

EC-2025-0165

For further reference that these are payment agreements. Please refer to this page, directly on Ameren Missouri's very own website. Where they specifically call their agreements "payment agreements." No mention of "pending," like they try to sneak in front of the word payment agreement.

Clearly Ameren Missouri has a severe lying problem. Along with Staff of the Commission. I will apologize for my derogatory word too, but this is where Staff of the Commission and Ameren Missouri played their *** kissing role.

Ameren Missouri's very own website agrees with my statement of them being payment agreements.

Commission rules, regulations and tariffs agree with my statement that they are either settlement agreements, payment plans or payment agreements.

Even Ameren Missouri's very own payment agreements emailed to me agree with my statement that they are payment agreements.

The only thing that Ameren Missouri did when filing their Motion to agree in implementing a change, is kissed the *** of Staff, Judge Clark and the Commission. Then again, when the Commission and Regulatory Judge and the Commissioner deciding on my matter are on the same board that Warren Wood of Ameren is on, look no further.

At this point, I'm prepared to further show that not only did the Commissioners clearly commit fraud, but also Regulatory Judge Clark, the entire Commission in whole, Staff, Ameren Missouri, Ameren Missouri's very own legal counsel and their main manipulator in Regulatory.

I'm done with my filings. This will be my last. Let me know when everyone gets their BS figured out.

My paperwork speaks for itself, even the exact Commission rules speak for itself.

I caught you all in your lies.

Let me know when you grant my appeal, and order Ameren to give me back my money.

For a Regulatory Judge, I'm really starting to question the ethics and moral responsibility of what the Commission actually does? From the looks of it, the Commission and Ameren don't even know what their own rules, regulations and tariffs are.

Otherwise we wouldn't be arguing over settlement agreements, payment agreements, payment plans.

Illegal disconnect notices, and counterfeit documents with bogus email address.

Just let me know when you decide to tell me in yes or no form if www2.ameren.com is an email address. I'll wait.

I won't entertain regurgitated BS like you've been telling me, along with Ameren Missouri.

Oh and for s**t that the Commission and Ameren don't think exist. I have no problem getting my hands on it.

Kinda goes to show and proves my point that in my first matter Staff's investigation was half **. Oh, i forgot, Mrs. Fontaine lied about the audio tape and clearly agreed with my cross examination that it didn't state the date due or amount.

I would recommend in the near future.... never rely on Ameren's information. It's FOS. Not calling it FOS would be an understatement.

Yes, I think Ameren has severe mental problems.

Will discuss Ameren's mental problems when my appeal is granted and the money is reimbursed. Until then I have no interest in listening the their delusional stories, let along the Commission's delusional stories.

I'll apologize for the derogatory words, however, I tell the truth as I see it. As an FYI, I get paid to uncover habitual liars that hide things. That's why I got into the data recovery business..

Look no further than the State Entity is just as corrupt as the business they regulate. Have fun being Ameren's fixer, Judge Clark. Because that's all you are, is a fixer and try to fix the BS Ameren tries to sell.

Brett Felber
1/12/25