

Exhibit No.:
Issue(s): Customer Growth
Witness: Kim Cox
Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony
Case No.: ER-2024-0319
Date Testimony Prepared: January 17, 2025

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION

TARIFF/RATE DESIGN DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

KIM COX

**UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY,
d/b/a Ameren Missouri**

CASE NO. ER-2024-0319

*Jefferson City, Missouri
January 2025*

1 **REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

2 **OF**

3 **KIM COX**

4 **UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY,**
5 **d/b/a Ameren Missouri**

6 **CASE NO. ER-2024-0319**

7 Q. Please state your name and business address.

8 A. My name is Kim Cox, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101.

9 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

10 A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (“Commission”) as
11 a Research/Data Analyst in the Tariff and Rate Design Department of the Industry Analysis
12 Division of the Commission Staff.

13 Q. Have you previously filed testimony in this case?

14 A. Yes. I provided direct testimony as part of Staff’s recommended revenue
15 requirement, filed on December 3, 2024.

16 Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?

17 A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is respond to Union Electric Company
18 d/b/a Ameren Missouri’s (“Ameren Missouri”) witness Nicholas Bowden’s testimony
19 regarding Ameren Missouri’s growth adjustment for the residential (“RES”), small general
20 service (“SGS”), large general service (“LGS”), and small primary service (“SPS”) rate classes.

21 Q. Mr. Bowden’s direct testimony states that “The growth adjustment was made to
22 adjust billing units to the level we expect to observe at the time of true-up, December 31, 2024,

Rebuttal Testimony of
Kim Cox

1 in order to minimize the change in normalized revenues that will occur at the time of the
2 true-up.”¹ Does Staff agree that a growth adjustment should be made?

3 A. Yes. Staff agrees a growth adjustment should be made; however, it is unknown
4 how Ameren Missouri will perform the growth adjustment at true-up. Staff will review
5 Mr. Bowden’s true-up testimony and workpapers and will respond at the time of
6 true-up rebuttal.

7 Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?

8 A. Yes it does.

¹ Direct testimony of Nicholas Bowden, page 20, lines 9-11.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company)
d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Adjust)
Its Revenues for Electric Service) Case No. ER-2024-0319

AFFIDAVIT OF KIM COX

STATE OF MISSOURI)
)
COUNTY OF COLE) ss.

COMES NOW KIM COX and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing *Rebuttal Testimony of Kim Cox*; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.


Further the Affiant sayeth not.


_____)
KIM COX

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 17th day of January 2025.

D. SUZIE MANKIN
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025
Commission Number: 12412070



Notary Public