Exhibit No.: Issues: Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared:

Effect of Transfer on Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Kevin L. Redhage AmerenUE Direct Testimony EO-2004-0108 September 17, 2003

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

,

2

CASE NO. EO-2004-0108

FILED⁴ APR 1 6 2004

Missouri Public Service Commission

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

KEVIN L. REDHAGE

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

> St. Louis, Missouri September, 2003

and
K <u>F</u>

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of the Application of Union) Electric Company (d/b/a AmerenUE) for) an order authorizing the sale, transfer) and assignment of certain Assets, Real) Estate, Leased Property, Easements and) Contractual Agreements to Central Illinois) Public Service Company (d/b/a AmerenCIPS)) and, in connection therewith, certain other) related transactions.)

Case No. EO-2004-0108

AFFIDAVIT OF KEVIN L. REDHAGE

STATE OF MISSOURI)
) ss
CITY OF ST. LOUIS)

Kevin L. Redhage, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

1. My name is Kevin L. Redhage. I work in the City of St. Louis, Missouri, and I am a Finance Professional in the Financial Planning and Investments Department of Ameren Services Company.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Direct Testimony on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE consisting of <u>10</u> pages and Schedules 1 through 3, all of which have been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in theabove-referenced docket.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct. $\gamma = \rho = \rho = \rho$

Kevin L. Redhage

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $\frac{17\pi^2}{100}$ day of September 2003.

Notary Public

My commission expires:



1		DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		KEVIN L. REDHAGE
4		UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY
5		d/b/a AmerenUE
6		CASE NO. EO-2004-0108
7		
8	Q.	Please state your name, address, and occupation.
9	A.	My name is Kevin L. Redhage. My business address is 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis,
10		Missouri. I am a Finance Professional in the Financial Planning and Investments Department at
11		Ameren Services Company ("Ameren Services").
12	Q.	How long have you held this position?
13	A.	I have held this position since February 1992.
14	Q.	What are your principal duties?
15	А.	My principal duties include the following: monitoring investment activity and coordination of trust
16		and regulatory issues concerning Union Electric Company's d/b/a AmerenUE ("Company")
17		Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund; reviewing capital expenditure justifications to assure that
18		they are conducted in accordance with Company policies; and developing economic models for
19		the performance of financial analyses. I also perform other projects as assigned, relative to the area
20		of financial planning, on a case-by-case basis.
21	Q.	Please describe your educational background.

ę

- A. I graduated with a Bachelor of Science degree in Civil Engineering from the University of Missouri
 Rolla in 1979. In 1991, I received a Masters degree in Business Administration (MBA) from
 Webster University in St. Louis, Missouri, with an emphasis in Finance.
 Q. What is your work experience at the Company?
- A. I was employed by the Company in May 1981 as an Assistant Engineer in the Nuclear Construction
 Department at the Company's Callaway Nuclear Power Plant ("Callaway"). While serving in this
 department, I was promoted from Assistant Engineer to Engineer. In these positions, I performed
 various construction management activities, both technical and administrative in nature.
- In April 1986, following the completion of Callaway construction, I transferred to the newly
 formed Quality Services Department, located in the Company's St. Louis headquarters. My
 principal responsibility in this position was the review of Company suppliers' quality assurance
 ("QA") programs, and the on-site verification of the implementation of the QA programs at the
 suppliers' facilities. In this position, I also was involved in the development of internal Company
 QA programs.

After I attained my MBA in Finance, I was assigned to the Financial Planning and
Investments Department as a Financial Specialist. This title was later changed to "Finance
Professional." This is the position I currently hold with the principal duties as described earlier.

18

ŝ

Q. Are you familiar with the subject matter of this proceeding?

A. Yes. AmerenUE is proposing to transfer its electric transmission and distribution and gas properties
 in the Metro East service area in Illinois to Central Illinois Public Service Company d/b/a
 AmerenCIPS. The logistics of this transfer and the benefits expected to accrue to Missouri
 ratepayers are discussed in the testimonies of Mr. Craig Nelson and Mr. Richard Voytas.

- 1 Q. What is the scope of your testimony?
- A. My testimony will address the effect the proposed transfer will have on Missouri ratepayers relative
 to the recovery of nuclear decommissioning expenses.
- 4 Q. Are you sponsoring any schedules?
- 5 A. Yes. I am sponsoring Schedule Numbers 1 through 3.
- Q. Please describe how nuclear decommissioning costs are currently allocated between the
 various jurisdictions that the Company serves.
- 8 A. Currently, the amount of decommissioning cost allocated to each jurisdiction is computed by
 9 multiplying the total decommissioning cost estimate by the "12-Month Coincident Peak Demand
 10 Allocation Factor" applicable to that jurisdiction.
- 11 These "allocation factors" are based on a twelve-month average of the amount of 12 jurisdictional peak demand coincident with the Company's peak demand for each of the 13 Company's three jurisdictions: Missouri, Illinois and Wholesale. The latest available allocation 14 factors, for the twelve-months ending December 31, 2002, are as indicated in the "Pre-Property 15 Transfer" table of Schedule 1. As indicated on this schedule, the allocation factors applicable to 16 the Missouri, Illinois and Wholesale jurisdictions are 91.27%, 6.88% and 1.85%, respectively. 17 Q. How would the foregoing 12-Month Coincident Peak Demand Allocation Factors be 18 adjusted to reflect the transfer of the Company's Illinois properties? 19 A. As a result of the transfer, the Company would no longer have an Illinois jurisdiction. Coincident
- demands for that jurisdiction would consequently go to zero. The allocation factors for the
 remaining Missouri and Wholesale jurisdictions would then be based on the proportionate average
 coincident peak demand values for these two jurisdictions. The "Post-Property Transfer" table of

1		Schedule 1 illustrates the resulting allocation factors, assuming the elimination of the Illinois
2		jurisdiction's average coincident demand. The Missouri allocation factor increases to 98.01% and
3		the Wholesale allocation factor increases to 1.99%.
4	Q.	What effect would the foregoing change in allocation factors have on the amount of
5		decommissioning cost for which Missouri ratepayers are responsible?
6	A.	The latest site-specific decommissioning study (performed by TLG Services, Inc. in August 2002)
7		estimated total decommissioning costs to be \$515,339,000 in terms of 2002 dollars. This estimate
8		was used in the Company's most recent triennial decommissioning cost and funding update filing
9		(Case No. EO-2003-0083). Applying the allocation factor of 98.01% to this total cost of
10		decommissioning results in a decommissioning cost of \$505,073,512 allocable to Missouri
11		ratepayers.
12	Q.	What is the current valuation of the Illinois jurisdictional sub-account of the Callaway
13		Plant Tax-Qualified Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund (the "qualified trust fund")?
14	A.	As of June 30, 2003, the Illinois jurisdictional sub-account of the qualified trust fund contained
15		assets with a market value of \$13,801,353.70 and a book value of \$11,554,953.31. At the
16		applicable composite income tax rate of 24.5283%, this results in a "after-tax liquidation value" of
17		\$13,250,349.83.
18	Q.	Assuming that the proposed property transfer is approved, what would be the accounting
19		treatment of the funds in the Illinois sub-account?
20	A.	The funds in the Illinois jurisdictional sub-account would be reallocated to the Missouri and
21		Wholesale sub-accounts. The latest available 12-Month Coincident Peak Demand Allocation
22		Factors, adjusted to exclude Illinois demands, would be applied to the market value of the funds

ç

1		in the Illinois jurisdictional sub-account to determine the amounts to be allocated to the remaining
2		Missouri and Wholesale jurisdictions. Schedule 2 illustrates this reallocation, based on the
3		allocation factors for the twelve-months ending December 31, 2002 and the June 30, 2003
4		qualified trust fund valuations (the latest available data for the respective parameters at the time of
5		preparation of this testimony).
6	Q.	Is there a precedent for the jurisdictional responsibility for nuclear decommissioning costs
7		and the jurisdictional sub-account being reallocated in this manner?
8	A.	Yes, there is. At the time the Company sold the transmission and distribution properties in its Iowa
9		jurisdiction in 1992, the nuclear decommissioning cost and trust fund balance were reallocated to
10		Missouri in this same manner. The Commission approved this reallocation in its Order in Case
11		Nos. EM-92-225 and EM-92-253, dated December 22, 1992.
12	Q.	Does the increase in decommissioning cost allocable to Missouri ratepayers require an
12 13	Q.	Does the increase in decommissioning cost allocable to Missouri ratepayers require an increase in the annual jurisdictional expense and contribution amount to the Missouri
12 13 14	Q.	Does the increase in decommissioning cost allocable to Missouri ratepayers require an increase in the annual jurisdictional expense and contribution amount to the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account of the Callaway Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund at this
12 13 14 15	Q.	Does the increase in decommissioning cost allocable to Missouri ratepayers require an increase in the annual jurisdictional expense and contribution amount to the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account of the Callaway Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund at this time?
12 13 14 15 16	Q. A.	Does the increase in decommissioning cost allocable to Missouri ratepayers require an increase in the annual jurisdictional expense and contribution amount to the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account of the Callaway Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund at this time? No. I performed a "Zone of Reasonableness" analysis for the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account
12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A.	Does the increase in decommissioning cost allocable to Missouri ratepayers require anincrease in the annual jurisdictional expense and contribution amount to the Missourijurisdictional sub-account of the Callaway Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund at thistime?No. I performed a "Zone of Reasonableness" analysis for the Missouri jurisdictional sub-accountassuming the reallocation of a portion of the Illinois decommissioning expense liability to Missouri
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A.	Does the increase in decommissioning cost allocable to Missouri ratepayers require an increase in the annual jurisdictional expense and contribution amount to the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account of the Callaway Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund at this time? No. I performed a "Zone of Reasonableness" analysis for the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account assuming the reallocation of a portion of the Illinois decommissioning expense liability to Missouri ratepayers and assuming the reallocation of a portion of the existing Illinois jurisdictional sub-
 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	Q. A.	Does the increase in decommissioning cost allocable to Missouri ratepayers require an increase in the annual jurisdictional expense and contribution amount to the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account of the Callaway Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund at this time? No. I performed a "Zone of Reasonableness" analysis for the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account assuming the reallocation of a portion of the Illinois decommissioning expense liability to Missouri ratepayers and assuming the reallocation of a portion of the existing Illinois jurisdictional sub- account's assets to the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account, as previously discussed. All of the
 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	Q.	Does the increase in decommissioning cost allocable to Missouri ratepayers require an increase in the annual jurisdictional expense and contribution amount to the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account of the Callaway Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund at this time? No. I performed a "Zone of Reasonableness" analysis for the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account assuming the reallocation of a portion of the Illinois decommissioning expense liability to Missouri ratepayers and assuming the reallocation of a portion of the existing Illinois jurisdictional sub- account's assets to the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account, as previously discussed. All of the other financial and economic assumptions and input parameters were held identical to those used
 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	Q .	Does the increase in decommissioning cost allocable to Missouri ratepayers require an increase in the annual jurisdictional expense and contribution amount to the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account of the Callaway Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund at this time? No. I performed a "Zone of Reasonableness" analysis for the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account assuming the reallocation of a portion of the Illinois decommissioning expense liability to Missouri ratepayers and assuming the reallocation of a portion of the existing Illinois jurisdictional sub- account's assets to the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account, as previously discussed. All of the other financial and economic assumptions and input parameters were held identical to those used in the Zone of Reasonableness analysis presented in Case No. EO-2003-0083 (the Company's

ŝ

1		The results of the Zone of Reasonableness analysis are presented in Schedule 3. In
2		summary, the analysis indicates that the current annual decommissioning expense and contribution
3		amount of \$6,214,184 would be adequate within a range of decommissioning inflation values from
4		a low of 3.312% (based on "conservative" financial and economic assumptions) to a high of
5		4.500% (based on "optimistic" assumptions). At "expected" financial and economic assumption
6		values, the current annual contribution amount would be adequate for a decommissioning inflation
7		level of 3.854%.
8	Q.	Does the Company anticipate future decommissioning inflation rates to be within the
9		foregoing range?
10	A.	Yes. In the last triennial funding update (Case No. EO-2003-0083), the Company derived a
11		projected decommissioning inflation rate of 4.036%. Applying the same methodology, but using
12		updated sources for parameters used in the projection, an updated decommissioning inflation rate
13		projection of 3.472% was derived.
14	Q.	Please describe the methodology used to derive the 3.472% projected decommissioning
15		inflation rate.
16	A.	Decommissioning inflation is a composite of individual inflation rates associated with various
17		decommissioning cost elements. For the purpose of this analysis, a projected decommissioning
18		inflation rate was derived in a manner similar to the escalation of the minimum financial assurance
19		amount for decommissioning required by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC") in the
20		Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 50.75). This escalation is performed using a "three-factor
21		formula" which applies weighting factors to the inflation experienced for three primary

÷

decommissioning cost elements. These cost elements and their respective weighting factors are as follows:

٠

1

2

÷

3	• Labor	costs,		65%	Weightir	ng Facto	r,				
4	• Energy	costs,		13%	Weightir	ng Facto	r,				
5	• Waste	burial	costs,	22%	Weightir	ng Facto	r.				
6	Average	e forec	ast inflat	ion rate	es for "C	ompens	ation pe	r hour" v	were use	ed as a p	redictor of
7	labor cost inflat	ion, wl	hile avera	age for	ecast rate	es for "P	rice of I	mported	l Oil (\$/]	Barrel)"	were used
8	as a predictor of	of ener	gy costs	. The	se projec	ted valu	ies were	e obtain	ed for th	ne perio	d of 2004
9	through 2012	from	the Jun	e 25,	2003 "	Long-T	erm Ec	onomic	Outloc	ok" pub	lished by
10	Macroeconomi	c Advi	sers and	are as	follows:						
11 12		2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012 A	nnualized
13 14 15	Compensation Per hour:	3.5%	3.4%	3.7%	4.0%	4.1%	3.9%	3.9%	3.9%	4.0%	3.82%
16 17 18 19	Price of Imported Oil (\$/Barrel): -2	20.8%	-2.4%	0.3%	1.0%	1.5%	1.5%	1.6%	1.9%	2.0%	-1.80%
20 21	There a	are no	available	e forec	casts for	waste b	ourial int	flation;	consequ	ently, th	e forecast
22	escalation for th	us para	meter w	as base	d on its l	nistorica	trend.	Historic	al waste	burial va	alues were
23	obtained from 1	NURE	G-1307,	Revisi	ion 10, v	which is	a regula	tion add	opted by	the NR	C. These
24	values are as fo	llows:									

7

1		Index Value
2		
5 4 5		1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002
5 6 7		12.824 12.771 15.852 15.886 N/A 18.129 N/A 18.732
8		This NUREG revision was published in October, 2002 and the waste burial cost index
9		values contained therein extend through 2002. Based on the foregoing historical data, an annualized
10		inflation rate of 5.56% has been experienced over the seven-year period of 1995 to 2002.
11		The weighted-average decommissioning inflation rate is then computed by applying the
12		previously noted weighting factors to the projected inflation values for each of the individual
13		components, as follows:
14 15 16 17		Labor: 65% x 3.82% = 2.483% Energy: 13% x -1.80% = -0.234% Waste Burial: 22% x 5.56% = 1.223%
18 19 20 21		Weighted Average = 3.472%
22		Considering the projected decommissioning inflation value of 3.472% resulting from the
23		foregoing "three-factor formula" analysis, the Company believes that the current decommissioning
24		expense and contribution amount of \$6,214,184 continues to be appropriate as it would be
25		sufficient up to a 3.854% projected decommissioning inflation rate under the expected Zone of
26		Reasonableness boundary assumptions.
27	Q.	To put the issue of decommissioning expense into perspective of overall rates, what
28		percentage does this expense comprise of the overall annual operating expenses of the
29		Company in serving Missouri electric jurisdictional customers?

ş

1	A.	The current annual decommissioning expense of \$6,214,184 constitutes approximately 0.37% of
2		the total annual operating expense associated with serving the Company's Missouri electric
3		customers.

4	Q.	Will the Company continue to monitor the valuation of the qualified trust fund and the
5		annual contribution amounts to assure that funding adequacy is maintained in the future?
6	A.	Yes. 4 CSR 240-3.185(3) of the Missouri Code of State Regulations requires the Company to
7		file updated decommissioning cost studies and proposed funding levels with the Commission every
8		three years. The Company must make its next filing by September 1, 2005. Should any
9		adjustments in the level of annual contributions be necessary to maintain decommissioning funding
10		adequacy, they will be addressed at that time.

11

SUMMARY

12 Q. In summary, what does the Company seek from the MPSC with regard to nuclear 13 decommissioning costs?

14 A. In conjunction with the proposed transfer of AmerenUE's properties in the Metro East area in
15 Illinois to AmerenCIPS, the Company is requesting that the MPSC concurrently approve:

16 1) The reallocation of a portion of the decommissioning cost previously allocated to Illinois ratepayers to Missouri ratepayers;

18 2) The reallocation of a portion of the funds currently in the Illinois jurisdictional sub-account of 19 the nuclear decommissioning trust fund to the Missouri jurisdictional sub-account;

3) The use of the latest available 12-Month Coincident Peak Demand Allocation Factors,
adjusted for the elimination of the Illinois demands, for the performance of the above
reallocations; and

8	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
7		presented in Case No. EO-2003-0083) continue to be valid and acceptable to the Commission.
6		parameters used in the Zone of Reasonableness analysis contained in Schedule 3 (identical to those
5		are reflected in its current rates for ratemaking purposes; and, that the economic and financial input
4		decommissioning expenses for Callaway are included in the Company's current cost of service and
3		The Company is also requesting the Commission to confirm that the foregoing
2		contributions to the qualified trust fund at the current level of \$6,214,184 annually.
1		4) The Company's continuing to accrue decommissioning expenses and to make annual

9 A. Yes, it does.

.

•'

Union Electric Company (d/b/a AmerenUE) AVERAGE PEAK DEMANDS AT TIME OF AMEREN PEAK 12 Months Ended December 31, 2002

\$

Pre-Property Transfer Includes Illinois Demands							
Total Ultimate Consumers Sales For							
Company Missouri Illinois				Resale			
Average Demands: 6,497,799 6,050,791 447,008							
Applicable to Resale:	120,531						
Total:	6,497,799	5,930,260	447,008	120,531			
Fixed Allocation %:	100.00%	91.27%	6.88%	1.85%			

Post-Property Transfer Excludes Illinois Demands					
	TotalUltimate ConsumersSaleCompanyMissouriIllinoisRe				
Average Demands:	6,050,791	6,050,791	0	0	
Applicable to Resale:	0	(120,531)	0	120,531	
Total:	6,050,791	5,930,260	0	120,531	
Fixed Allocation %:	100.00%	98.01%	0.00%	1.99%	

Schedule 1 Page 1 of 1

Missouri Hlinois Wholesale Total Pre-Property Transfer Balances (Pre-Reallocations): Market Value: \$172,497,766.01 \$13,801,353.70 \$4,921,020.88 \$191,220,1 Book Value: 141,297,957.55 11,554,953.31 4,887,872.27 157,740,7 Unrealized Gains: 31,199,808.46 2.246,400.39 33,148.61 33,479.3 Composite Income Tax Rate: 24.5283% 24.5283% 24.5283% 24.5283% 24.5283% Income Tax Liability on Unrealized Gains: (7,652,783.21) (551,003.87) (8,130,79) (8,211,9) Operatory Aper Tax Liability on Unrealized Gains: (7,652,783.21) (551,003.87) (6,130,79) (12,000,00)	
Pre-Property Transfer Balances (Pre-Reallocations): Market Value: \$172,497,766.01 \$13,801,353.70 \$4,921,020.88 \$191,220.1 Book Value: 141,297,957.55 11,554,953.31 4,887,872.27 157,740,7 Unrealized Gains: 31,199,808.46 2.246,400.39 33,148.61 33,479.3 Composite Income Tax Rate: 24.5283%	
Pre-Property Transfer Balances (Pre-Reallocations): Market Value: \$172,497,766.01 \$13,801,353.70 \$4,921,020.88 \$191,220.1 Book Value: 141,297,957.55 11,554,953.31 4,887,872.27 157,740,7 Unrealized Gains: 31,199,808.46 2.246,400.39 33,148.61 33,249.3 Composite Income Tax Rate: 24.5283%	
Pre-Property Transfer Balances (Pre-Reallocations): \$172,497,766.01 \$13,801,353.70 \$4,921,020.88 \$191,220,1 Market Value: \$172,497,766.01 \$13,801,353.70 \$4,921,020.88 \$191,220,1 Book Value: 141,297,957.55 11,554,953.31 4,887,872.27 157,740,7 Unrealized Gains: 31,199,808.46 2.246,400.39 33,148.61 33,479,3 Composite Income Tax Rate: 24.5283%<	
Market Value: \$172,497,766.01 \$13,801,353.70 \$4,921,020.88 \$191,220.1 Book Value: 141,297,957.55 11,554,953.31 4,887,872.27 157,740,7 Unrealized Gains: 31,199,808.46 2.246,400.39 33,148.61 33,479.2 Composite Income Tax Rate: 24,5283%	-
Book Value: 141,297,957.55 11,554,953.31 4,887,872.27 157,740,2 Unrealized Gains: 31,199,808.46 2.246,400.39 33,148.61 33,479.2 Composite Income Tax Rate: 24,5283% 24,52	40.59
Unrealized Gains: 31,199,308,46 2.246,400.39 33,148,61 33,479.5 Composite Income Tax Rate: 24,5283%	83.13
Composite Income Tax Rate: 24.5283% 26.5283% 26.5283% 26.	57.46
Income Tax Liability on Unrealized Gains: (7,652,783.21) (551,003.87) (8,130.79) (8,211,5 After Tax Liability of Unrealized Gains: (7,652,783.21) (551,003.87) (8,130.79) (8,211,5	5283%
After Tax Limitation Value: \$164,844,082,80 \$12,250,240,83 \$4,012,800,00 \$182,008,3	17.87)
Andritax Equivation value: \$104,844,982.80 \$15,230,349.83 \$4,912,890.09 \$183,008,2	22.72
Post-Property Transfer Reallocation Factor (As of 12/31/02): 98.01% 0.00% 1.99% 16	0.00%
	I
Amounts of Reallocations:	I
Market Value: \$13,526,432.46 (\$13,801,353.70) \$274,921.24	\$0.00)
Book Value: 11,324,780.09 (11,554,953.31) 230,173.22	0.00
Unrealized Gains: 2,201,652.38 (2.246,400.39) 44,748.01	0.00
Income Tax Liability on Unrealized Gains: (540,027,94) 551,003.87 (10,975,93)	(0.00)
After-Tax Liquidation Value: \$12,986,404.52 (\$13,250,349.83) \$263,945.31	\$0.00)
Post-Property Transfer Balances (Post-Reallocations):	
Market Value: \$186.024.198.47 \$0.00 \$5.195.942.12 \$191.220	40.59
Book Value: 152.622.737.64 0.00 5.118.045.49 157.740.	83.13
Unrealized Gains: 33,401,460,84 0.00 77,896,62 33,479.	57.46
Income Tax Liability on Unrealized Gains: (8,192,811,15) 0.00 (19,106,72) (8,211.0	17.87
After-Tax Liquidation Value: \$177.831.387.32 \$0.00 \$5.176.835.40 \$183.008	22.72

CALLAWAY PLANT TAX-QUALIFIED NUCLEAR DECOMMISSIONING TRUST FUND REALLOCATION OF ILLINOIS JURISCOLCTIONAL SUBACCOUNT BALANCES Sub-Account Valuations as of June 30, 2003

Note 1:

.

5

The reallocation factor used above is based on the 12CP coincidem demand factors for the 12-months ending December 31, 2002. This is the latest available value for this parameter at the time of preparation of this testimony.

Note 2:

In actual practice, the securities in the Illinois sub-account will <u>not</u> be sold and the proceeds reinvested in the remaining jurisdictional sub-accounts. Doing this would result in a realized gain which would incur an income tax hability. Instead, the individual securities in the Illinois sub-account will be "reassigned" to the Missouri and Wholesale subaccounts. This "reassignment" will be performed in such a manner that the market value is reallocated as closely as possible in accordance with the reallocation factors, while maintaining the index replication of the sub-accounts. But, since the reallocation is being performed by reassigning individual securities with fixed book values, the exact reallocation amounts may vary slightly when considered from a market versus a book value perspective.

In addition, the actual reallocation will be performed at the time the Company so directs the trustee, following receipt of Commission approval. The actual market values will, in all probability, have changed from those indicated in the above spreadsheet.

Consequently, the reallocation of the "After-Tax Liquidation Value" should coincide closely with the values indicated in the above spreadsheet, but cannot be expected to match precisely.

Schedule 2 Page 1 of 1

-- --

AmerenUE

Callaway Plant Tax-Qualified Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund Projection ______Missouri Jurisdiction

,

ŝ.

1 Current Year:	2003
2 Year Decommissioning Begins:	2024
3 Year Decommissioning Ends:	2033
4 End-Of-Year Fund Balances	
June 30, 2003 End-Of-Quarter Fund Balance:	\$177,831,387
2033 End-Of-Year Fund Balance:	\$0
	After entering all data, manually set this cell equal to the Final, Ending Balance of Fund, located on "Fund Projections" worksheet!
5 Annual Contribution to Fund	
Current:	\$6,214,184
Revised:	\$6,214,184
Effective Date of Revised Annual Contribution	
Year:	2004
Quarter:	1
6 Portfolio Return Assumptions	
Asset Allocation	
Equities:	65.000%
Bonds:	35.000%
Real Return on Bonds:	4.500%
CPI Inflation:	3.000%
Nominal Return on Bonds:	7.500%
Equity Premium over Bonds:	4.000%
Nominal Return on Equities:	11.500%
Weighted Average Return:	10.100%
Switch Out of Equities at End-Of-Year:	2022
7 Investment Management & Trust Fees (Basis Points)	: 15.00
8 Federal & State Tax Assumptions	
Federal Tax Rate:	20.0000%
Missouri State Income Tax Rate:	6.2500%
Percentage of Federal Taxes Deductible on MO Taxes:	50.0000%
Composite Tax Rate:	24.5283%

AmerenUE Callaway Plant Tax-Qualified Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund Projection <u>Missouri Jurisdiction</u>

1 Decommissioning Expense Estimates

.

3

Original, Total Decommissioning Cost Estimate: Original Estimate Based On: Current, Total Decommissioning Cost Estimate: Demand Allocator (Missouri - Post Property Transfer]: MO Jurisdictional, Total Decommissioning Cost Estimate: Decommissioning Inflation:



		Decomm	issioning Expense	Calculat	ion	
			Missouri			Missouri
	Aug. 2002 TLG Study	Aug. 2002 TLG Study	Jurisdictional		Inflation Factor At	Jurisdictional
	Total	Decommissioning	Decommissioning	# of	3.854%	Decommissioning
	Decommissioning	Expenses	Expenses	Years of	Decommissioning	Expenses
Year	Expenses	% OF TOTAL	2002	Inflation	Inflation Rate	(Inflated \$\$)
TOTAL	\$515,339,000.00	100.00%	\$505,073,512			\$1,344,834,729
	**					
2003	\$0	0.00%	\$0	1	1.0385	\$0
2004	\$0	0.00%	\$0	2	1.0786	\$0
2005	\$0	0.00%	\$0	3	1.1201	\$0
2006	\$0	0.00%	\$0	4	1.1633	\$0
2007	\$0	0.00%	\$0	5	1.2081	\$0
2008	\$0	0.00%	\$0	6	1.2547	\$0
2009	\$0	0.00%	\$0	7	1.3031	\$0
2010	\$0	0.00%	\$0	8	1.3533	\$0
2011	\$0	0.00%	\$0	9	1.4055	\$0
2012	\$0	0.00%	\$0	10	1.4596	\$0
2013	\$0	0.00%	\$0	13	1.5159	\$0
2014	\$0	0.00%	\$0	12	1.5743	\$0
2015	\$0	0.00%	\$0	13	1.6350	\$0
2016	\$0	0.00%	\$0	14	1.6980	\$0
2017	\$0	0.00%	\$0	15	1.7634	\$0
2018	\$0	0.00%	\$0	16	1.8314	\$0
2019	\$0	0.00%	\$0	17	1.9020	\$0
2020	SO	0.00%	\$0	18	1.9753	\$0
2021	\$0	0.00%	\$0	19	2.0514	\$0
2022	\$0	0.00%	\$0	20	2.1305	\$0
2023	\$0	0.00%	\$0	21	2.2126	\$0 \$0
2024	\$9,627,000	1.87%	\$9,435,231	22	2 2979	\$21.681.144
2025	\$56,737,000	11.01%	\$55,606,806	23	2.2915	\$132,001,144
2026	\$108,154,000	20.99%	\$105,999,586	20	2.0005	\$152,703,281
2027	\$103.226.000	20.03%	\$101 169 751	25	2.5740	\$260.406.031
2028	\$57,321.000	11 12%	\$56 179 173	20	2.0730	\$150,176,931
2029	\$57,164,000	11.12%	\$56.025.300	20	2.0752	\$150,170,200 \$155 597 179
2030	\$49,358,000	0 58%	\$48 374 705	21	2.7702	\$120,007,173 \$120,472,007
2031	\$31,638,000	6 14%	\$31,007,775	20	2.0032	\$139,473,987 \$00 847 100
2032	\$34 101 000	6.60%	\$33.401.710	29	2.9940	\$92,847,189
2033	\$8.013.000	1 55%	\$33,721,712 \$7,853,390	30	3.1097	\$103,932,393
2000	40,010,000	1.3370	φr,000,362	31	3.2290	\$25,363,141

AmerenUE Callaway Plant Tax-Qualified Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund Projection Missouri Jurisdiction



 20.00%
6.25%
 50.00%
 24,5283%

44

\$515,339,000
\$515,339,000
98.01%
\$505,073,512
 3.854%
 +

			runa riojeciu	J115			.
Beginning-of-Year Delegan	Annual Contributions To	Pre Tax & Fee	Investment Management & Trust Press	Federal & State Income Tours	After Tax & Fee	Missouri Jurisdictional Decommissioning Expenses	End-Of-Year Release
	\$ 133,604,957	\$ 1,393,331,800	\$ 24,078,940	8 335,854,475	\$ 1,033,398,385	\$ 1,344,834,729	I.
							\$177,831,38
\$ 177,831,387	\$ 3,107,092	\$ 9,058,939	\$ 137,936	\$ 2,188,171	\$ 6,732,833	· \$.	187,671,31
187,671,312	6,214,184	19,268,619	300,619	4,652,528	14,315,472		208,200,96
208,200,968	6,214,184	21,342,114	332,969	5,153,187	15,855,959)	230,271,110
230,271,110	6,214,184	23,571,198	367,746	5,691,413	17,512,040		253,997,334
253,997,334	6,214,184	25,967,547	405,132	6,270,026	19,292,388		279,503,90
279,503,907	6,214,184	28,543.711	445,324	6,892,057	21,206,330	-	306,924,420
306,924,420	6,214,184	31,313,183	488,532	7,560,763	23,263,887		336,402,493
336,402,492	6,214,184	34,290,468	534,982	8,279,647	25,475,838		368,092,514
368,092,514	6,214,184	37,491,160	584,918	9,052,475	27,853,768		402,160,466
402,160,465	6,214,184	40,932.023	638,600	9,883,292	30,410,131		438,784,780
438,784,780	6,214,184	44,631,079	696,311	10,775,453	33,158,315		478,157,280
478,157,280	6,214,184	48,607,702	758,352	11,736,633	36,112,716		520,484,186
520,484,180	6,214,184	52,882.719	825,049	12,768,862	39,288,807		565,987,173
565,987,172	6,214,184	57,478,521	896,750	13.878,547	42,703,223		614,904,579
614,904,579	6,214,184	62,419,179	973,832	15,071,500	46,373,847		667,492,609
667,492,609	6,214,184	67,730,570	1,056,697	16,353,969	50,319,904		724,026,697
724,026,697	6,214,184	73,440,513	1,145,781	17,732,670	54,562,062	-	784,802,943
784,802,943	6,214,184	79,578,914	1,241,549	19,214,825	59,122,539	-	850,139,666
850,139,666	6,214,184	86,177,923	1,344,504	20,808,197	64,025,222		920,379,072
920,379,072	6,214,184	93,272,103	1,455,183	22,521,131	69,295,788		995,889,044
995,889,044	6,214,184	74,924,710	1,554,688	17,996,421	55,373,602	-	1,057,476,830
1,057,476,830	6,214,184	78,730,751	1,633,663	18,910,607	58,186,482	21,681,144	1,100,196,352
1,100,196,352	-	77,538,353	1,608,921	18,624,200	57,305,232	132,703,281	1,024,798,303
1,024,798,303	-	67,008,126	1,390,419	16,094,909	49,522,798	262,713,235	811,607,866
811,607,866	-	51,105,330	1,060,436	12,275,163	37,769,732	260,406,931	588,970,667
588,970,667	•	38,541,190	799,730	9,257,339	28,484,121	150,176,256	467,278,537
467,278,532		29,213,246	606,175	7,016,829	21,590,242	155,537,173	333,331,602
333,331.602		19,769.596	410.219	4,748.526	14,610,850	139,473.987	208,468.465
208,468,465	-	12,153,365	252.182	2,919,158	8,982,025	92.847.189	124,603,300
124,603,300		5.447.783	113.041	1.308.521	4,026,220	103,932,393	24.697.127
24,697,127	-	901.167	18.699	216.454	666.013	25,363.141	(0
	Beginning-of-Year 177,831,387 187,671,312 208,200,968 230,271,110 253,997,334 279,503,907 306,924,420 336,402,492 368,092,514 402,150,466 438,784,780 478,157,280 50,294,4180 55,987,172 614,904,577 764,802,943 180,55,987,172 614,904,577 764,802,943 180,55,987,172 614,904,577 764,802,943 100,196,552 1,004,798,303 11,100,196,552 1,024,798,303 811,607,866 588,970,667 467,278,553 333,331,602 208,468,465 124,603,300 24,467,137	Annuel Contributions To Beginning-of-Year To 177,831,387 \$ 133,604,957 177,831,387 \$ 133,604,957 177,831,387 \$ 1,07,092 187,671,312 6,214,184 208,200,968 6,214,184 208,200,968 6,214,184 208,200,968 6,214,184 208,200,968 6,214,184 208,200,968 6,214,184 208,200,968 6,214,184 208,202,71,110 6,214,184 208,202,71,110 6,214,184 306,922,420 6,214,184 305,922,420 6,214,184 305,922,420 6,214,184 305,922,420 6,214,184 305,925,14 6,214,184 402,150,466 6,214,184 455,987,172 6,214,184 550,987,172 6,214,184 667,492,609 6,214,184 667,492,609 6,214,184 724,926,097 6,214,184 905,889,044 6,214,184 905,889,044 6,214,184	Annual Contributions To Pre Tax & Fee 8 133,604,957 \$ 1,393,331,800 177,831,387 \$ 3,107,092 \$ 9,058,039 187,671,312 6,214,184 19,208,619 208,200,968 6,214,184 21,322,11 208,200,968 6,214,184 21,342,114 23,357,11,08 23,357,11,08 253,997,334 6,214,184 23,357,11,08 33,506,492,492 6,214,184 31,331,183 336,402,492 6,214,184 37,491,160 31,31,183 336,402,92 6,214,184 37,491,160 402,160,466 6,214,184 37,491,160 402,1184 40,632,023 438,787,780 6,214,184 46,631,077 478,157,280 6,214,184 46,631,077 565,987,172 6,214,184 57,478,52,119 565,587,172 6,214,184 57,478,91 614,904,579 5,214,184 47,374,571 72,4026,697 6,214,184 73,476,81 724,026,697 6,214,184 73,476,81 11,100,196,352 - 77,538,353 1,024,7478,530	Annual Contributions To Pre Tax & Fee Investment & Trust 6 133,604,957 6 1,393,331,800 5 24,078,940 177,831,387 5 3,107,092 \$ 9,058,039 \$ 137,936 187,671,312 6,214,184 19,298,619 300,619 230,209 300,619 208,200,968 6,214,184 21,542,114 332,099 302,619 307,746 253,997,334 6,214,184 23,571,108 367,746 322,997 334 402,148 431,313,183 498,532 306,524,420 6,214,184 31,31,183 498,532 336,402,992 6,214,184 31,31,183 498,532 306,92,514 6,214,184 37,401,160 554,918 338,600 438,784,780 6,214,184 40,631,079 566,313 478,157,280 6,214,184 46,031,079 565,313 478,252,049 52,549 565,987,172 6,214,184 47,374,7521 956,750 52,214,184 70,578,011 1,245,549 567,92,569 6,214,184	Annual Contributions To Pre Tsx & Fee Investment Managenent & Trut Federal & State Income 4 133,604,957 4 1,393,331,800 5 24,078,940 4 335,854,475 177,831,387 5 3,107,092 \$ 9,058,039 \$ 137,936 \$ 2,188,171 187,671,312 6,214,184 19,266,619 300,619 4,652,528 208,200,968 6,214,184 21,342,114 332,909 5,153,187 233,271,110 6,214,184 23,571,108 367,746 5,601,413 279,503,907 5,214,184 21,342,114 332,909 5,153,187 336,002,424 6,214,184 21,342,114 332,909 5,153,187 336,002,424 6,214,184 31,318,183 488,532 7,560,763 336,002,424 6,214,184 31,207,907 596,318 9,052,475 402,160,466 6,214,184 40,932,023 533,860 9,833,292 438,784,780 6,214,184 46,607,702 788,352 11,735,633 520,484,	Ansusi Contributions To Pre Tax & Fee Investment Management & Trust Federal & State Income After Tax & Pee * 133,604,957 * 1,393,331,800 \$ 24,078,940 \$ 335,854,475 \$ 1,033,399,385 177,831,387 \$ 3,107,092 \$ 9,058,039 \$ 137,936 \$ 2,188,171 \$ 6,732,833 187,671,312 6,214,184 19,208,019 300,619 4,652,528 14,315,477 \$ 6,732,833 203,00,066 6,214,184 21,342,11 432,299 5,151,187 15,355,055 220,271,110 6,214,184 23,567,477 405,132 6,207,026 19,292,388 279,503,907 6,214,184 31,313,183 488,532 7,500,763 23,366,847 24,072,457,838 368,002,514 6,214,184 31,431,183 488,532 7,500,763 23,368,847 27,645,768 33,153,183 488,507,702 7,853,562 31,31,53,183 488,507,702 7,853,562 31,31,53,183 488,507,703 32,368,847 27,645,768 33,353,959 33,31,53,3153	Beginning-of-Year Annual Contributions To Pre Tax & Fee Investment Management & Truit Prefersi & State Income After Tax & Fee Juitifactional Decommissioning Xeporase Decommissioning Decommissioning Xeporase Decommissioning Xeporase Decommissioning Decommiss

Schedule 3 Page 3 of 5

AmerenUE

.

Callaway Plant Tax-Qualified Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund Projection Missouri Jurisdiction

	Contribution Boundary Estimates				
1 Portfolio Return Assumptions	Optimistic Estimate	Expected Estimate	Conservative Estimate		
Equity Allocation:	65.000%	65.000%	65,000%		
Bond Allocation:	35.000%	35.000%	35.000%		
Real Return on Bonds:	4.750%	4.500%	4.250%		
CPI Inflation:	3.250%	3.000%	2.750%		
Nominal Return on Bonds:	8.000%	7.500%	7.000%		
Equity Premium over Bonds:	4.500%	4.000%	3.500%		
Nominal Return on Equities:	12.500%	11.500%	10.500%		
Weighted Average Return:	10.925%	10.100%	9.275%		
Switch out of Equities at End-Of-Year:	2024	2022	2021		

2 Decommissioning Expense Estimates

Decommis	ssioning	Inflation:
----------	----------	------------

.

÷

se Dalimates			
	4.500%	3.854%	3.312%

			Optimistic	Expected	Conservative
Revi	sed Annual C	ontribution:	\$6,214,184	\$6,214,184	\$6,214,184
	\$8,000,000	Nuclear Decc	ommissioning Zone of R	easonableness	
Annual Contribution	\$6,000,000 \$4,000,000	\$6,2	14,184 Street at a	\$6,214,184 \$6,214,184	
Revised	\$2,000,000				
	\$0			U	

AmerenUE Callaway Plant Tax-Qualified Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund Projection Missouri Jurisdiction Zone of Reasonableness Analysis

ŧ

	Contribution Boundary Estimates				
Portfolio Return Assumptions	Optimistic Estimate	Expected Estimate	Conservative Estimate		
Equity Allocation:	65.00%	65.00%	65.00%		
Bond Allocation:	35.00%	35.00%	35.00%		
Real Return on Bonds:	4.75%	4.50%	4.25%		
CPI Inflation:	3.25%	3.00%	2.75%		
Nominal Return on Bonds:	8.00%	7.50%	7.00%		
Equity Premium over Bonds:	4.50%	4.00%	3.50%		
Nominal Return on Equities:	12.50%	11.50%	10.50%		
Weighted Average Return:	10.93%	10.10%	9.28%		
Switch out of Equities at End-Of-Year:	2024	2022	2021		

	Required Contribution Amounts						
Decommissioning Inflation:	Optimistic Estimate			Expected Estimate		Conservative Estimate	
2.25%	\$	(4,506,918)	\$	(1.734.816)	\$	798.427	
2.50%	\$	(3,582.209)	\$	(689.436)	\$	1,950.369	
2.75%	\$	(2,599,772)	\$	421,254	\$		
3.00%	\$	(1,556,127)	\$	1,601,193	S	4.474.656	
3.25%	\$	(447,592)	\$	2,854,550	\$	5,855.950	
3.50%	\$	729,728	\$	4,185,738	S	7,323,081	
3.75%	\$	1,979,956	\$	5,599,423	\$	8,881,200	
4.00%	\$	3,307,452	\$	7,100,544	\$	10,535,758	
4.25%	\$	4,716,827	\$	8,694,322	\$	12,292,518	
4.50%	\$	6,212,959	\$	10,386,283	\$	14,157,579	
4.75%	\$	7,801,006	\$	12,182,269	\$	16,137,391	
5.00%	\$	9,486,423	\$	14,088,456	\$	18,238,773	
5.25%	\$	11,274,977	\$	16,111,376	\$	20,468,936	
5.50%	\$	13,172,762	\$	18,257,937	\$	22,835,505	
5.75%	\$	15,186,224	\$	20,535,436	\$	25,346,539	

