

EC-2025-0165

Also, re-read the whole Evidentiary hearing transcript. What Regulatory Judge Clark, Ameren Missouri, the Commission, Staff of the Commission and what the Commissioners did, was unethical.

Even though Ameren Missouri and the Staff of Commission and their so called "credible" witness openly admitted my agreement was a payment agreement. However, decided to sneak and alter the word "pending" in front of payment arrangements during certain parts of statements.

You guys mislead me with absolute bs and increible bs, when this whole time these were all Settlement Agreements, Payment Agreements, or Payment Plans under 20 CSR 4240-13.060. There also, has been no promulgate rulemaking to change it outside of the definition it still stands today. Which is exactly the same under 20 CSR 4240-13.060.

Thanks for allowing me to uncover and tell you exactly where your exact BS is, in the evidentiary hearing transcript regarding payment agreements. Talk about false advertisements.

And if you want to set up a hearing, therefore I can show you exactly what BS you tried to advertise these as, let me know.

Have fun running your internal and external corruption ring. Because the only party you are fooling, is yourself.

Brett Felber

Please admit that [www2.ameren.com](http://www2.ameren.com) is NOT an email address?

Please admit these are settlement agreements, payment agreements or payment plans under 20 CSR 4240-13.060?

I'll let you all get back to your on paper altering problem and vebal altering word problem.

Brett Felber  
1/15/25