Same applies to EC-2023-0395, Ameren Missouri, illegally discontinued Mr. Felbers utility service, by disconnecting utility service and Ameren Missouri breached paragraph's (1), (2), (3) of Commission Rule, Regulation and Tariffs 20 CSR 4240-13.060 of Settlement & Payment Agreement, as defined by Mr. Felbers settlement and payment agreement.

Complainant also moves, to show the Commission, that Ameren's exhibit copy that has a embedded in the fake document, as an email address of www2.ameren.com, which would not send.

Not only has Ameren Missouri been calling these agreements wrong, but so has the Commission, itself. By Commission Rules, Regulations and Tariffs they are called Settlement and Payment Agreement under 20 CSR 4240-13.060.

Ameren Missouri's fake, forged, altered exhibit with the www2.ameren.com email address is a fraudulent copy and an altered copy. Mr. Felber also has an audio tape, which he gave the Commission in EC-2025-0165, so the Commission can hear it.

Ameren Missouri wishes to not only alter documents and put fake email address that won't send and have the incorrect payment date on it. However, Ameren also chooses to alter the words in Commission Rules, Regulations & Tariffs that specifically state in 20 CSR 4240-13.060 that they are settlement agreements and payment agreements, and physically alter and vebally alter the wording to "pending" without promulgated rulemaking in 20 CSR 4240-13.060, paragaphs (1), (2) (3)

Whereas the Complainat respectfully asks the Commission for an immediate Default Judgment in EC-2025-0165, as the settlement agreement be enforced and Ameren ordered to oblige to the settlement order and immediately statisfy the settlements breached terms.

In addition, Complainant resepctfully asks Commission to immediately reopen EC-202-0395, as the Commissions order is null and void, on the grounds of fraud and the Commission and Ameren Missouri failing to abide by Commission Rule, Regulation and Tariff 20 CSR 4240-13.060.

Not only did Ameren default the terms and conditions of the settlement agreement in EC-2023-0395, but the Staff and the Commission further allowed Ameren Missouri to continously breach the settlement agreements terms.

There are no material facts to argue to the payment agreement, unless, Ameren Missouri really wants to argue about their fake counterfeit document embedded with www2.ameren.com, then so be it if they really do. However, it was a settlement agreement by the outlined agreement details, in which settlments are legal binding.

Meanind, Ameren Missouri must abide by both EC-2025-0165 & EC-2023-0395 settlement agreement and the Commission must immediately without trial enforce the agreements as designed under Commission Rules, Regulations & Tariffs 20 CSR 4240-13.060.

Whearas, the Complainant also asks for all wanton damages in EC-2023-0395, to be reimbursed, any late fees, disconnect fees, etc, that were clearly illegal under the settlement agreement.

In addition to the late fees in EC-2025-0165 credits, etc as a result of Ameren Missouri breaching a legal binding contract as defined under settlements.

Brett Felber 1/7/2025

All this time, they were really called settlement agreement and payment agreement.

Yep, thanks to the Commission, Ameren got to get away with being able not only alter on paper, but also the own Commission Rules, Regulations and Tariffs right under me, verbally.

Yep. No matter what way you try to spin them, modfiy the words, alter to them, doesn't make them true.

Right on the Department of Commerce Paper

See that's the thing about con artists, like Ameren Missouri Missouri. They alter so many words, that it makes the person keep digging and finding and doing further research.

Then the research hits reality. Reality is they are settlement agreement and payment agreement.

I won the payment agreement argument over a year and a half ago, the settlement agreement, is the nail. My documents are material facts, legal binding contract, that is unless Ameren wishes to alter the name and definition of what a settlement agreement is.

I would say by the actual terms and conditions I was defrauded by Ameren Missouri and the Commissioners, unless someone wants to lie and say the aren't really called Settlement agreement and payment agreement?

So let me know what definition you all wish to modify, verbally alter, blow smoke, try to sell some more bs about. Oh and the delusional stories and finishing each others stories and sentences in EC-2023-0395, brilliant. The violation mentioning and then modifying was brilliant too, I must say.

Oh and since the Commission is on better terms with Ameren than I am, ask them when they are going to have all the money they owe me back from the breach of their settlement agreement & payment agreement. Not their delusional thinking of "pending" payment agreement.

Ameren owes me back, what they stole from me. Enjoy settlement agreements and payment agreements and remember Ameren Missouri, no matter what you try to do to insert the word "pending" into it, my agreements are settlement agreements and payment agreements. under all paragraphs of settlement agreements.

Oh and this is my formal way to Ameren, please abide by the settlement agreements in both EC-2025-0165 and EC-2023-0395 and return all damages immeidately within 10 days or I will take legal action in court against you and I will further file a complaint with the Prosecuting Attorney's Office for failure to abide by the settlement agreement and payment agreement.

Remember that word Ameren, you are going to hear a lot of it settlement agreement and payment agreement.

How does it feel Ameren now that I caught you in a lie? I mean come on, I have ties to the data recovery business, I own my own IT consultant company and am a partial owner of a data recovery business, retaining words is a must. Because we hear and see the most incredible bs that you ever want to hear.

I essentially being in data forensics investigate peoples bs. Sort of like the bs, Ameren continues to sell. I can say Ameren the BS you sold was the biggest bunch I've ever heard out of anyone. I never heard so many swaps and altering of words in my life.

Have fun Ameren with your delusional "pending" payment agreements. I'll stick with the actual Commission Rules, Regulations and Tariffs of Settlement agreement and payment agreement.

My agreements are material facts, they are legal binding under settlement agreement 20 CSR 4240-13.060, there is nothing to argue as Ameren Missouri breached a legal binding contract fully enforceable.

I expect the Commission to grant this immediately, award all necessary damages in both matters, void the Report and Order immediately in EC-2023-0395 and order Ameren comply with all legal binding contracts under settlements and all the money in wanton damages and anything less than wanton would be an insult.

Ameren Missouri, let alone the Staff and the Commission were clearly misleading and misleading and allowed Ameren to defraud me out of money I shouldn't have lost.

Do the right thing here Commission. Ameren defaults the legal binding contracts under settlement agreement and is in immediate default.

There is no "pending" payment agreement. Thanks for the delusional stories.

Brett Felber 1/7/25