

EC-2025-0165

Oh, and I found the exact answer I was looking for about www2.ameren.com not being an email address,. My suspicions are confirmed and agree with the Complainat (me) that www2.ameren.com isn't an email address.

I'll will gladly wait for an answer back to this and an delusional story brought to me compliments of Ameren Missouri and the Staff of the Commission.

Oh, and what exact "changes" did Ameren and the Commission make back in 2023 prior to 20 CSR 4240-13.060? Because from my prior matter and wording over 20 CSR 4240-13.060 settlement and payment agreements, payment plans, it looks the exact same in EC-2023-0395 and the same in EC-2025-0165. Besides pointed out a clear violation and an illegal disconnection from the settlement agreement.

From the looks, I'd say the Commission, Staff and Ameren blew absolute BS and incredible BS.

The only thing the Staff did, was reiterate and regurgitate the same absolute and incredible bs that Ameren Missouri stated. Along with the Commissoners and Regulatory Judge.

Oh and Warren Wood (Ameren) is on the FRI Advisory Board that prior Commissioner Rupp and current Commissioner Hahn on.

Oh and Judge Clark, it is your reponsibility as a Regulatory Judge to inform parties, prior to any commencement or position or hearings to inform the parties, known as the Complainant, if there is a possible conflict of interest and disclose that.

Oh, Mrs. Hernandez, it was your job, to dislose as well that Ameren Missouri and the Commission have parties on the advisory board of FRI.

Same with the Commissioners.

Let me know when the Commission wants to setup an actual hearing without bs being fed to me?

My appeal should be granted, Commissioner Hahn should be investigated for fraud and removed from the Commission. Judge Clark should be removed for failing to disclosed conflict of interest, Ameren should be sanctioned for failing to disclose the conflict of interest between the Commission and Ameren.

I should also get every dollar listed in my complaint back too.

Oh and let me know when you actually want to talk about Regulatory?
Because not one statement or piece of evidence in front of me, agrees that Judge Clark, Staff or Ameren know what they are talking about.

Oh and between you all and I . I'm heavily involved with Regualtory Affairs, Data Analysis, Regualatory Compliance, , Regulatory Requirements, Regulatory Submissions, Advertising, Analytical Skills, AutoCAD, A++.
However, please don't come near my industry, because you are all liars.

I deal with those specifically, being in the telecom, data recovery and IT Networking industry.

I'm the Final Boss in that industry, then after the Final Boss of that industry, my legal counsel who enforces them, becomes the Final Boss.

Besides failing to disclose Ameren having ties with the Commissioners, blowing bs about about www2.ameren.com being an email address and a payment agreement, allowing Ameren to insert and alter words to "pending" instead of payment agreement, settlement agreement, payment plan, illegal disconnections of services, admitting to failing to send a 10 day notice after the date, no disconnection, sending another illegal disconnection notice prior to the agreed date in this matter. What other BS would you all like to feed me?

Oh, not only is the Report and Order jack s**t, but so is Ameren Missouri's so called "documents."

Have fun allowing a company to alter words and email addresses on paper and verbally altering the words.

However, anyone can decide to chime in if they believe that I'm incorrect about www2.ameren.com not being an email address?

Also, since Ameren loves to make "promises to do better." If a company has to make the statement they "promise to do better," it's because they openly admitted to poor performance and or quality.

Have fun with whatever internal theft ring you all have going within the Commission and Ameren.

Oh and I'm also the Final Boss when I ask the question if www2.ameren.com is an email address. I supersede Judge Clark and the Commission's authority when it relates to data or Regulatory questions involved in the IT, Networking Industry, web services.

Oh, I also want my discovery that Ameren Missouri and their “ghosting” counsel fails to give me. Without it I can’t move forward towards an evidentiary hearing, that’s if we get to an evidentiary hearing.

Those documents would conclusively put conclusive legal disputes to an end and would show Ameren Missouri did violate 20 CSR 4240-13.060, payment agreement, settlement agreement and CWR payment plan, not “pending” by sending a disconnect letter prior to any payment due.

As another FYI, the whole purpose of setting up a settlement agreement, payment agreement, or payment plan. Is to keep your services from being disconnected.

It would defeat the purpose of setting up a settlement agreement, payment agreement, or payment plan, if a disconnect letter is going to be issued, one day before your payment is actually due, right? Beside the point of asking for more.

But, Ameren’s a Con Artist, so I doubt they understand logical thinking.

Brett Felber
1/12/2025