

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

Ryan P. Dooley,)	
)	
Complainant,)	
)	<u>File No.: EC-2025-0093</u>
vs.)	
)	
Union Electric Company, d/b/a)	
Ameren Missouri,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

**UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI’S
REPLY TO COMPLAINANT’S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION’S ORDER
DIRECTING COMPLAINANT TO SHOW CAUSE WHY COMPLAINT SHOULD
NOT BE DISMISSED**

COMES NOW, Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri, (“Ameren Missouri”) and for its reply to Complainant’s response to the Commission’s Order Directing Complainant to Show Cause Why Complaint Should Not Be Dismissed states as follows:

1. On December 11, 2024, the Commission ordered the parties to file available dates for a prehearing conference. On December 18, 2024, the parties filed their *Joint Response to Order* with January 15, 2025, as one of their available dates.
2. On December 18, 2025, the Commission issued an order setting a prehearing conference for January 15, 2025, to be conducted via WebEx video and teleconference.
3. Complainant did not appear for the January 15, 2025, prehearing conference and did not contact the Commission to request a continuance of the conference.
4. On January 16, 2025, the Commission issued an order directing complainant to show cause why complaint should not be dismissed for failure to appear for the prehearing conference. The order noted that failure by a party to appear at a prehearing conference

without securing a continuance constitutes grounds for dismissal of a party's complaint, unless good cause for the failure to appear is shown. Complainant was ordered to respond by January 31, 2025. No response was filed.

5. On February 5, 2025, as provided by the Commission rules 20 CSR 4240-2.070(7), 20 CSR 4240-2.090(5) and 20 CSR 4240-2.116(3) the Commission dismissed Complainant's complaint without prejudice. The Commission's Order is to become effective on March 7, 2025.
6. The parties were provided until March 6, 2025, to request a rehearing or the Commission's decision will be final.
7. On February 6, 2025, Complainant responded to the Commission's *Order Directing Complainant To Show Cause Why Complaint Should Not Be Dismissed* for failure to appear for the prehearing conference.
8. Despite the fact that Complainant's response is out of time and the Commission has issued an Order dismissing Complainant's case, Ameren Missouri does not object to the Commission reopening the docket and scheduling a second procedural conference if that is how the Commission would like to proceed.
9. In support of Complainant's February 6, 2025 response, Ameren Missouri respectfully requests that the Commission order Complainant to provide his list of representatives so they can be notified of the date and time of the procedural conference. Ameren Missouri has made several attempts to resolve this matter and Complainant has been unwilling to discuss resolution without his representatives present.

WHEREFORE, as set forth above, Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri requests the Commission order Complainant to provide his list of representatives so they

can be notified of the date and time of the procedural conference should the Commission reopen the docket and schedule a second procedural conference.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ *Carla Fields Johnson*

Carla Fields Johnson, #47149
Fields & Brown, LLC
300 East 39th Street, Suite 1P
Kansas City, Missouri 64111
(816) 474-1700 (phone)
(816) 421-6239 (facsimile)
cfields@fieldsandbrown.com

Jennifer L. Hernandez, #59814
Corporate Counsel
Ameren Missouri
1901 Chouteau Avenue, MC 1310
P.O. Box 66149
St. Louis, MO 63166-6149
(314) 978-8418 (phone)
(314) 554-4014 (facsimile)
jhernandez2@ameren.com
amerenmoservice@ameren.com

**Attorneys for Union Electric
Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on the parties on the certified service list via electronic mail on this 10th day of February 2025.

/s/ *Carla Fields Johnson*