

# STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 6<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2010.

In the Matter of an Investigation into the Coordination of State and Federal Regulatory Policies for Facilitating the Deployment of all Cost-Effective Demand-Side Savings to Electric Customers of All Classes Consistent With the Public Interest

## File No. EW-2010-0187

# ORDER OPENING A CASE TO INVESTIGATE IMPLEMENTATION OF VARIOUS DEMAND-SIDE PROGRAMS

Issue Date: January 6, 2010 Effective Date: January 6, 2010 In Order 719 and Order 719-A issued by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"), the FERC enacted new rules aimed at eliminating barriers to demand response while achieving comity between state and federal regulatory policy concerning demand response in areas served by organized wholesale electric markets. Those FERC orders require independent system operators to modify their federal tariffs to allow market participation of customer demand side resources facilitated by "Aggregators of Retail Customers" (ARCs) unless prohibited by the laws of the relevant electric retail regulatory authority.<sup>1</sup>

The FERC has stated that its intent in structuring its new requirements in this manner was to remove demand response barriers in wholesale markets while not to interfering with successful demand response programs, placing undue burdens on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Order 719 at ¶154; Order 719-A at ¶41.

regulators, or raising new federal-state jurisdictional concerns.<sup>2</sup> The Midwest Independent System Operator ("MISO") and the Southwest Power Pool ("SPP") are presently in the process of adopting changes necessary to implement the requirements of FERC Order 719 and 719-A.

 $\mathbf{V}$ 

In addition, Senate Bill 376, the Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act (MEEIA), declares that the policy of Missouri is to value demand-side investments equal to traditional investments in supply and delivery infrastructure. Among other provisions, the law requires the Commission to direct the implementation of demand-side programs "with a goal of achieving all cost effective demand-side savings," coupled with timely cost recovery and alignment of utility financial incentives with energy efficiency. The law requires that Commission-approved programs result in energy or demand savings that are beneficial to all customers in the customer class that a demand side program is designed to serve, and further encourages the development of demand side programs targeted to low income customers that are in the public interest.

The Commission is opening this case to investigate how to achieve its new responsibilities under the MEEIA, within the background of FERC policies that eliminate barriers to demand response and that direct MISO and SPP to accommodate state policy regarding retail customer demand-side activity. The Commission will explore the best model or models to achieve the requirements of the MEEIA through state demand-side programs, wholesale market opportunities available in MISO or SPP, or possible hybrid approaches, and the implications for resource planning under various approaches. The Commission will investigate the roles for utilities, ARCs, customers in all classes, and other

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Order 719 at ¶155

stakeholders in designing the appropriate means of achieving Missouri's policy objectives, and for interacting with MISO and SPP.

3

To that end, the Commission is opening this working case to address these matters. Initially, the Commission will invite the public and interested utilities to submit written comments. After those comments are collected, the Commission will schedule a workshop at which interested entities and persons can gather to discuss these matters. Ultimately, the Commission will develop a new rule to address these matters.

This file will serve as a repository for documents and comments. Using this file, any person with an interest in this matter may view documents pertaining to the investigation and may submit any pertinent responsive comments or documents. As this is not a contested case, any person may file a comment without counsel and without *ex parte* constraints (arising from this matter). Intervention requests are not necessary to submit comments or view documents.

The public is welcome to file comments by forwarding electronic communications through the electronic filing and information system (EFIS) or by mailing written comments. You may submit electronic comments by visiting the Commission's website at <a href="http://www.psc.mo.gov">http://www.psc.mo.gov</a>. (Click on the EFIS/Case filings link on the left side of the page. Scroll down and click on the public comment link. Please reference case number EW-2010-0187.) Written comments in hard copy should be addressed to the Commission at P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 and should reference File No. EW-2010-0187. You can view the contents of the file by following the link at <a href="http://www.psc.mo.gov">http://www.psc.mo.gov</a>.

The Commission has numerous questions regarding MEEIA and ARCs that it will ask participants to address:

1. Does the term "energy efficiency" include shifting demand to off-peak periods? See Section 393.1124.2(4). Does "modify net consumption" as used in Section 393.1124.2(3) include shifting demand to off peak periods? See Section 393.1124.2(2).

2. What does "load management" as used in Section 393.1124.2(3) mean?

3. What is "demand savings"? How should "demand savings" be determined? See Section 393.1124.4

4. How should "energy savings" be determined? See Section 393.1124.4. Should there be a regular, standard process for determining whether a utility program achieves "cost-effective measurable and verifiable efficiency savings"? See Section 393.1124.3(3). If "yes," what should be that regular, standard process?

5. What is meant by the term(s) "rate design modifications" / "rate design modification" as it appears in Section 393.1124.5?

6. How does a "customer" "notify" the "electric corporation" that the customer elects not to participate in demand-side measures offered by an "electrical corporation"? See Section 393.1124.7.

7. Is there any significance to the fact that the term "electric corporation" appears in SB 376 in addition to the term "electrical corporation," and the term "electric corporation" is not a defined term in Section 386.020?

8. What is the definition of the term "customer" as that term is used in SB 376?

9. What is meant by the term "corporation-specific settlements" which appears in Section 393.1124.11?

10. How does, or how should, an electrical corporation propose a demand-side program pursuant to Section 393.1124? See Section 393.1124.4. How does, or show

should, the Commission approve demand-side programs proposed pursuant to Section 393.1124? See Section 393.1124.4.

7

11. How should the determination be made whether a demand-side program is beneficial to all customers in a customer class regardless of whether the program is utilized by all customers? See Section 393.1124.4.

12. Does any Missouri statute, case law, or regulation prohibit or restrict electric utility customers from participating directly or indirectly through aggregator of retail customers (ARCs) in demand response bidding programs, as discussed in FERC's Order Nos. 719 and 719(A)?

13. Does a single retail customer or an ARC act as a public utility subject to MoPSC regulation under Missouri statute, case law, or regulation if it bids demand response into SPP's or MISO's organized energy market?

14. Does the right to furnish retail electric service under Section 393.170 give a certificated utility an exclusive right to "benefit" from demand response activities of its retail customers either directly or indirectly through an ARC?

15. How would a certificated utility and its other retail customers be affected if a single retail customer or an ARC bid demand response directly into SPP's or MISO's organized energy market?

16. What would be the effect on utility rate design if a single retail customer or an ARC bids demand response directly into SPP's or MISO's organized energy market?

17. What would be the effect on utility revenue collection if a single retail customer or an ARC bids demand response directly into SPP's or MISO's organized energy market?

18. How would utility's long-term load forecasting process change if a single retail customer or an ARC bids demand response directly into SPP's or MISO's organized energy market?

19. How would utility's budgeting process change if a single retail customer or an ARC bids demand response directly into SPP's or MISO's organized energy market?

20. Are there any other consequences of allowing participation in demand response programs by a single retail customer or an ARC?

21. How would customers' demand rates be estimated if a single retail customer or an ARC bids demand response directly into SPP's or MISO's organized energy market?

22. How would demand sales be transacted from an operation standpoint if a single retail customer or an ARC bids demand response directly into SPP's or MISO's organized energy market?

23. Would existing or planned demand response programs, and the costs associated with implementation of these programs, be undermined or cause a loss in benefits to retail ratepayers if a single retail customer or an ARC bids demand response directly into SPP's or MISO's organized energy market?

24. If the MoPSC has the authority to do so, what conditions would the MoPSC place on a single retail customer or an ARC if it bids demand response directly into SPP's or MISO's organized energy market?

25. How are efforts to encourage demand response by MoPSC jurisdictional electric utilities implicated if a single retail customer or an ARC bids demand response directly in SPP's or MISO's organized energy market?

26. How are efforts to encourage energy efficiency programs by MoPSC jurisdictional electric utilities implicated if a single retail customer or an ARC bids demand response directly into SPP's or MISO's organized energy market?

# THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT :

1. This case is established to investigate how the Commission may achieve its new responsibilities under the Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act, within the background of FERC policies that eliminate barriers to demand response and that direct MISO and SPP to accommodate state policy regarding retail customer demand-side activity.

2. The Commission's data center shall mail a copy of this notice to those potentially interested person or organizations listed in Appendix A.

3. The Commission's Public Information Office shall make this notice available to the news media of this state and to the members of the General Assembly.

4. This order shall become effective immediately upon issuance.

# BY THE COMMISSION

Steven C. Reed Secretary

(SEAL)

2

Clayton, Chm., Davis, Jarrett, Gunn, and Kenney, CC., concur.

Woodruff, Chief Regulatory Law Judge

## APPENDIX A

\*

Demand Response Industry Contacts for Missouri proceeding

Aggregator of Retail Customers (ARC) David D. Ellis President, Clean Power Markets, Inc. SVP Business Development Comverge, Inc. Office: 484.734.2211 Mobile: 610.213.1182 dellis@comverge.com www.comverge.com

ARC

Kenneth D. Schisler Sr. Director, Regulatory Affairs EnerNOC, Inc. 101 Federal St., Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02110 410-745-8104 (w) 410-725-1462 kschisler@enernoc.com

End Use Customer/Demand Responder Ken Baker Sr. Mgr. Sustainable Regulation 2001 SE 10th Street Bentonville, AR 72716-0550 479-204-0404 Ken.Baker@wal-mart.com

End Use Customer/Demand Responder Angela S. Beehler Sr. Director of Energy Regulation/Legislation Sam Walton Development Complex 2001 SE 10th Street Bentonville, AR 72716-0550 Phone 479-204-0437 angie.beehler@wal-mart.com

ARC

Tom Rutigliano Program Manager, Mid-Atlantic and Midwest CPower, Inc. 617-899-6190

### tom.rutigliano@cpowered.com

### ARC

3

Paul Tyno Executive Vice President Market Development Energy Curtailment Specialists, Inc. 4455 Genesee Street Bldg. 6, Buffalo, NY 14225 (877) 711-5453 ext. 229 (716) 818-8448 ptyno@ecsgrid.com www.ecsgrid.com

#### ARC

Glen Smith Chairman Energy Curtailment Specialists, Inc. 4455 Genesee Street Bldg. 6, Buffalo, NY 14225 877-711-5453 ext. 221 gesmith@ecsgrid.com

Consultant to ARC B. Marie Pieniazek Demand Response & Energy Consulting, LLC 1328 Bozenkill Road Delanson, New York 12053 Cell Phone (518) 470-6692 Phone: (518) 895-5216 Fax: (518) 895-5216 mpieniazek@drenergyconsulting.com Web: www.drenergyconsulting.com

End Use Customer/Demand Responder Damon E. Xenopoulos (Steel Producers) Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, PC 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, DC 20007 Damon.Xenopoulos@bbrslaw.com (202) 342-0800 - Telephone (202) 271-1624 - Cellular/Mobile (202) 342-0807 - Facsimile

Consultant Paul R. Peterson Synapse Energy Economics 22 Pearl Street, Cambridge, MA 02139 617-661-3248 x229 802-387-5105 (VT) ppeterson@synapse-energy.com - -

1

# ARC

Bruce Campbell Director PJM Regulatory Affairs EnergyConnect, Inc. <u>bcampbell@energyconnectinc.com</u> O: 202-360-4371 M: 301-957-0643

Load Serving Entity/ARC Tim Carter Director, Load Response Constellation New Energy 1221 Lamar St., Suite 750, Houston, TX 77010 <u>Tim.carter@constellation.com</u> Work: 713-646-5476 Mobile: 614-374-1987

Load Serving Entity/ARC John Orr Vice President, Energy Policy Constellation Energy 1221 Lamar St., Suite 750, Houston, TX 77010 John.orr@constellation.com Phone: 713-319-5130 STATE OF MISSOURI

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City, Missourí, this 11<sup>th</sup> day of January 2010.

Steven C. Reed Secretary

# MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION January 11, 2010

## File No. EW-2010-0187

General Counsel's Office P.O. Box 360 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 Jefferson City, MD 65102

#### ARC

ĩ

David D. Ellis SVP Business Development Comverge, Inc dellis@comverge.com

### End User Customer/Demand

Angela S. Beehler Sr. Director of Energy Regulation Sam Walton Development Complex 2001 SE 10<sup>th</sup> Street Bentonville, AR 72716-0550

Consultant to ARC Demand Response & Energy Consulting B. Marie Pieniazek 1328 Bozenkill Rd

ARC

EnergyConnect, Inc Bruce Campbell Director PJM Regulatory Affairs bcampbell@energyconnectinc.com

Delanson, New York 12053

Lewis R. Mills, Jr. P.O. Box 2230 200 Madison Street, Suite 650 Jefferson City, MO 65102

#### ARC

Kenneth D Schisler Sr. Director, Regulatory Affairs EnerNOC, Inc 101 Federal St., Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02110

#### ARC

Tom Rutigliano Program Manager CPower, Inc tom.rutigliano@cpowered.com

End User Customer/Demand Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, PC Damon E. Xenopoulos (Steel Producers) 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower

Load Serving Entity/ARC Constellation New Energy Tim Carter Director, Load Response 1221 Lamar St., Suite 750

Washington, DC 20007

Houston, TX 77010

End User Customer/Demand Responder Ken Baker Sr. Mgr. Sustainable Regulation 2001 SE 10<sup>th</sup> Street Bentonville, AR 72716-0550

ARC Paul Tyno Executive VP Market Development 4455 Genesee Street, Bldg 6 Buffalo, NY 14225

Consultant Synapse Energy Economics Paul R. Peterson 22 Pearl Street Cambridge, MA 02139

Load Serving Entity/ARC Constellation New Energy John Orr Vice President, Energy Policy 1221 Lamar St., Suite 750 Houston, TX 77010

Enclosed find a certified copy of an ORDER in the above-numbered matter(s).

Sincerely,

Steven C. Reed Secretary

Uncertified Copies Emailed to Agencies without an address